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THE CLERK: This is the matrimonial calendar of Thursday, June 16, the Honorable Susan M. Capeci presiding. This is Index No. 58217 of 2009, plaintiff, Allan Kassenoff against defendant, Catherine Kassenoff.

Appearances by the attorneys, please.
MR. FRISCH: For Ms. Kassenoff, Andrew Frisch, your Honor, good afternoon.

Good afternoon.
THE COURT: Good afternoon.
Ms. Most is representing herself.
MS. MOST: Carol Most, attorney for the children.

Good afternoon.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, just to note our appearance, Dimopoulos Bruggemann, Gus Dimopoulos, with my client, Allan Kassenoff, although I don't expect to be participating in the hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I don't know if you want to just put -- the exhibits have been uploaded by Ms. Most.

Do you want to just deem them in
evidence or --
MS. MOST: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Also, I need to authenticate each and every one.

MR. FRISCH: I will not have any objection.

MS. MOST: And I have the certification, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MOST: Would you like that handed up?

THE COURT: Please. So they will be deemed in evidence each and every one.

So this is Ms. Kassenoff's motion, so I will hear from Mr. Frisch.

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, first of all, I want to apologize. As $I$ wrote to the court in one of my many letters, I have to leave today at four o'clock. I understand from one of the court officers that the typical closing time is 4:30. It looks like we are starting, because of the Court's calendar, a little later, so this may be neither here nor there, but if you can accommodate that, I will greatly appreciate that.

THE COURT: I will definitely do my best, and I apologize that we had leftover matters that I had to conclude today.
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)

So why don't we start?
MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, what I would want, and this is my first hearing of this sort, so to the extent that $I$ can begin, it would be an appropriate way to begin by my questioning Ms. Most, we can start that way. I just want to put on the record, I understand that Ms. Most rejected my proposal that we proceed by written submissions; $I$ respect that. That proposal still stands, but I'm also prepared to begin by questioning Ms. Most.

THE COURT: Who knows, maybe by the end of business today, it can be something that's left out, but if not, we will just continue it at the earliest possible date.

Okay. Go ahead.
Let's put Ms. Most under oath.
THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and answer all questions truthfully, to the best of your ability, so help you God, under oath?

THE WITNESS: I do.
MR. FRISCH: And your Honor, are you comfortable if I begin and question Ms. Most

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

seated?
THE COURT: That's fine; and Ms. Most can stay there.

MS. MOST: Thank you, Judge.
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
MR. FRISCH:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Let me tell you what $I$ propose to do, or what I plan to do. I am going to ask you some introductory questions that may have general application as we go forward. So it seems to me, if I ask you those questions up front, before $I$ get to, at least, for the most part, specific inquiries and discovery, my hope is to streamline this process, and hope to make it more efficient. I just want you to know where I'm headed.

So let me ask you some general questions.
You were admitted to practice in New York in December of 1993; is that so?
A. That is correct. Actually, I think that's correct, Judge. I remember 1993. I'm not sure what month.

THE COURT: To the best of your recollection.

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. And you have been a practicing lawyer for almost 30 years?
A. Yes.
Q. And how many cases over the years have you acted as attorney for the children?

THE COURT: Approximately.
MS. MOST: Judge, I couldn't even guess.
Hundreds.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. And over what period of time -- I guess, let me ask it differently. Did you begin acting as an attorney for children in 1993 or 1994 when you began practicing?
A. Very shortly thereafter.
Q. Have you -- over the years, has your practice been limited to representing children, or do you also represent other parties in matrimonial actions and/or other types of work?
A. I do matrimonial and family practice, as well.

THE COURT: Let me clarify. Do you do
18B?
MS. MOST: No, I do not do 18B.
THE COURT: Just private?
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)

MS. MOST: No. I do AFC panel work, which is not 18B.

THE COURT: But you don't do any $18 B$ panel work in Family Law?

MS. MOST: I don't do any 18B. I only
represent children which is --

THE COURT: Okay. You answered my question.

MS. MOST: -- which is AFC panel work.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. And just to clarify that -- it was actually going to be my next question -- have you ever been appointed pursuant to 18B?
A. No, I don't do any 18B work.
Q. Not now or in the past?
A. I don't think $I$ have ever done any $18 B$ work.
Q. Now, let me put that aside for a second. One of the things you said during the course of this litigation, $I$ believe you said it in writing, is that your view is that my client overlitigates.

MS. MOST: I object to the question,
because $I$ don't believe that's relevant to the reasonableness of my fees.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Let me ask it this way. You submitted various documents in support of the fees for which you seek compensation; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And one of the things $I$ believe you cited -correct me if I'm wrong -- is that there has been, in this particular case, a particularly large amount of submissions and filings in this case; correct?
A. Yes, because $I$ think in this case there are something like 30-something pages on NYSCEF, there are 55 motions, yes.
Q. So one of the reasons -- one of the facts underlying your various applications for compensation is that there has been in this case a lot of litigation?
A. Yes.
Q. A lot of things litigated?
A. Yes.
Q. Nonetheless, whether or not a case involves as much back-and-forth as this one, you do your best to be careful; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In submitting bills; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In keeping track of the underlying events for which you bill; correct?
A. I do my best.
Q. And as best as you can, to use the expression you dot every $I$ and cross every $T, ~ g i v e n ~ t h a t ~ w e ' r e ~ a l l ~$ human, we all fail from time to time?
A. Let me just answer that by telling you my bills go out every month, every single month. They are prepared within generally 15 days, by the end of the month, they go out. There is a comment on the bottom; if there's something that is incorrect, I ask to have it corrected. So if there's a mistake made, I would like to know about it.
Q. And when you say if there's a mistake made, you would like to know about it, are you saying that the litigants, the parties paying your fees, are the people who should call that to your attention?
A. Well, if they see that there's a mistake, yes, they should let me know.
Q. Are you familiar -- I'm assuming you are familiar with Part 36 of the rules of the Chief Judge in New York?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are aware that Part 36 requires that someone appointed to represent children file what's
known as a certificate of compliance to be filed with the fiduciary clerk?

MS. MOST: First of all, $I$ am going to object to this line of questioning, because it doesn't have anything to do with the reasonableness of my fees.

THE COURT: Overruled.
Go ahead.
You can answer.
MS. MOST: So yes, I am, and every single case that $I$ have been appointed on, I have made that filing. Recently, I did get a notice that several of those forms that $I$ had filed were not signed by the pertinent court, and the fiduciary -- I'm not sure what his position was -was reaching out to those several courts that did not sign the final papers.

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Are you familiar with the Second Department's Administrative handbook for attorneys for children?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. All right. Is that something you reviewed recently?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge, are attorneys for children
required to maintain contemporaneous time records?
A. I would believe so, and $I$ certainly do. So let me explain to you --
Q. Ms. Most, I appreciate the explanation, but I'm a lawyer, you're a lawyer, I ask you questions and you answer, and I'm sure Justice Capeci will let you fill in any gaps if you think $I$ left out. Fair enough?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you maintain contemporaneous time records for your work as an attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you do so in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. In preparing your application for compensation in this case, did you use your contemporaneously kept time records to do so?
A. What $I$ used was my monthly billing, which is contemporaneous time records.
Q. What do you mean by monthly billing?
A. I send out a bill each month for the time that $I$ have spent. So $I$ use those bills and they are on the application.
Q. The application that you submit to the court?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you keep track on a daily basis --

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

withdrawn.

Do you keep track on at least a daily basis, if not hourly, the amount of time that you devote to a client's matter during the course of a day?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Let me see if $I$ can rephrase it. In connection with -- you testified that you maintain time records; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You keep track of your time; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You do so on a daily basis?
A. Yes. As I am working on a matter, $I$ keep track of my time. And so on a minute-by-minute, hour-by-hour basis, $I$ keep track of the time that $I$ spend.
Q. How do you do that?
A. I have a timeslip. I write the name of the client. I write what I've done, read and review e-mail, read letter from Mr. Frisch. I put my initial next to it, and the amount of time that $I$ spent.
Q. And you used the phrase "timeslip." I think there is an actual program or protocol called timeslips. Do you use that or some other --
A. I believe I use Time-Matters.
Q. Time-Matters, is that a computer --
A. Yes.
Q. -- program that you enter your time?
A. Yes. I do not enter my time. I do a
handwritten timeslip, and my secretary enters my time.
Q. And your secretary, does he or she do that every day?
A. Pretty much, yes.
Q. Now, when you create a timeslip or direct your secretary to do so, do you keep track of the specific times of day for work performed; that is, if you work on a client's matter from nine to ten in the morning, do you write nine to ten in the morning?
A. I do not.

