1	SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER: MATRIMONIAL PART
2	X ALLAN KASSENOFF,
3	Plaintiff,
4	-against- Index No. 58217/19
5	CATHERINE KASSENOFF, Defendant.
6	X County Courthouse
7	111 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. White Plains, N.Y. 10601
8	September 9, 2021
9	BEFORE:
LO L1	HON. LEWIS J. LUBELL / NANCY QUINN KOBA, Justices
L2	APPEARANCES:
L3	DIMOPOULOS BRUGGEMANN PC Attorneys for Plaintiff
L4	73 Main Street Tuckahoe, NY 10707
L5	BY: GUS DIMOPOULOS, ESQ.
L6	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN A. KORNFELD, LLP Attorneys for Defendant 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1602
L7	New York, N.Y. 10022 BY: JOHN A. KORNFELD, ESQ.
L8	
L9	THE WIEDERKEHR LAW GROUP Attorneys for Defendant
20	Gateway Building One North Lexington Avenue, 11th Floor
21	White Plains, N.Y. 10601 BY: EVAN WIEDERKEHR, ESQ., C.F.L.
22	MOST & SCHNEID, PC
23	Attorneys for Children 222 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605
24	BY: CAROL W. MOST, ESQ.
25	MICHAEL A. DeMASI, Jr. Senior Court Reporter

1	THE COURT: On the record. This is the matter of
2	Kassenoff versus Kassenoff. Index number 58217 of 2019.
3	Can I have appearances please, plaintiff.
4	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Dimopoulos Bruggemann by Gus
5	Dimopoulos; Tuckahoe, New York. My client is appearing via
6	Zoom. Good afternoon, your Honors.
7	THE COURT: Good afternoon. For the defendant.
8	MR. KORNFELD: Good afternoon, your Honor. I'm
9	John Kornfeld; with the Law Offices of John A. Kornfeld,
10	LLP, 850 3rd Avenue, New York, New York 10022, for the
11	defendant, Catherine Kassenoff. With me to my left
12	appearing on matters related to custody.
13	MR. WIEDERKEHR: Evan Wiederkehr; the Wiederkehr
14	Law Group; co-counsel to Ms. Kassenoff. Good afternoon,
15	your Honors.
16	THE COURT: Good afternoon. For the children.
17	MS. MOST: Carol Most; attorney for the three
18	Kassenoff children.
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Be seated.
20	MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, if I may, this is Allan
21	Kassenoff. I could hear Mr. Kornfeld and yourself very
22	well, and Mr. Wiederkehr I could hear a little bit. I
23	didn't hear Mr. Dimopoulos or Ms. Most at all.
24	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm sorry. I wasn't speaking into
25	the microphone. I'll do better.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Before we get into the nature of the request by Mr. Kornfeld for today's conference, keep in mind, as I indicated in my e-mail, you're very lucky that I had an open slot. We don't just sit around waiting for emergencies to happen. We happen to be a very busy court.

Number one, matter of housekeeping before we get down to business; do you want to address your e-mail this morning, Mr. Dimopoulos?

Before you start assuming the reason that people are on links and invitations and maybe giving false impressions or reasoning, you want to understand it or have knowledge of why people are on a link, come to the source. That is me.

Nobody's ever had a problem coming to me with a So let me clear it up for you: The reason that question. Captain Patrinos and Captain Launzinger are on the e-mail link is because we are dealing with an in-person appearance.

Operations needs to be aware of when an in-person appearance is coming, especially with a multiple of counsel as well as litigants, so that we could be appropriately staffed.

Meagan, is my part clerk, Lucille Valentin is Judge Koba's part clerk. You seem to have gotten everybody else correct. I hope that clears up your inquiry.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: It does.

1	THE COURT: Good.
2	MR. DIMOPOULOS: It wasn't an inquiry, your Honor.
3	I was just letting my client know
4	THE COURT: Well, it got to me, Mr. Dimopoulos, and
5	some of the comments contained within that e-mail rose my
6	specter of inquiry.
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Understood.
8	THE COURT: So now you understand why instead of
9	thinking it's a little strange.
10	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Understood, your Honor, but that
11	e-mail was not intended for anyone other than my client.
12	THE COURT: I understand, but that's the nature of
13	electronic media these days.
14	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Understood, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Could be a very dangerous weapon. Mr.
16	Kornfeld.
17	MR. KORNFELD: Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Let me hear from you.
19	MR. KORNFELD: Thank you, your Honor. First and
20	foremost, thank you for seeing us. We have written a number
21	of letters requesting a conference.
22	THE COURT: And those are going to stop.
23	MR. KORNFELD: Understood. And we proceeded by
24	motion by order to show cause on motion sequence number 32.
25	The current extant court orders permit my client

