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(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held virtual via TEAMS.)
(Plaintiff and Defendant present on TEAMS conference.)

MR. DIMOPOULOS: For the plaintiff Gus Dimopoulos, Esq., and Michael Chiaramonte, Esq., and I think my client, looking at the bottom, has joined the call as well, Your Honor.

MS. KUSNETZ: Marcia Kusnetz, Esq., Law Office of Marcia E. Kusnetz, Esq., for the Defendant Catherine Kasssenoff who I see is on the line, Your Honor.

Good afternoon.
THE COURT: Good afternoon.
MS. STEINER: Good afternoon, Diane
Steiner, Esq., for the defendant, Sanctuary for Families, along with Ruchama Cohen, Esq., and Lisa Vara, Esq., who may be joining this call.

MS. MOST: Carol Most, Esq., attorney for the children.

THE COURT: So I am going to start with the basic instructions which is I expect appropriate decorum during this virtual court appearance.

This is in fact a court appearance governed by all rules applicable to a court appearances.

There shall be no recordings, streaming, broadcasting of any of the proceedings that occurred here today.

I have this conference scheduled for two reasons, one, to complete our discussion regarding the sale of the New Rochelle property and to discuss what we will do with the proceeds if the parties agree to that and also to discuss the Motion Number 24 regarding the materials that were sent to Dr. Abrams.

I had thought that I directed the parties to work out a modified access schedule during our prior conference from Ms. Kusnetz' letter I read on April 5th. It doesn't appear that that has occurred.

So I will elevate the access issue to be the first issue to be addressed today.

Ms. Kusnetz, where are you with that?
MS. KUSNETZ: So, thank you very much, Your Honor, for doing that.

We sent a letter on March 23rd basically because counsel was unsuccessful in negotiating a
modification of the prior access schedule despite Referee Ratner's directive through Your Honor to do so.

And Ms. Kassenoff had asked because she cannot afford the twice per week supervised visits, the two hours because as I showed to Your Honor they pay the same travel cost for Ms. White as the time during the visit and a visit can be close to a thousand dollars. It is upwards of $\$ 850$ to $\$ 875$. It is very expensive.

So what we proposed was she would like a once a week visit and to extend the time of that visit which Ms. White said she could accommodate. She just needs the order of the court or agreement of the parties to do that.

Your Honor had previously ordered that for a Holiday visit so there is some precedent that it worked fine.

It would be once per week on a Saturday, and, of course, my client would like the full four hours that's up to the discretion of the court.

With regard to the Zoom visits, she asked for three times per week and to extent the Zoom call to 30 minutes instead of the 15 minutes which is kind of like as Your Honor has seen
bedlam with the girls here and about.
She would like it staggered so she could at least speak to each of the girls individually and have some one-on-one time which was recommended by Dr. Kuhl in her report. She was very specific about not just with Charlotte but having one-on-one time with each of the girls with their mother and that the access had to be continued and she wanted one-on-one time that was a big thing in her report.

So that's what we had proposed. My co-counsel had proposed it before I wrote the March 23rd letter. We have been going back and forth and unfortunately the answer was just no and unless my client would go back to the original court order, there would be no access whatsoever. Ms. White said I will not schedule an visit unless there is an agreement of the parties. And I know that the father is bringing the girls to the mother's house.

THE COURT: Let me just stop you there, if I understand.

Mr. Dimopoulos, why would that be something the father -MR. DIMOPOULOS: Judge Koba, forgive me,

I don't know what letters you have the ability or time to read and what you don't.

But Ms. Kusnetz' comments was that the answer was just "no" is completely inaccurate.

THE COURT: Okay, because I didn't see a response by you.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: The response, Judge, there have been so many letters I wouldn't blame you --

THE COURT: I know. I loose track. We're here today to try to reach a modification.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: It is very simple. The accurate facts are as follows:

First of all, the Court has to know on February 20 th Mrs. Kassenoff sent an e-mail to everybody.

THE COURT: Mr. Dimopoulos, let's assume that I am familiar with the case because we seem to have a conference every other week.

I am at the point today where there has been a proposal that has been presented which off the top of my head it does not appear to be unreasonable to address the concerns of the cost but also I think at this point in time one-on-one
time with the mother and each child is probably a good idea. So I am just trying to figure out what Mr. Kassenoff --

MR. DIMOPOULOS: What we had said, Your Honor, is very simple.

We said in our letter, it is written to Your Honor on March 26th, we didn't say no. What we said was that Your Honor heard testimony for ten days and made an informed decision to have two visits of two hours each.

The purpose I believe without reading Your Honor's mind is Dr. Abrams' testimony that he said frequent short visits would be better.