THE COURT: As opposed to just an hour?
Q. Correct. Are you aware that the Second Department's Administrative handbook for attorneys for children requires that the specific times of day be recorded?
A. $\quad$ I was not aware.
Q. Now, let me ask you a question with regard to your invoices. I see you have some boxes with you, and I will try and -- for these initial questions, I am not going to get too deep into the invoices, but $I$ want to

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
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ask you one or two general questions.
You uploaded to the evidence room Exhibit's 1-1, I believe, to $1-12$ or 1-13, about there; is that correct?
A. Well, those are the uploaded exhibits for the timeslips each month. I uploaded several others, as well.
Q. Understood. You just used the term "timeslip."

You have not uploaded the handwritten
timeslips to which you made reference to a moment ago; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you have not uploaded whatever it is your secretary created into the Time-Matters program; correct?
A. Yes. I upload exactly what my secretary --
Q. So with regard to your -- so, for example, I'm taking Exhibit $1-10$, as an example, if you have a copy in front of you.
A. Do you want me to look at that?
Q. It's probably a good idea.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, Exhibit 1-10, I believe, is, for the record, a document of approximately 181 pages, the
first six of which appear to be an invoice, and then the subsequent pages, that are part of this exhibit, are underlying documents referred to in the top six -in the six-page invoice. Is that fair?
A. I'm just counting the pages, yes. So what's attached to this are the e-mails that you have requested.
Q. And the e-mails -- and the e-mails requested are essentially annotated on the covered invoice; correct?

THE COURT: Excuse me. Just le me ask one question. When you say "annotated," I'm looking at the exhibit, like the handwritten number for $7 / 9 / 2020$ is 15 . Does that 15 refer to an e-mail?

MS. MOST: Yes. I'm the one who did that.

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. And so for the Judge's benefit, if you go into the documents, into the 181 pages or so, you will see, for example, a number five, handwritten in the upper right; correct?
A. Basically, yes.
Q. And that five, at least it was your intention to correspond to the five on the invoice; right?

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

A. That's correct.
Q. And you did this project yourself?
A. Yes, I did.

THE COURT: In preparation for this
litigation, or is this something --

MS. MOST: Yes, your Honor. You asked me to produce --

THE COURT: I just want to know. So it's preparation for this litigation, or is this something you do as part of your --

MS. MOST: No. I would never do this.

You asked, and I did.

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. By the way, in all the many years and hundreds of times that you have been an attorney for the children, have you ever produced e-mails or been requested by a Court to produce e-mails as here?
A. That would be never.
Q. This is the first time?
A. That is the first time.
Q. I notice in this invoice, the first six pages -- and I'm using this Ms. Most as an example, rather than go through each and every one -- but, for example, it says CWM. Those are your initials; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it says "read and review," and then it identifies an e-mail, and sometimes it identifies the sender of the e-mail; correct?
A. If I'm reviewing an e-mail from someone, yes.
Q. And if you go through -- just take a brief look at the six pages that are the invoice in this case, almost always, if not always -- you note ten minutes for the reading and reviewing of each identified e-mail; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And some e-mails are shorter than others; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Some e-mails, is it fair to say, you can read in five seconds; correct?
A. I don't think --
Q. Let me rephrase the question and make it clear.

Some e-mails, in fact, you can read in less than ten minutes; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Some e-mails might take a little bit longer than ten minutes; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Fair to say, sometimes you may get an e-mail

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

that's one sentence or two sentences and you can look at it ten or 15 seconds; correct?
A. That's possible, and at the same time $I$ can get a longer e-mail.
Q. My only point is that with regard to what you identified here, that either always or almost always, with regard to this invoice and others, you indicate ten minutes for reading and reviewing an e-mail; is that fair?
A. Yes. That is the least amount of time that $I$ bill for. Actually, it's.l. I think it's six minutes, actually.
Q. Well, let's take the second e-mail, which is July 3rd, 2021, which has the handwritten one next to it, and you have ten. You see that?
A. It's.I.
Q. .1, fair enough. And then you have 400 an hour; correct?
A. The hourly rate is the rate that has been set by the Court. When that rate was set, there was no opposition to it, there was no motion.
Q. I wasn't going to ask you about the amount of the rate.
A. The hourly rate is $\$ 400$ an hour.
Q. And so the way you got the $\$ 40$ in the far

```
                MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
right corner, is that it's one-tenth of 400?
```

    A. Right.
    Q. Corresponding to one-tenth of an hour; is
    that basically how this works?
A. Yes.
Q. And for each of these e-mails, or almost all
of these e-mails throughout these invoices --
A. Right.
Q. -- it's one-tenth of an hour to read and
review e-mails?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's whether or not it took less or
possibly more; correct?
A. That's my lowest billing rate, yes.
Q. Now, you recall that on or about --
withdrawn.
Is it fair to say there have been things in
this matrimonial action that are not relevant to
custody; correct?
THE COURT: Can you repeat the question,
please?
MR. FRISCH: Sure.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Is it fair to say that there are things that
have come up during the course of this matrimonial

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

litigation that are not relevant to custody?
A. Yes. For the most part, I do not respond to those. If there's a motion that has something to do with a non-child-related matter that $I$ do not need to respond to, I don't.
Q. And you don't bill for it; correct?
A. I don't work on something I do not bill for, that's correct.
Q. And so we're clear, and $I$ don't mean to -maybe I don't need to state the obvious, even though we're making a record, your role as attorney for children is to represent the things that are relevant to things like custody; correct?
A. Custody --
Q. Visitation.
A. -- their living situation, yes.
Q. Things that are relevant to them --
A. To them.
Q. -- as their attorney?
A. Correct.
Q. And there are things -- as you just testified, there are things outside those issues which may be relevant and important to Mr. and Mrs. Kassenoff, but they're outside the scope of your assignment, your appointment?

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

A. However, let me explain. If there's an e-mail that is sent to me, I read the e-mail. Most often, when something doesn't pertain to me, $I$ don't get e-mails on those things, and $I$ don't get letters on those things.

So if $I$ have to read a letter to find out whether or not it pertains to me, $I$ do bill for the reading of the letter. If a letter is sent to me, I read it.
Q. So let me show you -- if it's appropriate to mark this for identification, $I$ will, as Defense 1; if not, I'll represent that this is NYSCEF document 2011.

MS. MOST: I'm going to object, your

Honor. No documents were uploaded for my review. If my documents had to be --

THE COURT: Isn't he referring to your document?

MR. FRISCH: No. This is my document -this is a document on NYSCEF that $I$ wish to show Ms. Most.

THE COURT: Okay. And which one it is? What is it?

MR. FRISCH: It is Ms. Most's responses and objections to defendant's notice of discovery and inspection in opposition to the application
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
for fees by the attorney for children.
THE COURT: Okay. Can you find this?
MR. FRISCH: I have extra copies.

THE COURT: All right. So this is something not loaded up in preparation of this hearing?

MR. FRISCH: I did not load this up in preparation, no.

MS. MOST: So, your Honor, I object. If I had to give notice, I think I was entitled to notice as well.

MR. FRISCH: Well, if I can be heard on that?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. FRISCH: First of all, we're talking, number one, about a document that's on NYSCEF, so I don't think I should have to load up everything in anticipation of how Ms. Most might answer a question.

Second, this is in the nature of -while we are beginning with my questioning of Ms. Most, it's in the nature of cross-examination. I don't believe $I$ am required to turn it over.

THE COURT: Let me address something that was referred to earlier. I didn't order the

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

turning over of the e-mails; you volunteered, Ms. Most.

MS. MOST: You asked me to, your Honor. You asked me to do it, and I said I would.

THE COURT: My recollection was you volunteered to do it. She asked me to direct you to do it, and it was not my understanding that I ordered you to do it.