therapeutically supervised access with the children one hour

2. per week with each of the girls. We had located a therapeutic supervisor, 3 Ms. LaMelle, who works out of Mount Vernon. 4 5 September 30th, we notified counsel for the plaintiff and 6 the attorney for the children regarding Ms. LaMelle's 7 availability and willingness to work with Ms. Kassenoff and with the children. And we asked for them to cooperate in 8 9 effectuating the court ordered therapeutically supervised 10 access. 11 THE COURT: Who is supervising now? 12 MR. KORNFELD: There is no access right now. 13 THE COURT: Why? 14 MR. KORNFELD: Because there is no supervising -there is no supervising -- there's no current therapeutic 15 16 supervisor. The mother has not had access for over three 17 months. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 19 MR. KORNFELD: So following my August 30th e-mail, 20 I sent a follow-up e-mail on September 1st. We got no 21 response to that substantively. We got no response 22 whatsoever from counsel for the plaintiff. 23 We did get a response or a reply from counsel for 24 the children saying -- making an inquiry regarding unrelated

issue of payments to the children's therapists.

1

- 1	n
1	We wrote a couple of letters to the Court
2	requesting a conference in the hopes of avoiding a motion,
3	then we had to proceed by motion. And we sought interim
4	relief pending the hearing and determination of the motion
5	and followed up with the motion with a letter requesting
6	that a date and time be set for our application so that the
7	children can see the mother and so that the mother can see
8	the children consistent with the prior court orders.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Most, let me hear from you. And,
10	Mr. Dimopoulos, could you pass her your microphone so that
11	Mr. Kassenoff can hear.
12	MS. MOST: Thank you, Judge. We've been through
13	many previous supervisors with unsuccessful supervision.
14	The last supervision was with I think with CFS, who
15	stated that they could only do supervision in an enclosed
16	setting, such as an office, so that they could control the
17	situation. My clients do not want to see their mother.
18	Do you want me to sit down?
19	THE COURT: Sit down, speak into the microphone.
20	You can drop your mask if that will help.
21	MS. MOST: My clients don't want to see their
22	mother. We have three little girls that are all in therapy.
23	THE COURT: 8, 10 and 12, if I'm not mistaken?
24	MS. MOST: Around that. And their therapists are

not recommending access at this time. And so I'm

2.

representing my clients' wishes and what the therapists are suggesting, and that is for no access at this time.

We had a two-week trial last summer, more than a year ago. And there was recommendation for Mrs. Kassenoff to get certain help; she has not done that. And the access with her children has just gotten worse and worse and worse. They don't want to have that access at this time.

THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Dimopoulos?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, every time I think

this case cannot get worse, it does. Just this morning,

Mrs. Kassenoff showed up to a delicatessen that was across

the street and one building over from Charlotte's school.

There is an order of protection from a month ago, full stay away.

I didn't know how, the other day she wrote threatening e-mails to my client saying, on Rosh Hashanah, saying, Why isn't Charlotte in school today, on Rosh Hashanah. And we didn't respond, there was nothing to respond to. I did send a note to Mr. Kornfeld, but it was Rosh Hashanah.

Now, we can only surmise that every day she shows up at the child's school at exactly the time that she walks from around the corner to her house to the school, which is temporarily located -- because it's located in Mamaroneck, it's temporarily located in Larchmont, two blocks away from

the residence where Mr. Kassenoff lives with the children.

This morning the nanny spotted her across the street lurking. This is the same thing that lead Judge Koba to issue -- one of the reasons to issue a temporary order of protection last month. We have taken no action on it. This type of deteriorating conduct is extremely concerning to my client. It does not get better; it only gets worse.

We have been through friends and family supervision and that didn't work. We've been through Carmen Candelario; she refused to continue. We've been through an agency in the city who was doing the Zoom calls, they refused to do it. CFS, they refused to do it. We had a whole hearing with one of the supervisors from CFS and she talked about what happened at the last visit on May 30th.

Judge Koba has never, ever, told the mother that she can not have access. Since May 30th, the orders have not changed. She has had the ability to have one hour of therapeutically supervised access. She voluntarily chose, from May 30th, not to do that.

She brought a police officer from Yorktown or from Somers or somewhere; she recommended that person, we had a hearing on it. Judge Koba thought that it should be therapeutically supervised. She waited another month-and-half before she now brings up Ms. LaMelle.

I know Ms. LaMelle. She is a lovely, lovely

person. And in my humble opinion, not that I get a say in

it, but she can't handle this. Okay. This is a situation

that gets very volatile very fast.

THE COURT: Mr. Kornfeld, what do you want from me

today? And that doesn't mean you're getting anything. You

asked for this conference, we responded.

MR. KORNFELD: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So the underlying question for today's purpose only, though I may address, I did receive an email from Ms. Most relative to the payment of the child's therapists, and, technically, I have a list of all of the extant motions that Judge Koba and I are going to determine what will lay under her jurisdiction and what will lay under my, as supervising judge, and the trial assignment judge.

I am also cognisant of your request of possibly making a motion to vacate the note of issue, stay the trial, and pending an updated forensic evaluation, because of certain circumstances which are now existing.

So, question one: What is it you are asking of me today?

