1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER 2 ----X 3 ALLAN KASSENOFF, 4 Plaintiff, 5 Index #:58217-19 v. 6 CATHERINE KASSENOFF, 7 Defendant. ----X 8 June 7, 2019 Westchester County Courthouse 111 Dr. M.L.K., Jr. Boulevard 9 White Plains, New York 10601 10 BEFORE: HON. DAVID EVERETT, 11 Supreme Court Justice 12 13 APPEARANCES: MANIATIS & DIMOPOULOS, PC 14 Attorney for Plaintiff 73 Main Street 15 Tuckahoe, NY 10707 BY: GUS DIMOPOULOS, ESQ. 16 ATTY BRUGGEMANN, ESQ. 17 LIEBERMAN & LEBOVIT, Attorney for Defendant 18 334 Underhill Avenue Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 BY: MITCHELL LIEBERMAN, ESO. 19 MILLER LAW GROUP 20 For Defendant 271 North Avenue 21 New Rochelle, NY 10801 BY: SHARA H GOLDFARB, ESQ. 22 23 Carol Kuczinski Senior Court Reporter 24 25

Direct - Pompilio - Defense

1 DETECTIVE LISA 2 P O M P I L I O, called by the Defense as a 3 witness, assigned to the Larchmont Police Department was duly sworn, examined testified 4 as follows: 5 THE COURT: Please state your name, 6 7 rank and command. 8 THE WITNESS: Lisa Pompilio, 9 Detective with the Village of Larchmont Police 10 Department, L I S A, P O M P I L I O. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LIEBERMAN: 12 Q Detective, you are here pursuant to 13 14 subpoena? 15 A Yes. 16 You were served yesterday? 0 17 A Yes, I was. 18 How long have you been employed at 0 19 Larchmont Police Department? 20 Seven years. Α 21 When did you first become a police Q officer? 2.2 23 А March of 2013. 24 Q Only department you worked with is 25 Larchmont?

1 А Yes. 2 Where did you go to the academy? 0 3 А Orange County Police Chief Police Academy New Windsor New York. 4 5 When did you achieve the rank of 0 detective? 6 7 A February of 2015. 8 Q And in the course of your training, 9 whether it be at the academy or subsequently, have 10 you ever been trained in the interview process of children who have been abused or have witnessed 11 12 abuse? 13 A Yes, I have. 14 Where did you receive that training and Q 15 when? 16 А Through several training courses at the 17 Westchester County Police Academy has offered, as 18 well as through my academy. 19 I am also a asserted youth detective as well as the school S R O. 20 I am also a licensed teacher in the State of 21 2.2 New York. 23 In particular, in terms of interviewing Q 24 children under -- strike that. 25 Have you had training in dealing too young to

1 understand the meaning of an oath? 2 А Yes. 3 And was that training includes in the 0 4 agencies you just identified? 5 А Yes. And did you receive any certificates or 6 0 7 documentation evidencing that you have been so 8 trained in interviewing children who are not of 9 sufficient age to understand the meaning of an 10 oath? 11 Just my police academy certification, my А S R O certification. 12 But I want to make it clear I am not a New 13 York State licensed forensic interviewer. They 14 15 are two, confusing different things. Q And now in the course of your duties as a 16 17 detective in Larchmont and S R O officer, have you 18 had occasion, prior to this May, have you had occasion to interview children who are not of 19 20 sufficient age to understand the meaning of an 21 oath? 2.2 A Yes, I have. 23 Did you apply the techniques that you Q 24 were taught at these various classes and academies 25 in doing so?

1 А Yes, I have. 2 And is that one of your job duties, one 0 3 of your job descriptions as a detective in Larchmont, that is to interview children of that 4 5 age? Yes, it is. 6 А 7 0 Have you ever testified before in a 8 courtroom? 9 А Yes, I have. 10 Have you ever testified concerning 0 11 statements made to you by children who are not old enough to understand the meaning of an oath? 12 13 No, I have not. А 14 Have you ever interviewed a child who is 0 15 not of sufficient age to understand the meaning of the oath which resulted in the pursuit of criminal 16 17 charges? 18 Yes, I have. А 19 And in that case or cases was there Q 20 reliance made by your agency upon your interview of that child? 21 2.2 Α Yes, there was. 23 Did any of those cases so -- actually go Q 24 to the fact that an individual was charged with a 25 crime?

1	A Did it end up in court is what you are
2	asking me?
3	Q Yes.
4	A Yes, it has.
5	Q Do you recall the result of that case?
6	A The suspect or the defendant did. They
7	were charged in Court and there were subsequent
8	actions afterwards.
9	I believe there was it was a sexual assault
10	case and there was a registry made after that.
11	Q You said you are an S R O?
12	A Yes, I am.
13	Q Where?
14	A Chatsworth School in Larchmont.
15	Q What are the ages?
16	A Kindergarten through fifth grade and they
17	do have a special needs pre K.
18	Q So some of the children at that school,
19	you would agree, are not of sufficient age, to
20	understand the meaning of an oath?
21	A Yes. I am also the S R O at the Temple,
22	French American School and at the Larchmont Avenue
23	Church and at Saint Johns School.
24	Q So there are eight days a week in
25	Larchmont?