MS. MOST: Well, I felt that you were asking me to do it, your Honor, and that is the only reason why; otherwise, there is no reason for me to do it.

THE COURT: Well, that was not my recollection. I appreciate that you did it, but it was not an order from me, and $I$ just want that order to be clear. I asked for a response from you, and you said to me, at the time, that you will just turn them all over. But $I$ will deny the objection with respect to this document. It's part of the litigation.

Go ahead.
MR. FRISCH: Did I hand you a copy? MS. MOST: No.

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. This is what $I$ will hand you. If it's
acceptable with the Court, $I$ will just mark on it, not that $I$ necessarily need to, but Defense 1. In any event, it's NYSCEF document 2001.

I don't want to rush you, so take your time to review it.
A. Okay.
Q. You recognize this as your response filed on NYSCEF as document 2011, dated January 18th, 2022, to Ms. Kassenoff's filed request for discovery?
A. Yes.
Q. And by the way, if you just notice on the second page, you signed this document; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that an electronic signature, or do you -let me finish the question. Is that an electronic signature, or do you print it out, sign it, and then scan it in?
A. That happens to be an electronic signature.
Q. In any event, if you go to -- and for the record, this is -- withdrawn.

If you go to page two -- you state your objections here, your general objections to Ms. Kassenoff's request, various requests for discovery; correct?
A. Yes. I got a very significant demand for
discovery.
Q. Understood. And this is dated January 18th, 2022?
A. That's what it says.
Q. And my recollection -- correct me if your recollection is different -- the conversation that Justice Capeci was just talking to you about, about the production of the e-mails, happened at a court conference on March 23rd, 2022; is that your recollection?
A. I don't have a recollection as to the date.
Q. But in any event, there was a conference with the judge, where you said, $I$ will essentially -- in substance, $I$ will produce e-mails, and essentially, as the Judge has pointed out, you volunteered to do so; correct?
A. I didn't feel it was --

THE COURT: She said she didn't feel it was voluntary.

MS. MOST: No disrespect to the Court,
Judge, I didn't feel it was voluntary. I thought
I was being told to do it.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. In any event, that happened at the court conference, the date that you don't recall; correct?

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
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A. Yes.
Q. Page two of this document, if you go to paragraph six, $I$ will read it out loud: It says, "AFC objects to the document demand as it seeks information that is not relevant to the custody case." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And by document demand, which is initial caps document, with initial letters $D$, in document, that is capitalized, that's in reference to Ms. Kassenoff's request for discovery or demand for discovery that preceded this; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what you say is consistent with your testimony today, is that there are things that are not relevant to custody, the production of which you would object; correct?
A. It's all of the numbered, one through 11. I received what $I$ considered to be a fishing expedition for no reason other than what $I$ considered to be harassment. And so $I$ prepared a response.
Q. Focusing back on paragraph six of your response, where you object to the document demand, because it seeks information that is not relevant to the custody case, this is consistent with your

```
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testimony earlier this afternoon, that there are things in this case that are not relevant to your work?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, I think you testified a moment ago there are some things in this case for which you bill and some things in this case for which you did not bill; correct?
A. In the back of each of my submissions for each month, there are the other e-mails that $I$ had on my system that $I$ did not bill for, and some months they are extensive. All of those were reviewed and just not billed, because either I wasn't sitting at a desk where

I could bill, or $I$ got busy and didn't bill for them.
Q. So there are some things for you which you bill and some things you did not bill; correct?
A. Yeah, there are some things that, yeah, fall through the cracks.
Q. So the only category of things that you would not have billed are things that fell through the cracks?
A. No. I object. You are putting words in my mouth.
Q. Then explain to me your answer.

THE COURT: Are there some things you deliberately don't bill for?

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
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MS. MOST: I don't -- no. What I don't bill for are -- I don't answer a motion that doesn't pertain to me.

THE COURT: That's not responsive, though. Is there some work that you do that for whatever reason you do not bill for?

MS. MOST: I don't bill for it, because either I'm not sitting at my desk, I read it on my phone, $I$ don't deliberately not bill.

THE COURT: So there's no instance in which you do work and you think to yourself, "This is probably not appropriate for me to bill no charge"?

MS. MOST: That's correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Looking back at your paragraph six of Defense Exhibit 1, are there things for which you would bill that you have -- that you determine are not relevant to the custody case?
A. No.
Q. So even if it is not relevant to the custody case, you could bill for it?
A. That's not what I'm saying.
Q. Well, please explain. I am not trying to

## MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)

mischaracterize what you are saying. We have a record, and the Judge sitting here; $I$ am just trying to understand your testimony.
A. So there are times I'm at a court conference that I'm ordered to be at and custody is not discussed, I bill for my time. If I get a letter that $I$ have to read, because $I$ don't know if it pertains to me or my -- I don't mean me, but my client, I need to read the letter, and $I$ would charge for that.

If I'm sent an e-mail and it really has nothing to do with me, probably -- I probably wouldn't bill for that. But if it's something that $I$ have to read and address, or read and not address, I'm going to bill for reading that letter. I do not respond to motions that don't have anything to do with my children, and if I'm at a court conference and custody is not addressed, but I've been told to be there, I bill for my time.
Q. So I want to focus now not so much on court appearances which you attend and should attend and are required to attend, whatever the subject matter may be, I want to talk now about communications, either by e-mail or by text or by letter. And with regard to those kinds of communications, would you agree that some of those are relevant to your role as attorney for
children and some are not relevant to your role as attorney for children?
A. The majority of the e-mails that $I$ read and respond to are about my children, my clients.
Q. And so there are e-mails that are, however, irrelevant to your role as attorney for children; would you agree with that?
A. I would not agree with that. I don't offhand know of any e-mails that $I$ have read and were not pertaining to me, because $I$ don't get those e-mails, for the most part.
Q. Okay. So I want to try and do this efficiently, and we will go to specific documents later. I don't mean to suggest that we will do this without your opportunity to look at documents. I just want to take this and see if we can maybe streamline it; if not, we will go to documents, which we may be doing anyhow.

Do you recall receiving e-mails about Catherine's applications and/or requests for counsel fees, for her counsel fees?

THE COURT: I'm sorry, repeat that?
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Does Ms. Most recall seeing or receiving e-mails regarding Ms. Kassenoff's applications for

```
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legal fees?
THE COURT: Okay. Sorry.
MS. MOST: Perhaps.
BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Do you consider those relevant to your role as attorney for the children?
A. It depends if there's anything else in context in that e-mail.
Q. Assume for the purpose of my question there's nothing else in context for the purpose of that e-mail. If the e-mail is just about that issue, Catherine's, Ms. Kassenoff's applications for counsel fees, would you consider that relevant to your role?
A. Is this something that was sent to me to read?
Q. With regard to e-mails, as you sit here today, do you recall receiving any e-mails simply about Catherine's applications about counsel fees?
A. As I sit here today, there are thousands of e-mails. I don't actually recall that.
Q. Do you recall one of the issues that has come up in this case, including in court appearances, is Ms. Kassenoff's attempt to get return of her personnel belongings from the marital home?
A. Yes.
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Q. Do you recall, as you sit here today, understanding there's thousands of e-mails, e-mails or communications about that issue?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you bill for them?
A. I probably did, because they involved Catherine going to the home, and $I$ think that the children should not be there at that time, so $I$ think it does pertain to the children.
Q. Does it pertain to your role as their attorney?
A. I would say yes.
Q. How so?
A. Because my job is to protect them, and $I$ don't think that -- first of all, all of this happened during a period when your client had no access with the children, other than supervised therapeutic access or supervised Zoom access, so she couldn't just show up to the house and be with the children unless she was supervised; so yes, I think that does pertain to me.
Q. Okay. We will come back to that, but let me ask you this one general question which may apply to this or may apply to other areas of inquiry. And educate me about this, as you know this is my first matrimonial case.
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Is the role of the attorney for children, as you understand it, to look out for and advocate what the attorney for children believes is the best interest of the children, or simply to advocate their position and give voice to their desires on a particular issue?
A. Okay. So that is more of a complicated question, because when children have a knowing understanding, my role is to advocate their wishes, unless the children -- I believe children are in imminent risk of harm, and then $I$ believe $I$ have the right to advocate for their best interest.
Q. And what is your authority for that?
A. The rules.
Q. The Second Circuit Administrative Handbook for the attorney for children?
A. I would have to look it up.
Q. And so if $I$ understand correctly, you believe that, provided that the child is sufficiently mature or able to express his or her views, your role is to give voice to those views, unless you believe there is something else going on there?
A. Unless $I$ believe the children are in imminent risk of harm.
Q. And is it your testimony, with regard to the issue that $I$ raised, that you believe that
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communications about Catherine seeking to get return of her personnel belongings created imminent risk of harm?
A. No. You are taking this totally out of context.
Q. I am simply trying to understand your answer, so explain.
A. No. I think that I should be involved in those and read those e-mails. First of all, they're sent to me, so $I$ have to read them. But the way that Catherine should have retrieved her belongings had to be done when the children were not in the house.
Q. Do you recall reading e-mails about the Kassenoffs' house that had at one point been in New Rochelle?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall, approximately, how many such e-mails came across your computer?
A. I don't, but I do remember that there were significant problems, because access was taking place in that house, and there were a lot of issues with what was happening there, with the cleanliness of the house. Those were several of the issues. I was shown pictures of what the house looked like. And so yes, I believe that was something that $I$ should read.
Q. Do you recall seeing e-mails about the sale
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or proceeds of the sale of the house?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. As you sit here today, do you believe that the sale or proceeds of the house is an issue that would cause imminent harm to the children?
A. You're quoting that out of -- you are misrepresenting what that is. Imminent harm to the children is only to do with whether or not $I$ would use my discretion. That's all that refers to. That does not refer to each -- are the kids at harm because a house is closing? No.
Q. What about putting the proceeds of the house, the New Rochelle house in receivership. How do you see that issue with regard to your role as attorney for children?
A. I don't know. If e-mails are sent to me, I read them. And then I, if $I$ have to respond, I respond.