MR. KORNFELD: I am asking your Honor today to direct cooperation with Ms. Kassenoff's therapeutically supervised access with the children with Ms. LaMelle one hour per week, once a week, consistent with the extant court orders.

she

L	I reached out to Ms. LaMelle this morning to find
2	out if she is still available on the dates and times that I
3	had previously written to counsel about to which I received
1	zero response. She is no longer available Tuesdays, but she
5	does have time Monday evenings between 6 p.m. and 9 p.m. at

her offices. Her enclosed offices in Mount Vernon.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would request an order specifically directing the plaintiff to arrange for transportation of the girls to and from Ms. LaMelle's office in Mount Vernon and cooperation with the extant court ordered access. That's what I'm asking for today.

There's already an order providing for it, but there's nothing we can do without cooperation from the plaintiff, because there is a TOP against Ms. Kassenoff.

THE COURT: And if I'm correct, you're seeking one too somewhere along the line; is that correct?

> MR. KORNFELD: That is correct, your Honor.

JUSTICE KOBA: Just so that we're clear: I did issue the order directing that there be one hour of supervised visitation. After that order came into effect, I was brought to the attention by Ms. Most that the therapist had recommended there not be any supervised access.

I then directed the parties to get a plan so that we could ensure there would be supervised access, but it wouldn't be damaging to the children as contrary to the

1 recommendation of their therapist.

Now, I don't know if you've received that plan,

Judge Lubell, but that was a concern that was raised because

it's being reported the children do not want to see their

mother.

I tried to arrange the birthday visit. I was told that the therapists do not recommend visits with their mother at this time. I said, I needed a plan to address the children's concerns and the therapists' concerns while working towards repairing the relationship between the mother and the children and allowing access. I have not received that plan since that direction.

MR. KORNFELD: Thank you, your Honor. I have, with all due respect, a different recollection of the order of events.

There had been on -- when we appeared before your Honor, Justice Koba, on July 7th, when the TOP was first issued and was reissued on July 9th on an ex parte basis, there had been a carve out for the access.

Subsequently, we had suggested that there be supervised access of a nontherapeutic nature surrounding one or two of the girls' birthdays. And be supervised by a police officer from Ossining who is familiar to the Court and who is a trained supervisor, Emily Hirshowitz.

There had initially been agreement to that with

your Honor, and it was requested to be for the immediately succeeding weekend. There was a statement by Mr. Kassenoff that there were plans for that weekend and it was requested to be made the following weekend. Everybody consented to that.

Then on the eve of that supervised access, which was going to take place at a pottery studio in Larchmont supervised by a police officer, there was a letter by Mr. Kassenoff's attorney saying that they withdrew their consent. And then there was a follow-up letter by Ms. Most, basically, joining in.

We had another conference with your Honor at which point Dr. Abrams was brought into the conference and Dr. Abrams said he could not issue an opinion. Ms. Most represented to the Court that the children's therapists felt any access needed to be therapeutically supervised. She did not make a representation to the Court that there could not be access.

She did make a representation to the Court in words of substance that the girls have never been happier.

Thereafter, as I had been reaching out and Ms. Kassenoff had been reaching out to find another supervisor, thereafter,

Ms. Most wrote to the Court, with some hearsay statements from the girls' therapists opposing any access.

I was not aware that the Court thereafter requested

2.

some kind of plan. I was not aware that the Court ever responded to that letter. If that's the case, I apologize.

I never saw a response to that letter.

2.

My understanding is that the extant orders are there -- are for there to be supervised access. I do not know how to formulate a plan. We cannot speak with the girls' therapists. We are precluded -- my understanding is we are precluded from having any access with or to the girls' therapists.

THE COURT: Mr. Kornfeld, may I?

MR. KORNFELD: Oh, I'm so sorry.

THE COURT: Do you mind if I interject an inquiry?

MR. KORNFELD: Yes, your Honor. Please.

THE COURT: It appears to me at the immediate moment that Ms. Most has made certain representations relative to the therapists of the children. That raises my level of inquiry.

And the only way that I can receive that information is either in the form of a report or some type of recommendation, which is reflective of the therapists' opinion. In the face of a conflict or a difference of opinion or a misunderstanding, the only way for the Court to render a determination, or at least respond to your immediate request of today, is to have some kind of information from the therapists.

1	Now, according to Ms. Most, I am not, or Judge Koba
2	or the Court, is not going to have anything until the
3	therapists have received the compensation that she is
4	entitled to.
5	MS. MOST: Well
6	THE COURT: Is that accurate or inaccurate,
7	Ms. Most?
8	MS. MOST: Inaccurate; because Judge Koba did issue
9	a court notice. And the court notice asked for a plan. And
10	what I understood was by I think it was by August 26th,
11	I'm not exactly positive. I didn't get and Judge Koba
12	asked for something from the therapist and that was why
13	indeed I did send to the Court I couldn't get it by that
14	Friday, I sent it on Monday, of something a statement
15	from both therapists.
16	So that was my response, Judge Koba, because I
17	thought that's what I was being asked to do.
18	THE COURT: You have statements from the
19	therapists?
20	MS. MOST: Yes, your Honor. And then as to the
21	therapists' fees; your Honor, that has already been ordered
22	by Judge Koba on I think it was July 12th. The fees are now

close to \$50,000 for both therapists. They haven't been

paid in a year. Judge Koba ordered it to be paid from the

23

24

25

E-Trade account.