1 А Yes, there are. 2 Directing your attention to Friday May 17 0 3 of this year, were you employed? Yes, I was. 4 А 5 Were you working that day? 0 Yes, I was. 6 Α 7 Were you working at the school? 0 8 Α No, I was not. I was working at my desk 9 working. 10 Q Did there come a time you received a request to attend one of the schools? 11 12 Yes, I did. А 13 And approximately when was it? 0 14 А It was in the morning around, I believe, 15 10:00 a.m., I received a telephone call from 16 Detective Sergeant Gata from the Village 17 Mamaroneck Police Department, G A T A. 18 Based on that call what action, if any, 0 19 did you take? 20 Myself and my lieutenant, Lieutenant Α Sanchez we responded to One Harbor Island Park 21 2.2 where they have a recreational school on the 23 grounds there in the harbor. 24 We were advised that there was a five-year old

female who had made accusations and those

25

1 accusations occurred at 161 Beach Avenue in the 2 jurisdiction of Larchmont

3 So even though she was reporting it at the 4 school, the incident did not occur within the 5 jurisdiction of the Village of Mamaroneck.

6 Q That's why you were called?

7 A Yes.

8 Q When you arrived, who, if anyone, greeted 9 you?

10 A The detective from the Village of 11 Mamaroneck were already on the scene along with 12 the detective sergeant, one of which is their 13 youth detective who is also an S R O. They met us 14 at the door.

I was introduced to the school staff. We had some conversation amongst us, and then once the children were dismissed, when there were several left, they brought out Josephina. This way I didn't walk into the classroom and also parents didn't see me. We were off into another area of the school.

22 Q Josephina, the parties' daughter?23 A Yes.

24 Q Had you ever met her before?

25 A No, I had not.

1 Q Did you come to learn that she has a 2 nickname? 3 A We did discuss several things that day; 4 ves. 5 Joe Joe? Q Yes. 6 А 7 Q Did there come a time then you interviewed Joe Joe? 8 9 Α I did. I had a -- I wouldn't call it an 10 interview but it was more just simple questions, age appropriate questions to elicit information 11 12 from her. 13 Q And was your lieutenant with you when you 14 did this? 15 A No. It was myself and Josephina and the 16 teacher. She sat in the chair because she was 17 doing some work on the computer. 18 Q When you arrived did you see anyone from 19 Child Protective Services present? 20 No, they arrived after I did. Α 21 Did they arrive before or after you Q conducted the interview with Joe Joe? 2.2 23 А After. 24 Q How long did you interview Joe Joe? 25 15 minutes. I would say the first seven А

1 or eight minutes was simple banter: How old are 2 you? What do you like to do? What's your 3 favorite color? Do you have any pets; very 4 simple, very informal. 5 Why do you do that? 0 To get them comfortable. I don't want 6 А 7 them to feel that I am a threat. 8 A big part of what I do, when you go to the 9 school, is so that kids see my face. This way if 10 I am at their home, it's not always a bad thing. 11 They associate me with being something that is safe. 12 I am not, you know, just a cop. I am not a 13 14 bad guy. But they associate me with being safe 15 and somebody that they can come to. 16 Q Did you identify yourself as a police 17 officer? 18 A I am wearing basically what I am wearing 19 now; shirt, pants, shield, and my sidearm. 20 Do you know if she ever seen you before 0 21 at the school? 2.2 А I believe she said she had seen me at the 23 school before just in passing because she has a 24 sibling that's there. 25 THE COURT: Because what?

Direct - Pompilio - Defense 1 THE WITNESS: In passing because 2 she has a sibling at the Chatsworth School. 3 Who is that sibling? 0 That is Alexandra. 4 А 5 And have you met and spoken with 0 Alexandra orally before? 6 7 А No, I have not. 8 0 Did you get a sense after that first 9 seven or eight minutes of questioning to get her 10 comfortable that she was comfortable talking with 11 vou? 12 Yes, very comfortable. А 13 Was she freely answering your questions? 0 14 А I didn't even have to initiate. She started the conversation. 15 16 0 Did there come a time in that 17 conversation that you asked her any questions 18 about her mother or father? 19 I did, yes. А 20 Can you tell us how that came about and 0 21 what you asked? 2.2 А So, based off the information that I had 23 received from the detective sergeant and the 24 school personnel when I arrived, after asking 25 Josephina about her favorite color and things she

1 liked to do, what her favorite games are, her 2 favorite dolls, I asked how things are at home, 3 who she lives with, do you have any siblings and once I asked who you live with and do you have 4 5 siblings, that opened up the floodgates. What do you mean? 6 0 7 THE COURT: Hold -- what information 8 had you been -- had you received that made you 9 decide to go forward with the interview? 10 In other words, what had you been 11 told were the allegations at this point; just 12 allegations? What were you -- why you went to 13 the next. 14 THE WITNESS: So she, Josephina, 15 went to the teacher on her own and told the 16 teacher that she was afraid to go home. She was afraid of her father. She didn't know if 17 18 her father was picking her up or the 19 babysitter. But either way she did not want 20 to go home. 21 That prompted the teachers to ask 22 some questions: "Why are you afraid" and she 23 told the teacher that her father had kicked her sister. She also told the teachers 24 25 that --

1 THE COURT: Which sister did? THE WITNESS: Alexandra, her father 2 3 had kicked Alexandra. She also told the teachers that 4 5 prior to the kicking there was also an event 6 where mom had been hit in the eye with a plant 7 and it was so badly swollen, that her sister 8 actually had to help her mom drive, was giving 9 her directions navigating the road for her. 10 She was afraid and fearful for her mom. 11 THE COURT: So now you are 12 speaking -- you dropped them off? 13 THE WITNESS: Once I asked 14 Josephina who she lived with, she started to 15 tell me the same thing, that she was afraid to 16 go home. She made very specific references to 17 the incident that occurred when Alexandra was 18 kicked. 19 Can you tell us exactly what she said? Q Sure. I do have detective notes and 20 А 21 supplements that are verbatim. 2.2 You want me to take them out and read to you 23 exact notes? 24 If you took verbatim from the child --0 25 THE COURT: If it's verbatim I will