THE COURT: Can $I$ just ask, are these e-mails that you are alleging there were responses to, Mr. Frisch?

MR. FRISCH: This is a list of -- these are e-mails -- so the universe is e-mails regarding the subject matters for which AFC Most billed.
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THE COURT: Right.
MR. FRISCH: Sometimes she would bill -and we will have to go through them specifically, to answer, I think, your question, and maybe $I$ can get that ready for the next time, but sometimes these are e-mails that she reads and receives and bills for that time, and other times, and $I$ can't represent with regard to each of these issues, she sends an e-mail and bills for that.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just saying I think there's some merit that no one knows what the e-mail involves until they read it.

MR. FRISCH: I understand that. I understand that.

BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Now, let me get to another topic. On or about September 15, 2021, Justice Lubell issued in this case a one-mile stayaway that -- which there has been some discussion in this case; correct?

MS. MOST: I object to the question. It doesn't have anything to do with the reasonableness of my fees.

THE COURT: I don't think he asked the question yet.

Overruled.

```
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            Do you want it read back?
            MR. FRISCH: If you don't mind, Judge.
        I'd appreciate it.
                            (Whereupon, the requested question was
    read back by the court reporter.)
BY MR. FRISCH:
    Q. Do you recall the facts of the one-mile
    stayaway that was issued by Justice Lubell on or about
    September 15th, 2021?
        A. Yes, I recall the facts.
        Q. Prior to September 15th, 2021, do you recall
e-mails regarding a lease for an apartment that Ms.
Kassenoff had signed?
        A. Yes.
        Q. Did you believe that the lease for the
apartment was relevant to your role as attorney for the
children?
        A. Yes.
        Q. Prior to the one-mile stayaway being issued?
        A. Yes.
        Q. Do you recall how many such e-mails there
were?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall e-mails about the value of Mr. Kassenoff's partnership at his law firm?
```

A. No.
Q. Do you consider that issue, the value of Mr. Kassenoff's partnership at his law firm, to be relevant to your role as attorney for children?
A. No. However, again, if $I$ receive an e-mail, I have to read the e-mail to know what it says.
Q. As you sit here today, do you recall what percentage of e-mails that you received in this case have a subject line filled in?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Typically, do e-mails that you receive have something filled in in the subject line?
A. I actually have no idea.
Q. If the subject line, for example, made clear that the e-mail was about the value of Mr. Kassenoff's partnership at his law firm, would you then continue to read the e-mail?
A. I actually have to say that $I$ received many e-mails that have a subject line that carries on from five e-mails before, that have a totally different comment in the e-mail.
Q. By the way, is it your practice -- withdrawn. When you put together invoices, when you put together the documents for which you seek compensation for your work, do you bill for that time?
A. No.
Q. And so do you ever bill for that time?
A. I don't believe I do.

THE COURT: In this case, you mean?
Q. Definitely in this case, yeah.
A. I don't believe I do.
Q. And why do you think you wouldn't?
A. Well, I might like to review it, but for the most part, my secretary puts those together for me.
Q. Do you think it's appropriate for a lawyer to bill for preparing the bill to the client, generally speaking?
A. Not for preparing the bill. I do think it's appropriate to bill for a hearing on fees, however.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: We will take a couple of more questions, and then we will get an adjournment date.

MR. FRISCH: Okay. Thank you, Judge. BY MR. FRISCH:
Q. Do you recall e-mails about Catherine, Ms. Kassenoffs -- I apologize for referring to my client by first name.

Do you recall receiving e-mails regarding my client's use of a retirement account to use money for
paying lawyers?
A. Perhaps.
Q. Is that issue within the scope of your role as attorney for children?
A. Probably not.
Q. So you would not have billed for it?
A. Listen, I have -- I read an e-mail, either if it's something $I$ have to respond to, I do. I have to read an e-mail to see what it says.
Q. Let me ask you a foundational question, before $I$ ask you about a specific category of e-mails. Just so you know, do you recall a time in this case where there was a motion by Ms. Kassenoff to seek sanctions against Mr. Kassenoff for her view of his ex parte applications for contempt?
A. I think, yes.
Q. Do you recall seeing e-mails about that subject?
A. From who?
Q. From anybody.
A. I don't have a recollection, no.
Q. Do you think that issue, that is,

Ms. Kassenoff's application for sanctions against Mr. Kassenoff, based on her view of his ex parte applications for contempt, is within the scope of your
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role as attorney for children?
A. Well, the contempt involved the children. Some of the contempt involved the children, so yes.
Q. Her motion for sanctions, you think? Let me ask the question. Do you think that her application seeking sanctions for what she perceived as Mr. Kassenoff's misuse of ex parte applications is within the scope of your role as attorney for children?
A. Mr. Kassenoff's application had to do with things that had to do with the children, so yes, I do. MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, if --

THE COURT: This is probably a good time.

Are you available August 5th? I can give you all day.

MS. MOST: Your Honor, can I just call my office?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. FRISCH: While Ms. Most is doing that, August 5 th is good for me.

MS. MOST: We have a trial in our
office, and I would like to come back with representation.

THE COURT: For this matter, you are saying?
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MS. MOST: Yes.
THE COURT: So you are saying August 5th is bad? Is what you are telling me?

How about August 12th?
MS. MOST: August 12th? What time would that be?

THE COURT: 9:30, all day. August 12. That is good?

MS. MOST: Yes.
THE COURT: Is it good for you?
MR. FRISCH: Good for me as well.
THE COURT: August 12th at 9:30, in person. Thank you.

MR. FRISCH: Can I ask a procedural question?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. FRISCH: It has to do with the exhibits. I have been concerned about it. I get specific e-mails or specific documents, "maybe I will, maybe $I$ won't." If I refer to it, will your Honor be able to look at it on the screen?

THE COURT: It's there. I mean, between me and NYSCEF, I should be able to; right?

MR. FRISCH: Okay. Thank you.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, before we
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close the record, can $I$ bring a few matters to your attention? Some of them are time-sensitive issues.

THE COURT: What are they regarding?
They're not regarding the finances; right?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Different topics, your Honor. One has to do with -- it's time-sensitive and refers to certain documents in this case being filed in Federal Court, and sealing. And let me just put it in context.

There's a pending lawsuit by Ms.
Kassenoff against Mr. Kassenoff in the Federal Court, Southern District of New York, and against me, and we appeared before Judge Karas yesterday for a premotion conference. He authorized the filing of the motion to dismiss.