1	I, myself, have sent many e-mails to counsel. I
2	know that I believe that Mr. Kassenoff sent the release
3	to Mrs. Kassenoff on more than one occasion. And it is my
4	understanding that she has refused to sign to release the
5	money.
6	So these therapists have to get paid. It's like
7	they're working for nothing now. It's not reasonable. They
8	did respond when I requested something, but Ms. Kassenoff
9	has to sign off on that. So, in a sense, I did respond to
10	Mr. Kornfeld's letter about Claudette LaMelle and said to
11	him you know, he expects everybody to jump when he sends
12	an e-mail.
13	I've sent three or four or maybe more e-mails about
14	getting the signoff for the payment of therapists, which is
15	so important for these girls. They need their therapists
16	and they're doing well. So to lose a therapist when there's
17	an order in place, I just don't understand.
18	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, it's worse. It's
19	worse.
20	THE COURT: Can I have a moment?
21	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Of course.
22	THE COURT: Have a seat. For one, I have been
23	familiar with this matter since it walked in the door
24	starting with an order to show cause before Judge Everett.

And I've been familiar with this matter in my capacity as

1 the supervising Judge throughout it's existence, so there's 2. nothing anybody's going to say that's going to surprise me or that I wouldn't expect. 3 If there's an issue of payment and Judge Koba's order reflects that it is to be done, is there any reason 5 that it is not being done? Mr. Kornfeld, you're her 6 7 attorney; I want to hear from you. MR. KORNFELD: Your Honor, I am her attorney with 8 9 respect to custody matters. Mr. Wiederkehr is her attorney 10 with respect to financial matters. I would have to defer to 11 him to answer that question. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wiederkehr, let me hear from 13 you. 14 MR. WIEDERKEHR: Your Honor, I am familiar with the 15 July 12th order. It resulted from motion practice that 16 occurred prior to my being represented by Ms. Kassenoff. 17 She has raised concerns with respect to the status of these 18 treatment providers and the fact that she finds it to be 19 abhorrent that they are advocating against any semblance of 20 reunification or feeling to exist. 21 THE COURT: Are you calling it advocacy or opinions 22 as a professional treatment? 23 MR. WIEDERKEHR: Advocacy is loosely used, but I

THE COURT: Not to me it's not.

guess it's interchangeable with opinions.

MR. WIEDERKEHR: Nevertheless, Judge, I am familiar
with the order. I understand its mandate and I will address
it with Ms. Kassenoff immediately. If there is any issue
whatsoever, I will raise it, but I am familiar with the fact
that there is an extant order that directs certain action,
but, Judge if I may, forgive me here, your Honor, I am
familiar with the order and I understand its mandate and I
will act accordingly.

If Ms. Kassenoff has an exception, I will communicate it, but I just -- I think it's important for this conference and the application that's being made, I was present for that May hearing that was presided over by Justice Koba.

And it was prompted by allegations raised on behalf of the plaintiff that Ms. Kassenoff was wildly inappropriate and that all access should be suspended immediately. And Ms. Chava White -- C-H-A-V-A White, was the supervisor who was present at this supposed free-for-all that warranted immediate judicial intervention and suspension of access.

And I can tell your Honor and I ask to the extent that Judge Koba presided over it to tell me if I'm wrong, but I inquired of Ms. White, and I asked her pointedly: What exactly did Ms. Kassenoff do wrong? Didn't she act appropriately?

And Ms. White was unequivocal; Ms. Kassenoff did

act appropriately. And the only thing that even came out of this whole debacle was the fact that one of the children wanted to leave and texted her father. And, Ms. Kassenoff, who eagerly anticipated this access, was very upset by it.

She was shocked by it.

2.

And then there were allegations, and, again, this case is so fraught with allegations. The allegations raised were that Ms. Kassenoff went wild on the supervisor yelling and screaming at her and cursing at her behind closed doors. And they played the tape. It was so inaudible that the idea that it could be characterized is a farce.

That being said, I said on that day, Judge, I said to Judge Koba, I said, with all due respect to everyone involved, treating the symptoms and not the disease is a mistake. May we please convert to independent, therapeutically supervised visitation to allow these children an environment to communicate freely with a level of confidence and air out the issues that are causing them to feel this way. That was May.

To the Court's credit, direction was made, give me a plan, because I need to see some progress.

THE COURT: Why have there been so many different supervisors, Mr. Wiederkehr?

MR. WIEDERKEHR: Judge, it depends who you ask, but the answer is is that Ms. Kassenoff has been clear in her

position that allegations that have been raised and claimed against her have not borne out based upon the claims made.

THE COURT: Does your client come to the table with clean hands, Mr. Wiederkehr?