1 allow it. MR. LIEBERMAN: I would be fine with 2 3 that as well. THE WITNESS: I do. 4 5 Do you have copies of those? 0 This is the copies that I was ordered to 6 А 7 bring. 8 MR. LIEBERMAN: Can I have that 9 marked as Defendant's A? 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 11 item was duly marked Defense Exhibit A for 12 identification.) 13 Detective, we are showing what you Q 14 produced and as marked as Exhibit A? 15 Can you tell us what that is? 16 So this is a packet of reports that the Α 17 Larchmont Police Department has taken. 18 My detective notes are also included in here 19 but detective notes are separate. They are not 20 anything that comes into the detective office, is 21 not fileable. So I had to go and pull the reports 22 that were requested in addition to my detective 23 report. 24 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Pardon me. Can I 25 have -- can I please have a copy of the

1	subpoena the witness received and a copy of
2	the reports?
3	Q I am aware of your detective notes. You
4	are aware of reports you have as well?
5	I will ask those be marked separately. Only
6	detective notes be deemed Exhibit A if that's
7	okay, your Honor. I will ask Exhibit A be limited
8	just to the detective notes. I don't know what
9	the other reports are.
10	THE COURT: Why don't we do this:
11	Why don't you separate them?
12	MR. LIEBERMAN: I will ask you to
13	look at the reports now to see if we can if
14	you even want to use them.
15	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Are there more than
16	one copy?
17	THE WITNESS: You do not have my
18	detective supplements.
19	MR. DIMOPOULOS: The subpoena says
20	there should be any and all records, the
21	totality of the documents that the detective
22	brought to court today, are they somewhere so
23	I could review subpoenaed material?
24	THE COURT: I am not in possession.
25	(Short recess taken.)

1	Q I am going to ask this be marked as
2	Exhibit B which is the 5-17 incident report.
3	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
4	item was marked Defense Exhibit B for
5	identification.)
6	(Witness shown.)
7	Q Detective, I have given you Exhibit A and
8	B. Let's get back to where we were on Exhibit A.
9	You indicated you took verbatim notes you had
10	with the child?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Please tell us what was said in response
13	to the questions you posed?
14	A I will start "reporting detective
15	interviewed the child, Josephina Kassenoff, date
16	of birth 8-10-2013, who stated the following:
17	Number one, she lives with her mom and dad, sister
18	Charlotte and Alexandra and their dogs. She is
19	afraid of her father." This is number two. I
20	apologize.
21	"She is afraid of her father because he said
22	'you should all go to hell.' She watched him kick
23	her sister Charlotte. After he kicked her, he
24	kept yelling and I ran upstairs and hid in my
25	mom's room while she was napping." Semi colon,

1 "and hit her mother so hard in the eye that she 2 needed to see a doctor.

3 She further stated that her sister had to sit in the front seat of the car with their mother 4 5 and help her see the street and lights because her eye was so swollen she couldn't see. 6 7 Number three, Josephina further told the 8 reporting detective she was very scared for her 9 mom. 10 Number four, she hides in the bathroom or closet when she hears the sound of her father's 11 12 flip flops or shoes on the floor. 13 Number five, she stated her father needs to be 14 taking medicine but he has not been. He yells and 15 curses at us. 16 Number six, her father was yelling at her and 17 grabbed her left arm so hard she had marks and 18 bruises 19 Reporting detective looked at the left upper 20 arm and saw a slight small circular bruise which 21 appeared to have been several days old. 2.2 Number six, when her father was yelling at 23 Alexandra and kicked her, Charlotte was very upset 24 and left the house to walk to school. She, meaning 25 Josephina, further stated that she and her sisters

were hiding in the bathroom with their mother Catherine Kassenoff, and Alexandra told her that their father had kicked her quote 'at first mommy didn't want to believe it, but then I told her I was there and I saw it and that I was scared' end of quote.

Number seven, Josephina asked the reporting detective 'do you think my daddy is going to kill her or hurt us real bad?'

10 When asked why she would think such a thing, 11 Josephina responded quote 'because he said we 12 should all go to hell' end of quote.

13 Number eight, Josephina stated several times 14 that she did not want to go home with her father 15 or with the babysitter if her father was going to 16 be there."

I further wrote that I found Josephina to be a sweet little girl who is very well spoken and extremely smart

Throughout the interview Josephina was consistent with her facts. She was clear, concise and easily recalled all facts with no hesitation and then the next part goes on to me telephoning mom.

25 Q Now, during the course of the interview

1 of this child, what questions or efforts did you 2 make to ascertain that she was being candid with 3 you, that she was telling the truth? 4 I rephrased several of my questions to А 5 see if I elicited the same response, which I did. Her responses did not change. 6 7 Like I stated, there was no hesitation. She 8 didn't have to sit and try and recall. She was 9 very open. 10 Like I said earlier, it was truly like a 11 floodgate. She just wanted to give me the information and I saw such a relief in her 'cause 12 13 at first she was shaking and she was fidgeting. 14 The longer we spoke the more comfortable she 15 became. 16 Q And based on that and the questions posed 17 and the answers --18 MR. LIEBERMAN: County attorney. 19 Q Based on the questions posed, the answers 20 received, your training, your experience, did you make a determination as to whether Joe Joe was 21 2.2 being truthful? 23 А Yes, I did. 24 What was that determination? 0 25 А I know from my training and my teaching

certification that there is a certain age where children have the ability which is age appropriate to make up a story and be consistent with that story developmentally a five-year old does not have the ability to make up a story and consistently recall those facts without getting tripped up.