One of the issues in the case has to do with Ms. Kassenoff's notice of a particular fact, the dispositive document is an affidavit that Mr. Kassenoff filed in this case, that support for our motion. Judge Karas basically said that -correct me if I'm wrong, but it's not a sealed matter. We have a particular affidavit that contains confidential information about the kids, so $I$ wanted to understand; if this is something
that your Honor has to consider, that's fine, but - -

THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand. You are saying it's not a sealed matter, so why would it need to come to me?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, no. What would your guidance be on an affidavit submitted in this case, in another Court, where that Court is not sealing the records?

THE COURT: Yeah, but it's a party; don't you have access to your own documents? I can't answer that off the top of my head.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm raising it as something that has to be dealt with. If you don't know off the top of your head, then --

THE COURT: If you want to put it in writing so Ms. Ozer and Ms. Kassenoff can answer it, $I$ don't know, and $I$ certainly don't want to wing it.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: My client wants to clarify one thing. Is it okay if he speaks for one second?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KASSENOFF: We want to file certain documents that were filed in this case, in the
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Federal case. And our concern -- my concern, frankly, I was the one who raised it. It's my understanding that everything in this case is not under seal, but no one else can get access to it. If we file it in the Federal case, it's not publicly available on ECF, so we just wanted to get your guidance as to whether or not -THE COURT: Well, does anyone have any authority either way?

MS. KASSENOFF: Can $I$ comment on that? MR. DIMOPOULOS: The DRL has a provision. I don't know the number. I will put it in writing. It's very unclear. What it basically says is it's a directive to the court personnel and clerks of the court to keep matters in matrimonial sealed. I don't read it as a directive to parties.

Where I stand, and I've taken this position in this case many times, is that anything pertaining to the children should not be in the public domain; that's why $I$ have a little bit of a heightened concern, but $I$ will raise the issue in a letter to your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me hear from Ms.
Kassenoff.
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MS. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, this is not an issue of first impression. There's a remedy, and that is that Mr. Dimopoulos and Mr. Kassenoff can apply for a sealing in the Federal case, if they want, if they think this document needs to be protected, and involves something with the children, or Mr. Kassenoff, remedies to ask the Court to seal.

THE COURT: Well, that would address their concern that it would be public record there, but is there authority on -- it's whether I should give them permission to file, to begin with, whether it can be sealed or not, is what I'm asking.

MS. KASSENOFF: I think you're right, it has to be briefed.

THE COURT: I will be happy to look into
it. I don't know the answer to that.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, just
briefly, on another issue. I don't want to burden the Court with any more written communications.

There was a representation by Ms. Kassenoff the last time we were in Court that Chief John Caparelli was available to testify on her behalf regarding violations, and that he would be
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available for a hearing, and there were threats of lawsuits against Mr. Kassenoff. I will be presenting to the Court a detailed e-mail from Caparelli that those representations to the Court were false.

THE COURT: What are we talking about here? I'm not sure I understand. Who is Mr. Caparelli?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I know you're not sure, your Honor, because there are five communications to the Court a day. The last time we were here on the issue of the violations, you were shown pictures of grass and all of these things.

THE COURT: Are you talking about the violations on the house?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Correct. Correct. And I know it's not important to your Honor, but where I come from, representations to the court should be true, and they weren't. That's all I have to say.

MS. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, may I address that?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. KASSENOFF: You know I did offer Chief Officer Caparelli to come in and testify
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about what he saw. I don't know why that is a misrepresentation to the Court, but it's in fact true, and it's on NYSCEF, that he has issued multiple violations on the property. He signed those violations himself.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: What he said was -what she said was to this court, matters only as it relates to future representations to the court, that he was prepared to testify on her behalf. His e-mail, which $I$ will read to the Court, says: "No, I was not prepared to testify. She asked me to testify, but that's not how things are done."

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? I
really don't think that's germane for today.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes. I would like to hand this to Ms. Kassenoff. This is mail that came to the house. This may seem trivial, your Honor, but indulge me for a second. We are being sued in two separate courts. It may seem trivial to the Court, it's not trivial to us, it's costing us thousands and thousands and thousands of dollars to defend ourselves in various courtrooms. One of the things we have been accused of is violation of Federal Law for withholding her mail.

```
MOST - DIRECT - (FRISCH)
```

THE COURT: Okay. So give her her mail. Thank you. That's the end of that.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: On the record, letter from the Department of Motor Vehicles addressed to Ms. Kassenoff.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KASSENOFF: Can $I$ please ask that, because it is DMV materials, and it's associated with my driver's license, which bears the address of the marital home, that any future DMV correspondence that the plaintiff gets be delivered to Mr. Wiederkehr. He's right down the street.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: No. See, here's the problem. She can change her address, or, alternatively -- but that's what she should do -change her address, forward her mail. She asks -not asks, demands that my client personally deliver mail to Mr. Wiederkehr's office every time it comes to the house.

This has been, as ridiculous as it sounds, your Honor, something that we have been dealing with for three years, under threat of lawsuit, under Federal Law. I would laugh at the hundreds of other litigants that might say
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something to me like that, but since I'm being sued in two different courts at the current time, I'm going to handle this a certain way. So no, we will not deliver mail to Mr. Wiederkehr.

I will bring any mail that comes to the next available court conference, and $I$ will deliver on the record, or Ms. Kassenoff can change her address.

THE COURT: Fine.
MS. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, there is no lawsuit involving Federal mail. I don't know what Mr. Dimopoulos is talking about.

THE COURT: Well, I am hoping it's all mute when you arrange to buy her out.

MS. KASSENOFF: I'm not hopeful for that, but $I$ just ask that because it's so infrequent to get anything from the DMV, and it is tied to the marital residence, that if there is indeed at some point another correspondence, let me know about it, send me an e-mail and say, "There's something else."

THE COURT: Well, we get e-mails about everything. It would seem not that complicated to let her know there's a piece of mail.