MR. WIEDERKEHR: I don't think anybody in this family comes to the table with clean hands, Judge. This is a family that shares in certain dysfunction, but the result is is that a mother who was historically the primary custodial parent for these children has now been wrested away from them entirely.

And the dynamic that exists between the parties makes things worse. Which is why three or four months ago I respectfully requested to transition, to put a professional one-on-one between mother and daughter so that we could get past that.

And if the children had concerns or were upset that they could get a level of confidence and comfort that they were protected in an environment where they could say what they felt and they could then heal, but, instead, the response has been consistent, no.

I have to tell you, I've rarely seen a judge say, give me a plan. Therapeutic supervised visitation to make things better and that -- nothing happened. So that's why we're here. And I can tell you that's what has permeated this matter; extraordinary allegations which when the light

of day shines upon them, scatter.

2.

Chava White was supposed to be smoking gun to say that Ms. Kassenoff was out of her mind and that she was the reason this fell apart. And yet, Ms. White took the stand and said, verbatim, what did Ms. Kassenoff do that was inappropriate? Nothing.

THE COURT: I am not, Mr. Wiederkehr, going to relive prior testimony or whatever went on. I am here and we all are here today at the request of Mr. Kornfeld, and to effectuate one specific thing, other than, in my discretion, to obviate or to deal with certain housekeeping. I can tell you now that the applications for contempt will more likely than not be referred to trial.

MR. WIEDERKEHR: Judge ---

THE COURT: I am talking, Mr. Wiederkehr.

MR. WIEDERKEHR: My apologies.

THE COURT: The one thing that is going to happen is that everybody on this case is going to demonstrate control. And when I say, control, control of yourself, especially, the parties. And going through all of the affirmations, of all of the extant motions; they are repetitive, redundant, cumulative, dare I say, and it stops today.

If it doesn't, then you will see a side of this judge that nobody in this room has ever seen.

1 MR. DIMOPOULOS: If I may, your Honor? 2. THE COURT: No. With regard to your request, Mr. Kornfeld, I'm 3 going to make a decision from the bench today. 4 5 I am in possession, by nature of the attorney for the child, of two documents, one from a Carolyn McGuffog --6 7 did I pronounce correctly? MS. MOST: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: And, Susan Adler, which I am not 10 disclosing to counsel, because I do not want these appearing in some untort place, whether it's on the internet, whether 11 12 it's on NYSCEF, which is another thing we're going to have a 13 discussion about today. 14 I'm going to have a discussion with Judge Koba. I'm going to take a look at the order to show cause, which 15 16 is motion sequence number 32, which remains unsigned. It 17 was only filed on September 7th. MR. KORNFELD: Your Honor? 18 19 THE COURT: And I do not know what the outcome is 20 going to be. I do not. 21 MR. KORNFELD: Understood. May I just briefly for 22 one second to let your Honor know: The two letters that 23 your Honor had referred to were filed on NYSCEF by the attorney for the children. 24 25 THE COURT: These were?

1	MS. MOST: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. KORNFELD: It is NYSCEF number 1574. I will
4	not quote from them at all. I would note that the letter
5	from Dr. Adler is dated August 25th
6	THE COURT: Correct.
7	MR. KORNFELD: 2021, which is three days before
8	I sorry five days before I notified Ms. Most that we
9	had found a therapeutic supervisor.
10	THE COURT: All I'm saying is, first off, if these
11	documents, if these two relating to the children appear
12	anywhere, someone's going to jail.
13	MR. KORNFELD: Not a chance, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Someone will go to jail. I will deem
15	it contemptuous on its face. I will not go to willfulness.
16	I will issue a warrant for immediate incarceration.
17	Make sure your clients understand that this judge
18	does not play when it comes to children.
19	MR. KORNFELD: We understand that, your Honor. All
20	I wanted to direct the Court's attention to was the very
21	next to last line of the letter from Dr. Adler.
22	THE COURT: That there should be a plan in place to
23	reinstitute. I'm not arguing with you, Mr. Kornfeld.
24	MR. KORNFELD: Thank you. That's all I'm trying to
25	do, your Honor.

PROCEEDINGS 23

THE COURT: But it does not happen immediately because there are certain -- the body of the note from Dr. Adler, I received it, I've read it, I've digested it. It does not mean that because of one little additional line I'm going to make an immediate change drastically today.

I've heard from the attorney for the children, I've heard from Mr. Dimopoulos, and all I can say is Judge Koba and I will review your papers. We will take a look at what we're going to do and how it's going to be handled, whether through Judge Koba or through me, because now that you're in the trial ready part, this case is under my domain.

That does not obviate certain things that Judge
Koba will be more apt to handle because of her involvement
previously to certain motion practice.

MS. MOST: Your Honor, if I could just point out that the reason it was dated the 25th, it was actually due to the Court on the 26th. It did not have the letter from Dr. McGuffog until Monday.