8 We find that with a five-year old, six-year 9 old, the facts are sometimes, I want to say, 10 marked up and crazy when they are making up 11 stories because they are trying to give you a fake 12 story, but at the same time they are trying to 13 recall what it was they told you.

Where a ten-year old or 11-year old has the developmental ability to make up a lie and remember consistent what it is that they gave you. So based on that and her language skills, her ability to tell me explicitly what happened, I felt she was being truthful.

20 Q And as a result of your finding and 21 interviewing of this child -- strike that.

Had you been made aware at that time that Allie had made any report on the prior Tuesday to CPS?

25 A I found out after I had interviewed

Josephina in two ways: One, it was brought to my attention by CPS because once I finished, CPS had been there and they brought it to my attention prior to CPS getting there. I had contacted the principal at the Chatsworth School.

Q Who is that?

6

7 А That's Katie Anderson. We have a very 8 good working relationship. So I called just to quickly ask her one -- was 'cause now I had found 9 10 out that Alexandra had been kicked so I didn't 11 know if I was going to have to go and interview 12 her, so I called the school to find out if she had 13 been in school that day and in that conversation Katie said, "well was this because of the report 14 that the school made?" 15

16 So, now I had confirmation that CPS was 17 involved because of the report that the school 18 made.

19 Q And after the interview process was done 20 what other actions or steps did you take regarding 21 this?

A So I immediately contacted Mrs. Kassenoff. I called her. I explained to her who I was, where I was, that I was with her daughter, that her daughter was safe and happy, but

1 concerned and afraid and I needed for her to respond to the school because we had not released 2 3 her daughter to the babysitter who was there to pick her up. 4 Did she come? 5 0 6 А Immediately. 7 0 When she arrived did you interview her? 8 А I did an informal interview. 9 Did you share with her any of what you Ο 10 said to us today? 11 No. I waited for CPS. Mom was Α 12 immediately asking to see Josephina, very 13 concerned. She expressed that her daughter had 14 been through a lot and now I had interviewed her, 15 the school had questioned her prior to me coming 16 there. She was very very concerned about her 17 well-being. 18 I told her her daughter was with the teacher 19 listening to the graduation song. Once CPS 20 arrived, had the opportunity to ascertain what was 21 going on, we were going to make a decision going 2.2 forward. Then I would allow her to see her 23 daughter. But she continued to keep asking. 24 Did you become aware CPS went in to Ο 25 interview Joe Joe?

1 A Yes, I did.

2 Q Do you recall who that was?

A There were two women on site; Mrs.
4 Younger and Mrs. Reid.

5 Did they both go in and interview her? 0 We all did. I actually followed in. I 6 А 7 stood back towards the door. But again I use this 8 as an opportunity to listen to the information 9 that was elicited what we did was Mrs. Kassenoff 10 was very hesitant to allow CPS to go in and 11 question her daughter. She was very concerned 12 about the emotional stability of her daughter. 13 At that point in time we all questioned her. 14 We sat together out in the lobby. We came -- we 15 finally came to an agreement that CPS would be 16 allowed to ask a set number of questions and we 17 discussed what those questions would be prior to

18 going in.

19 Q Did you find her concerns to be fair?20 A Yes.

21 Q And who went in the room then with the 22 two CPS workers?

23 A Myself and Mrs. Kassenoff.

24 Q And the CPS workers allowed her in the 25 room for that?

1 А Yes. 2 Did Mrs. Kassenoff participate in any of 0 3 the questions of her daughter? She kissed her daughter hello and she 4 А 5 said these women, like Detective Pompilio, have a couple of questions for you and you can answer the 6 7 question. 8 0 And CPS, did either of the two people 9 from CPS ask questions of Joe Joe? 10 One woman did, Mrs. Reid. Α 11 And did you hear the questions and hear 0 the answers? 12 13 Yes, I did. А 14 And in hearing those questions and 0 15 answers did anything alert you to the possibility 16 -- strike that. 17 Did those questions and answers confirm with 18 you your assessment of Josephina's credibility or 19 not? 20 Yes, they did. They were the exact same Α 21 responses and they worded their questions 2.2 differently. 23 How long did you interview Joe Joe 0 24 yourself with the teacher in the room? 25 Maybe 15 minutes. А

1 Q And how long did CPS interview for? 2 А Three minutes. 3 After the interview was done were you 0 4 present for a meeting between Mrs. Kassenoff and CPS? 5 Yes. 6 А 7 Q Do you know who else was present for that 8 meeting? 9 Yes. Myself, my detective lieutenant, Α 10 Detective Maresca from the Village of Mamaroneck 11 Police Department and the teachers were -- one 12 teacher was with Josephina in the room playing the 13 graduation song and the other one popped out for a 14 moment and then went back in, but she wasn't truly there for our conversation. 15 16 0 The two CPS workers? 17 А Two CPS workers. 18 Did there come a time that you heard 0 19 anyone indicate to Mrs. Kassenoff that she needed 20 to take action against Mr. Kassenoff for what had 21 just occurred? 2.2 А Yes. 23 And what was that? 0 24 A CPS. So we, as you have -- we have, I 25 believe, it's 16 prior reports where the police

Direct - Pompilio - Defense

have either attempted to make contact with CPS at the Kassenoff home or we have responded to the home.

One of those cases I was directly involved in outside of the incident that occurred last week. But part of CPS's concern was, one, that there were several open cases, that they had not been given any access to the children to interview the children which is standard procedure, and we were explaining that to Mrs. Kassenoff.

11 THE COURT: What is that standard 12 procedure?

13 THE WITNESS: When CPS reports are 14 made, as we are mandated reporters, CPS must 15 do home visits.

16 So when the state takes in an 17 accusation and it's given to a caseworker, 18 they have to respond to the home. They have 19 to see the children. They need to make sure 20 the children are safe.