Thank you.
This is hereby certified to be a true and accurate record of the above proceedings.
Mary T. Slavik, RPR Senior Court Reporter
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available [5] 41/14 45/6 46/24 47/1
50/6
aware [3] 9/24 13/17 13/21
B
back[8] 8/21 26/22 27/8 28/17 32/21 37/1 37/5 41/22
bad [1] 42/3
based [1] 40/24
basically [4] 15/23 19/4 43/21 45/14 basis [4] 11/25 12/2 12/13 12/16
be [43] $2 / 183 / 103 / 204 / 44 / 137 / 12$
8/22 10/1 13/19 15/1 16/18 20/23
21/15 22/12 23/16 24/18 26/19 26/20 29/5 29/17 29/21 30/17 32/8 32/19
34/7 34/11 38/3 42/6 42/21 42/23 44/7
44/14 45/20 46/5 46/10 46/13 46/16
46/17 46/25 47/2 47/19 49/11 51/7
bears [1] 49/9
because [17] 3/19 7/22 8/10 10/4 26/24 27/12 28/7 29/7 30/10 32/6 32/14 33/7 34/19 35/10 47/10 49/8 50/16
been [18] 2/22 6/2 6/17 7/12 8/7 8/15 10/11 16/15 16/16 18/19 19/17 29/17 34/13 36/18 42/18 48/23 49/21 49/22
before [5] 5/13 38/20 40/11 42/25 43/14
began [1] 6/13
begin [6] $4 / 44 / 54 / 104 / 256 / 1246 / 12$ beginning [1] 22/21
behalf [2] 46/24 48/9
being [5] 25/22 37/19 43/8 48/18 50/1
believe [19] 7/19 7/22 8/6 11/2 13/1
14/3 14/24 22/23 33/9 33/10 33/17
33/20 33/22 33/25 34/23 35/3 37/15 39/3 39/6
believes [1] 33/3
belongings [3] 31/24 34/2 34/10
benefit [1] 15/19
best [8] 3/24 4/21 5/23 8/21 9/3 9/4 33/3 33/11
between [1] 42/22
bill [33] $9 / 2$ 11/20 18/11 20/6 20/7 21/7 27/5 27/6 27/10 27/13 27/13 27/15 27/15 27/25 28/2 28/6 28/7 28/9 28/12 28/18 28/23 29/6 29/12 29/14 29/18
$32 / 5$ 36/2 38/25 39/2 39/11 39/11 39/13 39/14
billed [4] 27/12 27/19 35/25 40/6
billing [3] 11/17 11/19 19/14
bills [5] 8/24 9/8 11/21 36/7 36/9
bit [2] 17/22 45/21
Bloomingdale [1] 1/20
Blvd [1] 1/6
bottom [1] 9/10
boxes [1] 13/23
brief [1] 17/5
briefed [1] 46/16
briefly [1] 46/20
bring [2] 43/1 50/5
BRUGGEMANN [2] 1/13 2/16
burden [1] 46/20
business [1] $4 / 13$
busy [1] 27/13
buy [1] 50/14
C
calendar [2] 2/2 3/20
call [2] 9/17 41/16
called [1] $12 / 24$
came [2] 34/17 48/17
can [30] $3 / 214 / 4$ 4/6 4/13 5/3 9/4 10/9
12/6 17/14 17/19 18/1 18/3 19/20 22/2
22/12 30/16 35/19 36/4 41/14 41/16
42/14 43/1 44/17 45/4 45/10 46/4
46/13 49/7 49/15 50/7
can't [2] 36/7 44/12
Caparelli [4] 46/24 47/4 47/8 47/25
CAPECI [4] 1/9 2/3 11/6 25/7
capitalized [1] 26/10
caps [1] 26/8
careful [1] 8/22
CAROL [2] 1/21 $2 / 12$
carries [1] 38/19
case [34] 8/8 8/9 8/10 8/15 8/20 10/11 11/12 11/15 17/7 26/5 26/25 27/2 27/5 27/6 28/20 28/23 31/22 32/25 36/18
36/19 38/8 39/4 39/5 40/12 43/8 43/17 43/20 44/8 44/25 45/1 45/3 45/5 45/19 46/4
cases [1] 6/5
category [2] 27/18 40/11
CATHERINE [6] 1/4 $2 / 5$ 32/7 34/1 34/10 39/21
Catherine's [3] 30/20 31/11 31/18
cause [1] 35/5
certain [3] 43/8 44/24 50/3
certainly [2] 11/2 44/18
certificate [1] 10/1
certification [1] 3/5
certified [1] 51/7
change [3] 49/15 49/17 50/7
charge [2] 28/13 29/9
Chief [3] 9/21 46/23 47/25
child [2] 20/4 33/18
children [45] 1/19 2/13 6/6 6/13 6/17
7/6 9/25 10/20 10/25 13/19 16/16
20/12 22/1 29/16 30/1 30/2 30/4 30/6
31/6 32/8 32/9 32/17 32/19 33/1 33/3
33/4 33/7 33/9 33/9 33/15 33/22 34/11
35/5 35/8 35/15 37/17 38/4 40/4 41/1
41/2 41/3 41/8 41/10 45/20 46/7
Circuit [1] 33/14
cited [1] $8 / 6$
clarify [3] 6/22 7/11 44/21
cleanliness [1] 34/21
clear [4] 17/18 20/9 23/16 38/14
clerk [1] 10/2
clerks [1] 45/15
client [9] 2/17 7/20 12/20 29/8 32/16
39/11 39/22 44/20 49/18
client's [3] 12/4 13/13 39/25
clients [1] 30/4
close [1] 43/1
closed [1] 51/1
closing [2] 3/18 35/11
come [7] 19/25 31/21 32/21 41/22 44/5 47/18 47/25
comes [2] 49/20 50/5
comfortable [1] 4/25
comment [3] 9/10 38/21 45/10
communications [6] 29/22 29/24 32/3 34/1 46/21 47/10 compensation [4] 8/4 8/14 11/15 38/24
compliance [1] 10/1
complicated [2] 33/6 50/23
computer [2] 13/2 34/17
concern [4] 45/1 45/1 45/22 46/10
concerned [1] 42/18
conclude [1] $3 / 25$
concluded [1] 51/3
conference [7] 25/9 25/12 25/25 29/4
29/16 43/15 50/6
confidential [1] 43/24
connection [1] 12/7
consider [4] 31/5 31/13 38/2 44/1
considered [2] 26/19 26/20
consistent [2] 26/14 26/25
contains [1] 43/24
contemporaneous [3] 11/1 11/9 11/18
contemporaneously [1] 11/16
contempt [4] 40/15 40/25 41/2 41/3
context [4] 31/8 31/10 34/4 43/10
continue [2] 4/14 38/16
conversation [1] 25/6
copies [1] 22/3
copy [2] 14/20 23/22
corner [1] 19/1
correct [53]
corrected [1] 9/12
correctly [1] 33/17
correspond [1] 15/25
correspondence [2] 49/11 50/19
Corresponding [1] 19/3
costing [1] 48/20
could [2] 27/13 28/23
couldn't [2] 6/8 32/18
counsel [4] 30/20 30/21 31/12 31/18
counting [1] 15/5
COUNTY [3] 1/1 1/6 1/10
couple [1] 39/16
course [3] 7/18 12/4 19/25
court [39] $1 / 1$ 1/6 1/10 1/24 3/15 3/17
10/14 11/23 16/17 18/20 24/1 25/8
25/20 25/24 29/4 29/16 29/19 31/22
37/5 43/9 43/13 44/8 44/8 45/14 45/15
46/8 46/21 46/23 47/3 47/4 47/11
47/18 48/2 48/7 48/8 48/10 48/20 50/6
51/12