THE COURT: Ms. Most, it's here, okay. Number one, I have an application which is yet to be signed; motion sequence 31 to remove the defendant from NYSCEF. I don't need an order to show cause from that. Your client is represented by counsel, Mr. Kornfeld. Your client is to cease and desist the uploading of anything with regard to NYSCEF.

1	Until she is pro se, which may be, I don't know.
2	There seems to be myriad of attorneys that have passed
3	through the defense table, but Ms. Kassenoff is to no longer
4	utilize NYSCEF for any purpose. Am I clear?
5	MR. KORNFELD: Your Honor was first clear and now
6	I'm sorry. When you say my client understands the
7	directive that she's not to upload anything to NYSCEF and
8	she will not.
9	My only question is: Is your Honor also directing
10	that she can not review documents that have been posted to
11	NYSCEF when you say, not for any purpose? That's my only
12	question for clarity.
13	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I've been on this case
14	a long time.
15	THE COURT: Yeah. Me too, Mr. Dimopoulos.
16	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I know, but the words in this case
17	mean so much. If she is prohibited from uploading, she will
18	then download, and say, he said upload, so I can download.
19	Okay. She should be removed entirely from NYSCEF.
20	THE COURT: Are you willing to accept that for your
21	client as well?
22	MR. DIMOPOULOS: He's not on. He's never been on.
23	He never will be on.
24	THE COURT: Let me say something: I'm not making
25	findings of fact but one your client is an attorney and

yet your client has taken the liberty to ex parte e-mail the Court.

It appears to me, Mr. Kornfeld, that maybe rules may not matter. And, quite frankly, your client subjects herself to a referral to the Appellate Division if she continues to disregard court orders, whether it's orders of protection.

Now, I also know you have a motion with regard to an order of protection against the plaintiff. I'm not making any findings of fact today. I can only state what's before me.

These people are attorneys, and quite frankly, if they want to act on their own, then they're subject to whatever the Court may dictate or deem appropriate in the exercise of its discretion.

I don't know why, but I do know, because, quite frankly, in many cases, the only thing that changes is the caption and counsel. And I'm not unfamiliar with your history in this bar, Mr. Kornfeld, or Mr. Dimopoulos or Ms. Most or Mr. Wiederkehr.

But the nonsense between the two parties stops here and it stops today. I don't really care if the parties are happy with a decision or a ruling or unhappy. This Court is not in the happy business.

But you start affecting the lives of children, then

1	you've opened up a door to this Court that you will regret.
2	And if you think I'm kidding, or if you think that this is
3	just balderdash, then push the envelope and test the waters.
4	But getting your toes into those waters will result
5	in incarceration. I will be on this case until it goes to
6	trial. There will be nothing that is going to cause me to
7	either be removed or recused. Try all you want.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, may I ask a question of
9	the Court?
10	THE COURT: No. No. You have an attorney, Ms.
11	Kassenoff. If you wish to ask your attorney something, then
12	do so.
13	MR. KORNFELD: My I earlier sought some degree
14	of clarification regarding your directive and I understood
15	your directive, and I'm not playing games. And I heard what
16	Mr. Dimopoulos said. There are two things to my
17	understanding, there are two things you can do on NYSCEF;
18	you can look at the stuff on there and copy it and you can
19	post things on it.
20	THE COURT: Let me make it easy.
21	MR. KORNFELD: My client will not post anything.
22	I'm being completely candid.
23	THE COURT: Let me make it easy, Mr. Kornfeld; both
24	the plaintiff and the defendant are precluded from NYSCEF.

Period, paragraph, end of story. If they want anything

1 that's been uploaded, they can get it from counsel. Period, 2 paragraph, end of story. So constitutes decision and order of the Court. 3 Any violation of this order will be deemed contemptuous on 4 5 its face. Everybody is responsible for their own actions and 6 when they violate orders of the Court or act 7 inappropriately, especially, when both of them are 8 9 attorneys, I expect a higher standard of conduct. And I am 10 not seeing it. 11 And the breach of your ethics and your code of 12 responsibility, if it's violated, will result in an 13 immediate referral to the department that they were admitted 14 in. Two can play at that game.

Is there anything else that you wish to bring to the Court today? Mr. Dimopoulos, you've been waiting patiently. I'll hear from you.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Thank you. And I guess this inquiry is for Judge Koba, because we've been corresponding on this. I'm just wondering if I can get a period of time with which to comply to the length requirement; is it 202.8 for my opposition. If I can have a week to do that, Judge Koba?

JUSTICE KOBA: That's fine.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay. That's one issue. And,

2.

your Honor, I really do have to ask for the Court's guidance on -- I don't know the answer. There is a request from Mr.

Kornfeld for permission to move to disqualify Dr. Abrams. I have read the post and the letter that Ms. Kassenoff has been sending removing Dr. Abrams from the panel for future appointments.

I researched the issue. I have not been able to find anything, one way or the other. It is my opinion that the fact that he is precluded from being appointed for the time being on future cases does not prohibit him from acting as the forensic and providing an update from this Court.