THE COURT: Did they in fact, in those cases, get to see the children? THE WITNESS: In none of the incidents, all of which are documented in our reports, we have gone there and they had not

1 been. Either no one answered the door or no one was home. 2 We don't know if no one was home 3 and not answered. It is not our job to 4 accuse. We went with CPS. No one answered 5 the door. We document it. 6 7 Q So, we don't know if the failure to have 8 previously interviewed the children and any of these 15, 16 other incidents was due to Mr. 9 10 Kassenoff, Mrs. Kassenoff or somebody at CPS just not following up? 11 I don't know. I know there was a 12 А 13 discussion between the CPS workers and Mrs. 14 Kassenoff. I am not privy to CPS reports. They 15 share some information, but I never get reports. 16 But I know that there was conversations between 17 CPS and Miss Kassenoff previously. 18 And on that day did Miss Kassenoff 0 19 consent to CPS interviewing the children? 20 She did. It wasn't easy, and by no fault А 21 of her own, she was concerned about us 2.2 interviewing Josephina and seeing -- uprooting and 23 really causing chaos into what was already a 24 chaotic situation for those kids. 25 O But she allowed --

1 А She did allow it. Yes, she did. 2 Let's got back to my original question. 0 3 А Absolutely. 4 Did CPS in any manner, shape or form 0 5 advise my client that she needed to seek out judicial relief against Mr. Kassenoff? 6

7 A They specifically told her if she did not 8 go with them to Family Court in New Rochelle, they 9 were going to seek taking the children from them. 10 They were going to get an order to take the 11 children and they were going to get the order of 12 protection after taking the children from her. 13 Absolutely.

THE COURT: Did Mrs. Kassenoff 14 15 indicate to you in the course of your 16 discussions whether she was aware of the 17 allegations that Joe Joe had made to you? 18 THE WITNESS: She was aware of the 19 allegations. And I wrote in my notes she did 20 say to me that, and I am going to read it to you verbatim, "at the time -- at this time 21 2.2 reporting detective began an informal 23 interview of Mrs. Kassenoff. She advised a 24 reporting detective that there were marital 25 problems but that she was hoping they could

1 have worked it out. She confirmed Josephine's story about being hit in the eye by a plant by 2 her husband Mr. Allen Kassenoff, date of birth 3 5-25-1973 and that she was driving with the 4 help of her daughter in order to receive 5 medical attention. 6 7 Again, Mrs. Kassenoff repeated that 8 she had hoped they could have worked past 9 this. She continuously asked to see her 10 daughter and was generally concerned about 11 her. She said 'my daughter has been through 12 so much. This is traumatizing and I just want 13 to hug her.'" 14 Was there any indication that my client 0 had been made aware that Allie had claimed or --15 16 strike that. 17 Is there any awareness on her part of this 18 incident before you told her about it, that is 19 the --20 А Yes, she had found out, I believe, it was 21 a night or two before, her and the girls were in 2.2 the bathroom together and Alexandra brought it to 23 her attention. I had read that through what 24 Josephina had told me. And at first Mrs. 25 Kassenoff didn't believe her. That's what

1 Josephina told me, and then Josephina said "mommy I saw it. I saw her get kicked." That's when she 2 3 realized Alexandra was telling the truth. 4 MR. LIEBERMAN: Can I see the notes, 5 Exhibit A? 6 The questions that were agreed upon Ο 7 between Miss Kassenoff and CPS, did you find any 8 aspect of what she was requesting to be designed 9 to insulate her? 10 No. She wanted them to ask questions А 11 that were simple, concise and specific, but didn't 12 upset Josephina any more. She wanted to make sure 13 that they didn't go more into depth outside of the 14 scope of where we had already gone, which I didn't 15 think at that time was unreasonable. They needed 16 to hear -- the CPS worker specifically said they 17 needed to hear what I heard. 18 And there came a point where Miss 0 19 Kassenoff provided you a copy of the order of the 20 protection from the Family Court? 21 I believe that, because I had left for А 2.2 the day, it was dropped off by the social worker. 23 Now, there were 16 prior reports that you Q are aware of that CPS was involved, right? 24 25 I am not sure how many of those CPS, yes, А

1 truly. 2 16 that your department --0 3 А Yes, sir. In considering the credibility of the 4 0 5 allegations that Joe Joe had made, had you or did you review any of those prior reports? 6 7 А All cases where children are involved, 8 because I am the youth detective, come across my 9 desk. 10 You are familiar with that? 0 11 I was familiar with the Kassenoffs. Α 12 Had you previously interviewed either Mr. Q 13 Or Mrs. Kassenoff? 14 А No, I had not. 15 Q Do you know if any of the members of your 16 department had ever interviewed Mr. Kassenoff? 17 No, there were DIR's done, yes. А 18 THE COURT: What is a DIR. 19 THE WITNESS: DIR domestic incident 20 report which we are mandated to complete when 21 we respond for a domestic dispute. 2.2 Q And of those reports that required your 23 department to respond to the Kassenoffs, do you 24 recall if they were all calls from one party, 25 calls from both parties, and if I showed you the

1 reports, would that refresh your recollection? 2 A Yes, sir. 3 MR. LIEBERMAN: Judge, I will return to the detective each of her originals 4 5 together with Defendant's Exhibit A. Only thing I am holding on to the 6 7 to is the second narrative. 8 THE WITNESS: And I have B. 9 Look at B and the balance of unmarked Q 10 reports. THE COURT: All set? 11 12 А Yes. 13 Can you answer the question? Q 14 It appears to be four, where Mrs. А 15 Kassenoff reported, there are three where we 16 initiated because CPS came and I have two from Mr. 17 Kassenoff plus one with him where we served the 18 show cause order. I am returning your other narrative. 19 0 20 Give me one second please. 21 Is your investigation still open? 2.2 A Yes, it is, sir. 23 I really appreciate you getting here on 0 24 such short notice. I am done with your questions. I am sure they will have some questions. 25