| K | M | 20/2 21/2 21/20 22/18 22/22 23/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kids [2] 35/10 43/24 | made [5] 9/12 9/14 10/12 14/11 38/14 | Most's [1] 21/23 |
| kinds [1] 29/24 | mail [38] 12/21 15/15 17/2 17/3 17/4 | motion [8] 3/12 18/21 20/3 28/2 40/13 |
| King [1] 1/6 | 17/9 17/25 18/4 18/8 18/13 21/2 21/2 | $41 / 443 / 1643 / 21$ |
| know [23] 2/215/16 9/13 9/15 9/19 16/8 29/7 30/9 32/24 35/16 38/6 40/12 | 29/10 29/23 31/8 31/10 31/11 36/9 | motions [2] 8/12 29/15 |
| 44/15 44/18 45/12 46/18 47/9 47/17 | 40/7 40/9 47/3 48/10 48/16 48/25 49/1 | Motor [1] 49/4 |
| 47/24 48/1 50/11 50/20 50/24 | 49/17 49/19 50/4 50/5 50/11 50/20 | mouth [1] 27/22 |
| knowing [1] 33/7 | 50/24 | Mr [24] 3/13 5/6 12/21 20/23 35/21 |
| knowledge [1] 10/25 | mails [50] 15/6 15/8 15/8 16/16 16/17 | 37/24 38/2 38/ |
| known [1] 10/1 | 17/11 17/14 17/19 17/22 19/6 19/7 | 47/2 47/7 49/12 49/19 50/4 50/12 |
| knows [2] 4/12 36/11 | 19/10 21/4 23/1 25/8 25/14 27/9 30/3 |  |
| L |  | Ms [40] $2 / 7$ 2/11 $2 / 22$ 3/12 4/5 4/7 4/ |
| large [1] 8/8 | 34/17 34/25 35/16 35/20 35/23 35/23 | 4/17 4/25 5/2 11/4 16/22 21 |
| last [2] 46/23 47/11 | 36/6 37/12 37/21 37/24 38/8 38/11 | 22/18 22/2 |
| later [2] 3/20 30/14 | 38/19 38/20 39/21 39/24 40/11 40/17 | 43/18 44/17 44/17 45/10 45/24 46/1 |
| laugh [1] 49/24 | 42/19 50/22 | 46/15 46/22 47/21 47/24 49/7 50/7 |
| law [7] 1/16 7/4 37/25 38/3 38/16 48/24 49/24 | Main [1] 1/14 | $50 / 1050 / 15$ |
| lawsuit [3] 43/11 49/24 50/11 | majority [1] 30/3 | Ms. [6] 24/23 30/25 31/23 40/23 48/16 |
| lawsuits [1] 47/2 | make [2] 5/15 17/17 |  |
| lawyer [4] 6/2 11/5 11/5 39/10 | making [1] 20/11 |  |
| lawyers [1] 40/1 | many [7] 3/16 6/5 16/14 34/16 37/21 | $40 / 23$ |
| le [1] 15/11 lease [2] 37 | 38/18 45/19 | much [3] 8/21 13/9 29/19 |
| $\text { least [4] } 5 / 13 \quad 12 / 2 \quad 15$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | multiple [1] 48/4 |
| leave [1] 3/16 | mark [2] 21/11 24/1 | mute [1] 50/14 |
| left [2] 4/13 11/7 | Martin [1] 1/6 | m |
| leftover [1] 3/24 | Mary [2] 1/23 51/12 | N |
| legal [1] 31/1 | materials [1] 49/8 | name [2] 12/19 39/23 |
| less [2] 17/19 19/12 <br> let [25] 5/9 5/17 6/11 6/22 7/17 8/2 9/7 | matrimonial [7] 2/1 6/18 6/20 19/18 19/25 32/25 45/16 | nature [2] 22/20 22/22 |
| 9/19 11/3 11/6 12/6 13/22 17/17 21/1 | matter [8] 12/4 12/14 13/13 20/4 29/21 | necessarily [1] 24/2 |
| 21/10 22/24 24/15 32/21 36/16 40/10 | 41/24 43/23 44/4 | [6] 3/1 20/4 20/10 24/2 29/8 44/5 |
| 41/4 43/9 45/24 50/19 50/24 | matters [8] 3/24 13/1 13/2 14/15 35/24 | neither [1] $3 / 21$ |
| let's [2] 4/17 18/13 | 43/1 45/15 48/7 | never [2] 16/11 16/18 |
| letter [10] 12/21 21/6 21/8 21/8 29/6 29/9 29/14 29/23 45/23 49/3 | mature [1] 33/18 | NEW [11] $1 / 11 / 1 / 71 / 14$ 1/17 $1 / 1771 / 20$ |
| 29/9 29/14 29/23 45/23 49/3 | may [11] 3/20 5/11 17/25 20/23 29/21 | 5/18 9/22 34/13 35/13 43/13 |
| letters [3] 3/16 21/4 26/9 license [1] $49 / 9$ | 30/17 32/22 32/23 47/21 48/17 48/19 | next [5] 7/12 12/22 18/14 36/5 50/6 |
| license [1] 49/9 | maybe [6] 4/12 20/10 30/16 36/4 42/19 | nine [2] 13/13 13/14 |
| like [12] 3/8 3/19 8/11 9/13 9/15 15/13 20/13 34/23 39/8 41/22 48/15 50/1 | $42 / 20$ | no [40] 1/3 2/3 6/24 7/1 7/14 10/24 |
|  |  | 16/11 18/20 18/21 21/14 21/18 22/8 |
|  | mean [6] 11/19 20/9 29/8 30/14 39/4 | 23/11 23/23 25/20 26/20 27/21 28/1 |
| list [1] 35/22 |  | 28/10 28/12 28/21 32/16 34/3 34/7 |
| Listen [1] 40/7 | merit [1] 36/11 | 35/11 36/11 37/23 38/1 38/5 38/10 |
| litigants [2] 9/16 49/25 | 22/18 39/8 49/25 | 38/13 39/1 40/21 44/6 44/6 45/4 48/11 |
| litigated [1] 8/18 | mind [1] 37/2 | 49/14 50/3 50/10 |
| litigation [6] 7/19 8/16 16/5 16/9 20/1 | minute [2] 12/15 12/15 | non [1] 20/4 |
| 23/20 | minutes [5] 17/8 17/20 17/23 18/8 | non-child-related [1] 20/4 |
| little [3] 3/20 17/22 45/21 | 18/12 [5] 17/8 17/20 17/23 18/8 | Nonetheless [1] 8/20 |
| living [1] 20/16 | mischaracterize [1] 29/1 |  |
| load [2] 22/7 22/17 | misrepresentation [1] 48/2 | nothing [3] 4/19 29/11 31/10 |
| loaded [1] 22/5 | misrepresenting [1] 35/7 | notice [7] 10/12 16/21 21/24 22/10 |
| longer [2] 17/22 18/4 | mistake [3] 9/12 9/14 9/18 | $22 / 11 \text { 24/11 43/18 }$ |
| look [8] 14/21 17/6 18/1 30/15 33/2 $33 / 1642 / 2146 / 17$ | misuse [1] 41/7 <br> moment [2] 14/11 27/4 | now [10] 7/15 7/17 13/10 13/22 14/24 |
| looked [1] 34/23 | money [1] 39/25 | 19/15 27/4 29/19 29/22 36/16 |
| looking [2] 15/13 28/17 | month [7] 5/22 9/8 9/8 9/10 11/20 14/6 | number [4] 15/14 15/21 22/16 45/12 numbered [1] 26/18 |
| looks [1] 3/19 | 27/9 | NYSCEF [8] 8/11 21/12 21/19 22/17 |
| lot [3] 8/15 8/18 34/20 | monthly [2] 11/17 11/19 | $24 / 324 / 842 / 2348 / 3$ |
| lowest [1] 19/14 | more [5] 5/15 19/13 33/6 39/17 46/21 | 0 |
| Lubell [2] 36/17 37/8 <br> Luther [1] 1/6 | morning [2] 13/14 13/14 <br> most [25] 1/19 1/21 2/11 2/12 2/22 4/6 <br> 4/7 4/11 4/17 4/25 5/2 5/14 11/4 16/22 | o'clock [1] 3/17 oath [2] 4/17 4/22 |

object [8] 7/21 10/4 21/13 22/9 26/17 26/23 27/21 36/20
objection [3] 3/4 7/25 23/19
objections [3] 21/24 24/22 24/22
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off [2] 44/12 44/15
offer [1] 47/24
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Officer [1] 47/25
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okay [20] $2 / 20$ 3/7 4/16 7/7 14/23 21/21 22/2 24/6 28/15 30/12 31/2 32/21 33/6 36/10 39/19 42/24 44/21 48/13 49/1 49/6
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PLLC [1] 1/16
point [3] 18/5 34/13 50/19
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puts [1] 39/9
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question [21] 4/25 7/8 7/12 7/21 12/5
13/22 15/12 17/17 19/20 22/19 24/15
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questions [8] 4/20 5/11 5/13 5/17 11/5
13/24 14/1 39/17
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raise [1] 45/22
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reading [5] 17/8 18/8 21/8 29/14 34/12
reads [1] 36/6
ready [1] 36/5
really [2] 29/10 48/14
reason [4] 23/11 23/11 26/20 28/6
reasonableness [3] 7/23 10/6 36/22
reasons [1] 8/13
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recognize [1] 24/7
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25/6 25/10 25/11 40/21
record [11] 4/7 14/25 20/11 24/20 29/1
43/1 46/10 49/3 50/7 51/1 51/8
recorded [1] 13/20
records [6] 11/1 11/9 11/16 11/18 12/8
44/9
refer [3] 15/14 35/10 42/20
reference [2] 14/11 26/10
referred [2] 15/3 22/25
referring [2] 21/16 39/22
refers [2] 35/9 43/8
regard [9] 13/22 14/18 18/5 18/7 29/23
31/16 33/24 35/14 36/8
regarding [7] 30/25 35/24 37/12 39/24
43/4 43/5 46/25