I would certainly imagine that if he testified at the trial, anything, any gripes or otherwise could be the subject of cross-examination.

It has long been Mr. Kassenoff's and my hope that the only way for there to be some semblance of normalcy in this family or otherwise is to get to trial and remove this case from this courthouse.

And I think that -- and I don't expect your Honor to have an answer now, just perhaps some guidance. I mean, if we were to entertain that motion, forget the months long delay, adjudicating it and briefing it and then the decision and the potential appeals, in my opinion, Dr. Abrams should be providing us with his updated report and we should be proceeding to trial, but I'm just looking for guidance from

1	your Honor on that topic.
2	THE COURT: I don't have that guidance at the
3	immediate moment. Anything else you want to say, Mr.
4	Kornfeld?
5	MR. KORNFELD: We have a different perspective on
6	this.
7	THE COURT: I didn't see that coming.
8	MR. KORNFELD: Okay. Well, you were finally
9	surprised in this case.
LO	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
L1	MR. KORNFELD: You were finally surprised in this
L2	case. You said you wouldn't be.
L3	MR. WIEDERKEHR: Judge, may I?
L 4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
L5	MR. WIEDERKEHR: I would ask first, with respect
L6	to the counsel fee
L 7	THE COURT: Not dealing with counsel fees today,
L8	Mr. Wiederkehr.
L9	MR. WIEDERKEHR: No. No. My apologies. I
20	was unclear. Counsel just asked of Judge Koba if he may
21	have a week to refine his papers. We, obviously, would be
22	entitled to a reply. If I may speak with counsel off the
23	record and
24	THE COURT: What a concept.
25	MR. WIEDERKEHR: we can e-mail.

_	
1	
2	
3	brief
4	
5	actua
6	matte
7	
8	overa
9	
10	relat
11	going
12	being
13	appli
14	which
15	plair
16	
17	not t
18	see v
19	finar
20	
21	custo
22	may k

24

25

THE COURT: What an idea.

JUSTICE KOBA: You two can speak and give me a new oriefing schedule.

MR. WIEDERKEHR: Thank you. Which ties into my actual issue I wanted to raise: I think that the custody matter is to be determined as to how it's to proceed as --

THE COURT: When you say, custody, you mean the overall determination of custody or the modified access?

MR. WIEDERKEHR: No. The larger picture of custody relative to Dr. Abrams' participation, what path that is going to take in terms of completing his uploaded report being disqualified, et cetera, but, your Honor, there was an application for trial ready order advanced by the plaintiff which was issued and then a note of issue filed by the plaintiff.

Financial discovery is complete. I would prefer not to make a formal application for bifurcation. I do not see what basis would exist to hold up a determination of the finances based upon custody. So I'm asking the Court to --

THE COURT: I can't deal with finances without custody, Mr. Wiederkehr, wouldn't you agree? Because there may be financial obligations depending upon the Court's determinations of custody.

MR. WIEDERKEHR: I see it differently, your Honor.

I think that to the extent that the parties income is

But all

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

determined in the findings of fact by the Court --

THE COURT:

Then settle it.

necessarily be determined and then would be applied to a

MR. WIEDERKEHR: I wish we could, Judge. 3 I'm saying is that in the context of a financial trial, the 4 parties income for CSSA and spousal support purposes would 5

7 child support determination.

> I just would -- I'm loathed to consider the idea that if custody is somehow hung up in the next six to eight months potentially, and then finances would not be addressed until after that, notwithstanding the plaintiff requesting a trial ready order and filing a note of issue.

> There are equitable distribution issues to be determined. There is money that will inevitably be due to the defendant for various claims that have been raised in this proceeding when discovery is complete.

So I would ask that if nothing else, the Court consider bifurcating so that at least that part of this case may be disposed of. If I heard correctly, it was just a matter of moments ago that counsel said that the matter needs to be concluded, the parties need to get out of court so they may begin to heal. And we have the opportunity to bifurcate.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question, Mr. Wiederkehr: We've known each other a long time.

23

24

1	MR. WIEDERKEHR: Indeed.
2	THE COURT: In your opinion, will these parties
3	ever get out of this court?
4	I know the answer, Mr. Wiederkehr. I'm not going
5	to put you on the chopping block on this one. Your
6	application is taken under advisement.
7	MR. WIEDERKEHR: Thank you, Judge.
8	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, can I just say briefly
9	on that application that Mr. Wiederkehr and I have spoken
LO	about this. And I want to advise counsel to carefully look
L1	at Mr. Kassenoff's net worth statement when he signs it this
L2	week.
L3	If this keeps going on, there will be no money left
L4	for anybody; not Mrs. Kassenoff, not Mr. Wiederkehr, not Mr.
L5	Kornfeld, not Mr. Dimopoulos, not Ms. Most. There will be
L6	no money left.
L7	THE COURT: Mr. Dimopoulos, I've seen the
L8	statements of net worth. As I have said from the moment Mr.
L9	and Mrs. Kassenoff came into this courthouse have a seat
20	I have been through every judge that has been involved in
21	this case.
22	I have seen every attorney that has berated in and
23	out of this courthouse relative to this case. I have heard
24	all of the arguments by you, Mr. Dimopoulos, by you,
25	Ms. Most, and the barrage of attorneys that Mrs. Kassenoff

1 has hired and retained and whatever.