1 A Okay no problem. 2 THE COURT: Mr. DiMopoulos? 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. DIMOPOULOS: 4 5 Good morning Miss Pompilio. I, too, thank 0 you for being here on such an important matter? 6 7 In your training and your experience do you 8 find it useful to know when you are interviewing a 9 child certain background information about the 10 parents and the parenting and what's going on in 11 the house, those types of things? 12 Sometimes. But when I -- my Α 13 responsibility is for the safety of the child. I don't get involved in -- unless we are called 14 15 there on a domestic, again if we go and there are 16 children, my responsibility is for the children. 17 Children can't fend for themselves. So I sit and 18 listen to what the children have to say. I take 19 my report, if CPS is not already involved, I take 20 the next step and I make that report to CPS and 21 then I let CPS work through what needs to be 2.2 worked through. 23 My responsibility is the safety of the 24 children.

25 Q Duly noted. But we are talking now

1 globally, not specifically this case just yet. Ιf 2 there were, in any case, if there was an abusive 3 parent, hypothetically, in a home and the child 4 from that home were to report something to you, do 5 you think knowing that there was an abusive parent at home would be helpful to your investigation? 6 7 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection to the 8 form, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: It wasn't really a clear 10 question. 11 In the process of interviewing a child 0 12 that has made a statement, such as the one Joe Joe 13 made, if you were to know that one of the parents 14 at home was abusive to that child, either 15 emotionally, verbally or otherwise, would that 16 information be helpful to you in determining that 17 child's report or that credibility or your 18 followup? 19 I would do an interview of parents. А 20 However, I didn't. At that point I didn't need to 21 move quickly and do the interview with both 2.2 parents because CPS was already involved. So my 23 interviewing of either party, be it this case or

24 any other case, at this point in time would be 25 based on what CPS needs.

1 Q So if am understanding you, you felt that 2 because CPS was immediately involved, that you 3 didn't really have to further investigate by 4 speaking to the alleged victim or the father or 5 anything like that? MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection. Excuse 6 7 me. Objection; relevance and form. 8 THE COURT: I am going to allow it. 9 THE WITNESS: We sat at his house 10 waiting that evening for him to come in order 11 to serve him. 12 At that point in time my partner 13 would have done an informal interview the way 14 we did an informal interview with Miss 15 Kassenoff. 16 My partner sat there for two hours. 17 We didn't see him come home. 18 Did you call him? 0 19 When we serve an order of protection we А 20 don't call another party. 21 What about -- did you know that Mr. 0 22 Kassenoff on the day you tried to serve him was 23 actually in Korea? 24 A He was home that day. 25 What day was that? Q

Cross - Pompilio - Plaintiff

1 А That was on Friday. That was -- Friday was the date that it was, on Friday. 2 3 MR. LIEBERMAN: I can't answer. THE WITNESS: It was Friday. I am 4 5 not really asking. I am thinking out loud. I am going to look at my report. It 6 7 was on the 17th. It was Friday. So we were 8 told that he was home that day and I verified 9 that with Josephina that he was home. 10 So the 17th, you had this information, 0 11 did you ask Mrs. Kassenoff to provide with Mr. 12 Kassenoff's phone number? 13 А We already had it. 14 Why didn't you call him and ask him what 0 15 happened? 16 Because we can't -- we can't alert Α 17 another party to what's going on. CPS, they were 18 going to get an order of protection, you have to 19 understand when we get reports and there is 20 domestic violence and there is times where 21 children can get hurt, we don't alert the other 2.2 party. I am not going to have it on me at the end 23 of the day if something happens. 24 We have certain procedures and protocol we 25 follow. We knew the order of protection was

1 coming and he needed to be served. That's what I 2 had to do. 3 At that point in time it wasn't my role to contact him and interview him. 4 5 Are you certain that there was an order 0 of protection issued by the Family Court on 6 7 May 17th? 8 MR. LIEBERMAN: Just note my 9 objection I don't think there was a question 10 and answer to that effect. 11 I think it is a misstatement of 12 what the question and answer were on direct as 13 to date. 14 Q What date? 15 THE COURT: I will let you rephrase. 16 What date, the order of protection you 0 were serving or sought to serve on Mr. Kassenoff, 17 18 what was the state of? 19 THE COURT: Date of the order? 20 THE WITNESS: It is May 17, 2019. 21 Do you have a copy of that? Q 2.2 А I do. 23 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I just see 24 that, Judge? 25 Q Now, Joe Joe reported to you that she was

1 aware or witnessed an incident where her father 2 threw something at the mother, is that correct? 3 That is correct. She said her sister had А been outside with them. 4 Which sister? 5 0 Alexandra. 6 А 7 0 What did she tell you about that 8 incident? What did she say? 9 That there was yelling and screaming and Α 10 arguing, that she explained it as a plant. But 11 when I spoke to Mrs. Kassenoff it wasn't a plant. 12 It was like dirt and root and whatever had come 13 up, that he had thrown it at her at close range 14 and she had sustained. 15 So I didn't tell her exactly what I knew so I 16 wanted to see if the information that Josephina 17 gave me was correct and she was surprised that I 18 knew and she was very forthcoming and said "yes, 19 that's what happened but it wasn't a plant it was 20 root and dirt." 21 Q Did Joe Joe tell you she was there and 2.2 saw that? She just said he hit her mother so hard 23 А 24 in the eye she needed to see a doctor. 25 She further stated that her sister had to sit