T
than [6] 16/23 17/11 17/20 17/23
26/20 32/17
Thank [9] 2/20 5/4 39/15 39/19 42/13
42/24 49/2 49/6 50/25
that [242]
that's [26] 4/13 5/2 5/20 7/22 16/1 18/1 18/3 19/12 19/14 20/8 22/16 25/4 26/10 26/13 27/3 28/4 28/14 28/24 35/9 44/1 45/21 47/19 48/12 48/14 49/2 49/16
their [8] 20/16 20/19 32/10 33/4 33/5 33/8 33/11 46/10
them [12] $2 / 23$ 20/17 20/18 23/18
27/13 32/5 32/14 34/9 35/17 36/3 43/2 46/12
then [10] 15/1 17/1 18/17 24/16 27/23
33/10 35/17 38/16 39/17 44/15
therapeutic [1] 32/17
there [48] 3/21 5/3 8/7 8/10 8/11 8/15 9/10 12/24 14/3 18/20 18/21 19/17 19/24 20/21 20/22 23/11 25/12 26/15 27/1 27/4 27/9 27/14 27/16 27/24 28/5 28/18 29/4 29/17 30/5 31/19 32/8
33/20 33/21 34/18 34/20 34/21 35/20
36/18 37/21 40/13 42/22 46/11 46/11
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there's [15] 9/11 9/12 9/14 9/18 20/3 21/1 28/10 31/7 31/9 32/2 36/11 43/11 46/2 50/21 50/24
thereafter [1] 6/15
these [9] 13/24 19/6 19/7 19/7 35/19 35/22 36/6 36/8 47/13
they [13] 3/10 9/8 9/10 9/18 9/19 11/21 27/10 32/6 36/12 43/4 46/5 46/5 47/19
they're [3] 20/24 34/8 43/5
thing [1] 44/21
things [27] 7/18 8/6 8/18 19/17 19/24 20/12 20/13 20/17 20/21 20/22 21/4 21/5 26/15 27/1 27/5 27/6 27/14 27/15 27/16 27/18 27/19 27/24 28/18 41/10 47/13 48/12 48/23
think [29] 5/20 7/16 8/10 11/7 12/23 17/16 18/11 22/10 22/17 27/4 28/11 32/7 32/8 32/15 32/20 34/7 36/4 36/11 36/23 39/7 39/10 39/13 40/16 40/22 41/4 41/5 46/5 46/15 48/14

## this [93]

those [22] 5/12 10/13 10/16 11/21
14/5 16/24 20/3 20/22 21/4 21/5 27/11 29/24 29/25 30/10 31/5 33/20 34/8 34/8 34/22 39/9 47/4 48/5
though [2] 20/10 28/5
thought [1] 25/21
thousands [5] 31/19 32/2 48/21 48/21 48/21
threat [1] 49/23
threats [1] 47/1
three [1] 49/23
through [6] 16/23 17/5 26/18 27/17 27/19 36/3
throughout [1] 19/7
Thursday [2] 1/7 2/2
tied [1] 50/18
time [43] 3/18 6/11 9/6 9/6 11/1 11/9
11/16 11/18 11/20 12/3 12/7 12/10 12/15 12/16 12/22 13/1 13/2 13/4 13/5

13/6 14/15 16/19 16/20 18/3 18/10 23/17 24/4 29/6 29/18 32/8 36/5 36/7 38/25 39/2 40/12 41/13 42/5 43/2 43/7 46/23 47/11 49/19 50/2
Time-Matters [3] 13/1 13/2 14/15 time-sensitive [2] 43/2 43/7
times [6] 13/12 13/19 16/15 29/4 36/7 45/19
timeslip [5] 12/19 12/23 13/6 13/10 14/9
timeslips [3] 12/25 14/6 14/11
today [10] 3/16 3/25 4/13 26/15 31/17 31/19 32/1 35/3 38/7 48/14
together [3] 38/23 38/24 39/9
told [2] 25/22 29/17
too [1] 13/25
took [1] 19/12
top [3] 15/3 44/12 44/15
topic [1] 36/16
topics [1] 43/6
totally [2] 34/3 38/20
track [7] 9/1 11/25 12/2 12/10 12/15
12/16 13/11
trial [1] $41 / 21$
trivial [3] 48/17 48/19 48/20
true [3] 47/19 48/3 51/7
truth [3] $4 / 194 / 194 / 20$
truthfully [1] $4 / 20$
try [2] 13/24 30/12
trying [3] 28/25 29/2 34/5
Tuckahoe [1] 1/14
turn [2] 22/23 23/18
turning [1] 23/1
two [6] 14/1 18/1 24/21 26/2 48/19 50/2
types [1] 6/19
typical [1] 3/18
Typically [1] 38/11

## U

unclear [1] 45/13
under [5] 4/17 4/21 45/4 49/23 49/24
underlying [3] 8/14 9/1 15/3
understand [12] 3/17 4/7 12/5 29/3
33/2 33/17 34/5 36/13 36/14 43/25 44/3 47/7
understanding [4] 23/7 32/2 33/8 45/3
Understood [2] 14/8 25/2
universe [1] 35/23
unless [4] 32/19 33/9 33/20 33/22
until [1] 36/12
up [9] $3 / 9$ 5/13 19/25 22/5 22/7 22/17
31/22 32/18 33/16
upload [1] 14/17
uploaded [7] 2/22 14/2 14/5 14/6
14/10 14/14 21/14
upper [1] 15/22
us [2] 48/20 48/21
use [8] 9/4 11/15 11/21 12/25 13/1
35/8 39/25 39/25
used [3] 11/17 12/23 14/8
using [1] 16/22
V
value [3] 37/24 38/2 38/15
various [4] $8 / 38 / 14$ 24/23 48/22
Vehicles [1] 49/4
very [3] 6/15 24/25 45/13
view [3] 7/20 40/14 40/24
views [2] 33/19 33/20
violation [1] 48/24
violations [5] 46/25 47/12 47/15 48/4 48/5
Visitation [1] 20/15
voice [2] 33/5 33/20
voluntary [2] 25/19 25/21
volunteered [3] 23/1 23/6 25/15

## W

want [21] $2 / 212 / 233 / 154 / 34 / 65 / 16$
13/25 14/21 16/8 23/15 24/4 29/19
29/22 30/12 30/16 37/1 44/16 44/18
44/24 46/5 46/20
wanted [2] 43/25 45/6
wants [1] 44/20
was [39] 7/11 10/15 10/16 11/17 13/21 15/24 18/20 18/20 18/21 22/10 22/25 23/5 23/7 23/13 23/15 25/7 25/12 25/17 25/19 25/21 25/22 31/14 32/19 34/19 34/21 34/22 34/24 37/4 37/8 37/16 38/15 40/13 45/2 46/22 46/24
48/6 48/7 48/9 48/11
wasn't [2] 18/22 27/12
way [10] $4 / 54 / 68 / 216 / 1418 / 2524 / 11$ 34/9 38/22 45/9 50/3
we [34] $3 / 193 / 244 / 14 / 64 / 84 / 145 / 11$
9/6 22/21 29/1 30/13 30/14 30/16
30/17 30/17 32/21 36/3 39/16 39/17
41/21 42/25 43/14 43/23 44/24 45/5
45/6 46/23 47/6 47/11 48/18 48/23
49/22 50/3 50/22
we're [4] 9/5 20/9 20/11 22/15 well [17] 6/21 9/18 14/5 14/7 18/13 22/11 22/12 23/9 23/13 28/25 39/8 41/2 42/11 45/8 46/9 50/13 50/22
were [19] $5 / 18$ 10/13 21/14 23/9 27/11 30/9 34/11 34/18 34/20 34/22 35/20
37/22 44/25 46/23 47/1 47/5 47/11

## 47/12 51/2

weren't [1] 47/19
WESTCHESTER[2] 1/1 1/6
what [43] 4/2 5/9 5/9 5/21 6/11 10/15
11/17 11/19 12/20 14/17 18/5 21/22
23/25 25/4 26/14 26/19 26/20 28/1
28/24 29/1 33/2 33/12 34/20 34/23
35/7 35/12 36/11 38/6 38/7 40/9 41/6
42/3 42/5 43/4 44/6 45/13 46/13 47/6
48/1 48/6 48/7 49/16 50/11
what's [2] 9/25 15/5
whatever [3] 14/14 28/6 29/21
when [12] 6/13 9/14 13/10 15/12 18/20
21/3 32/16 33/7 34/11 38/23 38/23 50/14
where [8] 5/16 25/13 26/23 27/12
40/13 44/8 45/18 47/17
Whereupon [2] 37/4 51/2
whether [7] 8/20 19/12 21/7 35/8 45/7
46/11 46/13
which [27] 7/2 7/6 7/9 8/3 9/2 11/17 14/11 15/1 18/13 18/14 20/22 21/21 26/8 26/16 27/5 27/6 27/14 28/11 28/18 29/20 30/17 32/22 35/24 36/18 38/24 48/10 49/9
while [2] 22/21 41/19