All I can say is: I have seen various things going on in this case and these parties have such problems that they cannot get out of their own way, which is why I precluded Mr. Wiederkehr from answering the ultimate question: Will Mr. and Mrs. Kassenoff ever get out of this courthouse? I say, no.

The nature of the manner in which they treat each other and conduct themselves has now broken their three girls. Both of them are equally responsible, though, if I have to make a determination of who may be more responsible, that will affect my custodial determination if I'm the one charged with that responsibility.

I don't know if this case is Humpty Dumpty or not.

And I don't mean to be disrespectful in using that analogy.

And as Billy Joel has said, we didn't start the fire. And

Mr. and Mrs. Kassenoff are nothing more than two gas cans.

If you tell me otherwise, then maybe you're really not viewing the picture as it is, but I will tell you, from all of the e-mails that I have seen, from all of the matters and documents that have been uploaded to NYSCEF to all of the references to social media and the denigration of each other is sickening. It stops today.

If it does not, and I am apprised, informed or educated or any other word you choose to associate with it,

1	that either Judge Koba's or my orders are violated, I
2	promise you that I will deem it contemptuous on its face. I
3	will go past willfulness and directly to incarceration
4	without a hearing.
5	There have been violations upon violations upon
6	violations. That is all I need to say.
7	Motion sequence number 32 and your application, Mr.
8	Kornfeld, are received by the Court, and you will be guided
9	accordingly.
10	As far as the other applications that are currently
11	extant, Judge Koba and I will review them. If we refuse to
12	sign them, we will, if we don't, you will be given an
13	explanation why not.
14	I just want to ask everybody one question: Mr.
15	Dimopoulos, is your client under control; yes or no?
16	MR. DIMOPOULOS: 100 percent.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Kornfeld, is your client under
18	control?
19	MR. KORNFELD: In all relevant respects, yes, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Keep it that way. All directives and
22	rules placed on the record this date will constitute the
23	decision and order of the Court and are hereby deemed so
24	ordered without the necessity for signature.

Cost of the transcript is directed to be borne

1	equally amongst the parties subject to any reallocation as
2	determined by the Court or by stipulation of the parties
3	with a copy to be electronically transmitted to the Court.
4	So ordered.
5	Mr. Dimopoulos, anything else?
6	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I was hoping to get what I had to
7	say right before that last part.
8	I believe Mr. Wiederkehr's comments on the
9	authorization to pay the therapist was vague. I just want
10	to reiterate: Judge Koba has issued a written order; it's
11	to come from an E-Trade account, your Honor. It provided
12	little detail.
13	THE COURT: I got it.
14	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Just a deadline for her to sign
15	the authorization is all I need.
16	THE COURT: Then I will tell you, Mr. Dimopoulos,
17	if there are violations of orders, then the party violating
18	it will be held accountable by me and the decisions and
19	orders that emanate from this Court will be made in
20	consideration of everybody's actions or inactions or
21	feasance, malfeasance, nonfeasance. Call it whatever you
22	want.
23	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, with all due
24	respect
25	THE COURT: It is up to you to make sure your

1 clients understand what I do. 2 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I just feel bad for the therapists. They're continuing to treat these girls owed 3 like 50-grand. I've never heard of such a thing. 4 They understand what they're dealing with and the 5 trouble they're handling and they have not done what --6 THE COURT: Mr. Wiederkehr, you will contact me by 7 tomorrow with a copy to all sides. 8 9 MR. WIEDERKEHR: Yes, Judge. 10 THE COURT: If Judge Koba's order dictates that the 11 therapists who have not been paid for over a year is to come 12 from the E-Trade account and your client withholds consent 13 and the Court deems it unreasonably -- she's a lawyer, Mr. Kassenoff is a lawyer. I think they understand what I have 14 said. 15 16 If they choose not to, then the Appellate Division 17 of the department whichever they were admitted in will not 18 be appreciative of hearing of attorneys directly violating 19 Court orders. That's it. That's all I have to say on the 20 matter. 21 If I don't hear from you by tomorrow, Mr. 22 Wiederkehr, one way or another, then this Court will take 23 sua sponte action. So constitutes decision and order of the 24 Court. We are in recess. Thank you.

MR. KORNFELD: One little thing; small one:

1	
1	Previously I just heard your Honor say that the
2	transcript will be divided evenly between the parties.
3	Previously it had been ordered by the plaintiff and shared
4	with the defendant subject to reallocation.
5	I would respectfully request that that continue
6	through today.
7	THE COURT: Denied.
8	MR. KORNFELD: Thank you.
9	* * * *
10	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
11	IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION
12	OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC RECORD.
13	
14	·
15	Michael A. DeMasi, Jr.
16	Senior Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	