1 in front seat of the car with their mother and 2 help her see the street and lights because her eye 3 was so swollen she couldn't see. That's the --4 0 5 She did not say she physically saw it. А But she saw her mother's swollen eye, 6 0 correct? 7 8 А That's what she said. 9 What if I told you that I have a text 0 10 message from Catherine Kassenoff to her friend 11 sent after that incident with the plant that says, if I had --12 13 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection, Judge. 14 This is where we get into the --15 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, Your Honor. It 16 is a hypothetical question. 17 MR. LIEBERMAN: No. No. 18 You can't. He is about to divulge 19 attorney/client privilege communication. 20 THE COURT: Counsel, Mr. Kassenoff, 21 I don't really appreciate that. 2.2 I will allow -- I want to hear the 23 question then you can voice your objection. 24 If you knew that Miss Kassenoff, after 0 25 that happened, made a statement to a friend of

hers that read, "if I had a mark or a bruise or 1 something it would be easy, but he will lie and 2 3 then I am in a he said/she said with corroboration from a liar." 4 5 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection, Judge. THE COURT: What's the question? 6 7 Q What if I told you that Miss Kassenoff 8 herself admitted that it was not a mark or bruise 9 on her face? Would that affect your determination of credibility of Joe Joe of that event? 10 THE COURT: Hold on a second. 11 12 What's your objection? 13 MR. LIEBERMAN: Several: First off, 14 that's an attorney/client privilege 15 communication and notwithstanding the snickers 16 from counsel's table, Miss Monaco is an attorney. Miss Monaco has a history of 17 18 matrimonial practice. Miss Monaco doesn't 19 need a formal retainer agreement to have an 20 attorney/client privilege communication. You 21 don't need anything in writing if someone 2.2 speaks with an attorney who is also a friend, 23 but is an attorney who is receiving legal 24 advice that is privileged.

25 Number two, I didn't get to address

1 this when we first started, the claim about being locked out of the Google account; what 2 3 he did was, because he had apparently it was able --4 5 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Does the witness need to be here for this? 6 MR. LIEBERMAN: I was the one who 7 objected. But she heard first part. She 8 9 ought to hear the rest of it. 10 THE COURT: I don't think it will 11 affect your testimony. 12 MR. LIEBERMAN: He illegally accesses, in violation of federal law -- I 13 14 just had this issue before Judge Lubell. He 15 went in and took her text messages, put aside 16 it is an attorney/client privilege communication. He has no authority to take 17 18 her text messages, whether they are stored on 19 a cloud or on her phone. He has no authority whatsoever to do that. It is an illegally 20 seized bit of information; A. 21 2.2 B, it's completely out of context. 23 It is wholly outside of context to say this. There is no indication in there that 24 25 corroborates what counsel is saying. There is

1 no context from my client as to the back and forth. It's a conversation with her and an 2 3 attorney that is evolving. You don't know if it is part hypothetical, you don't know if it 4 5 is all the facts at once. It is a blip, a 6 snippet. That's how this whole thing was put 7 together. 8 This snippet is from illegally 9 obtained evidence. 10 MR. DIMOPOULOS: May I respond to 11 illegally obtained evidence? Okay. 12 This text message was on a laptop 13 that belonged to the family that was open in 14 the marital residence. 15 MR. LIEBERMAN: Text message on a 16 laptop. 17 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Number two, this 18 friend of hers that is an attorney is the most 19 preposterous argument I have ever heard --20 crime fraud exception --THE COURT: Let's tone this down a 21 2.2 little bit. I don't think you have to ask 23 this question from this witness because I am 24 the trier of facts here. 25 What I suggest you to do, you don't

1 have to tie this witness here now. I will consider the arguments of both sides. I am 2 3 the one who should be considering what you are trying to say, if I could consider it at all. 4 5 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I ask a hypothetical; has nothing to do with the text 6 7 message? 8 0 If the detective knew that Miss Kassenoff 9 did not have a bruise anywhere on her face that 10 day, would it affect her determination of the 11 credibility of Joe Joe? 12 MR. LIEBERMAN: What day? MR. DIMOPOULOS: The day after Joe 13 14 Joe --15 THE COURT: I am going to grant Mr. 16 Lieberman's objection. 17 I will sustain it. 18 Now, Detective, you are an SRO at the 0 19 Chatsworth School, correct? 20 А Yes. 21 You said you know Katy Anderson. Do you Q 2.2 also no assistant principal Sharfstein? 23 А Yes, I do. 24 When you spoke about this incident, and 0 Allie specifically, did they tell you Allie had 25

Cross - Pompilio - Plaintiff

1 any issues at school? 2 I already know Allie had issues at А 3 school. They didn't know to tell me in that phone 4 conversation. 5 How did you know? 0 I know, as the school SRO, it is my job 6 Α 7 to know when there are issues. Part of what I do 8 is that I work very well with Katie Anderson, that 9 we share certain information, that if there wasn't 10 myself in place, we wouldn't have the information. 11 THE COURT: For the record Katie 12 Anderson is the principal. 13 THE WITNESS: The principal of the 14 school. 15 So because we have such a good 16 working relationship where I will call her or 17 she will call me, we share information. I 18 know that a CPS report previously had been 19 done by the school in records to Alexandra. 20 THE COURT: What was the nature of 21 that report? 2.2 THE WITNESS: The nature of the 23 report was that she had bruising on her face. 24 The allegation was found to be not valid and the result being that -- and this was also 25

```
Cross - Pompilio - Plaintiff
```

1 part of my conversation with Josephina, that Alexandra has some emotional issues and lies a 2 3 lot. So these are things that I need to 4 5 know when the school finds out and then my information that I had from Katie was 6 7 corroborated by a five-year old child who had 8 the same exact information. 9 So if you are asking me do I find 10 her credible, yes, absolutely. 11 Q Can I ask you a question? Did Joe Joe 12 tell you that her father kicked Allie?

13 A She told me Alexandra, that's the one at14 Chatsworth School, yes.

15 Q She kicked Allie the child?

16 THE COURT: He --

17QSorry. He. The report was that Joe Joe18reported to you that her father kicked Allie,

19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And then your report says -- the report 22 of 5-17?

23 MR. LIEBERMAN: Marked as B. 24 Q Defendant's B, in the narrative section, 25 it says that Joe Joe watched him, Mr. Kassenoff,

1 kick her sister sharp? 2 That was a mistake on my part. А 3 Q Pretty big mistake? 4 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 5 MR. LIEBERMAN: I ask the comment be 6 stricken. 7 8 THE COURT: Stricken. 9 0 Which child -- which child did Joe Joe 10 say Mr. Kassenoff kicked? 11 А Alexandra. 12 Your testimony is this is the 0 typographical error? 13 14 А Yes. 15 0 Larchmont Police Department familiar with 16 Mr. Kassenoff's behavior towards Alexandra at 17 home? 18 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase 20 that? I mean the whole department or have 21 there been reports? 2.2 Q Are you familiar with Miss Kassenoff's 23 treatment of Alexandra in the home? 24 Unless I had been there for a call or one А of these reports specifically states something 25

1 along those lines, then no. 2 THE COURT: Is there anything in the 3 reports that indicates that there were 4 responses by the Larchmont Police Department 5 relative to anything happening to Alexandra? THE WITNESS: There was one and 6 7 that's the case that I referred to saying that 8 it was found to be a false allegation. 9 THE COURT: Who made the allegation? 10 THE WITNESS: Alexandra. 11 THE COURT: Did she make that? 12 THE WITNESS: To the school 13 personnel. 14 THE COURT: Who was the allegation 15 against? THE WITNESS: Mrs. Kassenoff. 16 17 Did you find it necessary -- why didn't 0 18 you interview Allie about the incident? Because CPS was involved. 19 А 20 Have you come to learn that CPS has 0 interviewed Allie? 21 2.2 А I have a telephone call to return back to 23 CPS, but I am here. 24 Do you know that CPS last called my 0 25 client three times about this incident? Do you

1 know that information? 2 I know they said they reached out to all А 3 parties throughout the course of all of these 4 reports. 5 Q Do you know that? Do you know that my client has called them three times and they 6 haven't called him back? Do you know that, yes or 7 8 no? 9 A No. 10 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't know 12 that. 13 THE COURT: I will allow it. 14 MR. LIEBERMAN: Actually withdraw 15 the objection. 16 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 17 There is a report from 6-26-2018. You 0 18 said earlier that every report that involved children in the Larchmont P D would somehow be run 19 20 by you, correct? 21 А Yes. 2.2 Q There is a report from that day? 23 А What was the day. 24 Two six -- 6-26-2018? 0 25 Tuesday? 88310 incident number top А

1 right-hand corner? 2 O Yes. 3 A Do you remember specifically? THE COURT: That's a yes? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Q Can you review and refresh your 6 recollection. 7 8 A Absolutely. Yes, I remember reviewing 9 this. 10 Q Okay. Can you tell me about what 11 happened? A So --12 13 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection, your 14 Honor. THE COURT: I will allow it. 15 16 Mr. DiMopoulos? 17 Q Do you remember what happened? 18 I wasn't there, but I can tell you what А the officer say happened. 19 20 Do you know that it was a fight between 0 Miss Kassenoff and Allie about a candy bar? 21 2.2 MR. LIEBERMAN: Objection, Judge. 23 The document's not in evidence. She just said 24 she wasn't there. 25 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I thought you put

1 it in. 2 THE COURT: So the objection is 3 sustained. Everything else stricken. 4 Detective to -- your investigation is 0 5 still open. What if anything does your department need further from either of these parties? 6 7 А Unfortunately right now it is an order of 8 protection investigation and discussing what 9 direction we are going in, I can't do. 10 I am sorry? 0 11 It is an order of protection А 12 investigation and I cannot discuss in what 13 direction we are moving. 14 THE COURT: Let me ask you: Is 15 this something that your -- an investigation that the Larchmont Police Department is 16 17 currently actively pursuing or CPS the main 18 actor? 19 THE WITNESS: They are the main 20 actor. But our next directive will go off of 21 some of the information that we get back from 2.2 CPS. 23 MR. LIEBERMAN: Respectfully note my 24 objection to your Honor's question. 25 You said you had a phone call to return 0

1	to CPS, correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Which CPS investigator called you?
4	A I called them and they called back.
5	Q Who do you understand to be the person
6	leading the investigation on behalf of CPS?
7	A Miss Reed. That's the woman I have been
8	dealing with, the caseworker. But it changes all
9	the time. It is CPS.
10	Q And I didn't ask you whether or not you
11	interviewed Charlie?
12	A You did not ask me, no.
13	Q I didn't ask you. Have you?
14	A No, I have not.
15	Q Do you intend on interviewing Mr.
16	Kassenoff?
17	A It is an active investigation. I can't
18	disclose the next direction we are going.
19	000
20	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF THE ORIGINAL
21	STENOGRAPHIC RECORD.
22	
23	CAROL KUCZINSKI Senior Court Reporter
24	
25	