So what I wrote to them is inherently I don't have a problem with it but I don't believe it is in conformity with Judge Koba's Order which specifically said two hours. So I don't think four straight hours is fine And then I said we need the Court's position on this.

As for the Zoom calls, the Zoom calls, I have watched every single one, Your Honor.

They are not -- and this is not to say anything negative about Mrs. Kassenoff -- they are 15 minutes now and the kids have a very, very difficult time with it.

By enlarge Ally is the only one that is on the call the entire time and she is usually gathering her sisters from various parts of the house trying to get them on the call and they are dancing and they are flipping, 30 minutes while again, Mr. Kassenoff does not have a problem with either of these, it is going to be a big mistake. It is going to be a mistake. It is going to make it harder for the kids and all that. Now in balancing what is good for the kids versus Ms. Kassenoff's pocket, I don't think it makes any sense. In terms of one-on-one time, again, $I$ watch the calls. She has it during these frequent 15 minutes calls. She says as a matter of fact, Ally, go get Jojo. I want to talk to Jojo. Jojo comes on the call. She talks to her for a couple of minutes. Okay, Jojo, go get Charlotte. Charlotte comes on the call. That is happening now.

To double the length of the time and
Your Honor will also recall that when we took testimony in July, Carmine Candelario who was doing the Zoom visits for a period specifically testified it was a mistake to having these calls
longer. The girls are having a hard time with it. Now, again, what we are advocating is that she use her court ordered time. That is five days a week, 15 minutes, we're saying that's fine or if she wants to change it to two times a week for 30 minutes.

THE COURT: I thought it was three times a week.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Oh, I am sorry.
So it is three times a week for 30
minutes. It is not the duration or the time, it is practicalities and the problem is we have not said no to anything. We have just said we can't stipulate to four hours without the court opining on it. It is as simple as that.

THE COURT: Okay, here is my thought process -- Ms. Most, let me just hear what you have to say.

MS. MOST: (No response.)
MR. DIMOPOULOS: You're muted, Carol. THE COURT: You're muted.

MS. MOST: Sorry.
I spoke with all three girls this week.
I just want to let Your Honor know that they all consistently have told me now that they want to
live with their father all three.
They feel that the Zoom calls are very boring. They are willing to do it but they don't love them.

They feel that they are very boring. Two of the girls said that, Ally did not have that opinion.

They all have told me that they want to see their mother only one time each week.

So I didn't ask them about time and the length of the visit but that was their position. I would be concerned about having a 30 minute block of time where the ten minutes for each girl and then it is their responsibility to get the other child who is up next to be on the call.

What I would prefer is one call for each child. Let the mother have the whole call. The child knows this is your appointment today. That's what I would prefer for the girls otherwise it is going to be more chaos.

THE COURT: I don't necessarily have a problem with that.

I do agree at this point in time that the mother should be having communication one-on-one supervised with the children.

Now, whether that is call each child separately or I don't know how you want to do that but I think it is something that maybe you want to do it by days.

15 minutes one day with one, 15 minutes with the next and balance it off. That way the mom has one-on-one time because every child has a different issue or different concern or different thing they want to talk about. Then everybody knows there is a schedule and they have to adhere to it. You know Ally who $I$ saw running around the house and trying to gather up the other sisters.

In terms of the time I think if we were ever to transition to more access to the mother as we progress, then we need to start that. It has been a long enough period of time. How did the visit go -- when was that, Christmas, when we had the longer period of time?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: There were no issues with that.

MS. KUSNETZ: No issues, Judge. It went very well.

THE COURT: So I think it is probably better for the children too than it is one set at a time, four hours, more normalized visit and then
they still have time to plan their schedules because they are at the age where they are busy and they want to talk to their friend and do other things.

Now I don't know what their schedule is on Saturday in terms of picking a time that should be consistent for this visit with the mother so everybody knows this is occurring.

MS. MOST: So I think part of that will depend on Ms. White's schedule when she can arrange to do it.

MS. KUSNETZ: So that's a problem, Judge, because we just got an e-mail from Ms. White where she, you know, we asked what the ability was for April. She had many more dates that clearly have disappeared and her next date is April 18th. But she would not book it unless it was an agreement by the parties or a court directive and then when we said, well, this is a large organization and many of us, including my co-counsel, have used them on many cases. There are other therapeutic supervisors. And she said that would be up to Ms. Most, whether Ms. Most would agree to substitute a different supervisor for a visit. I have never heard of such a thing.

I have never heard of that.
THE COURT: I don't think it is up to Ms. Most.

MS. MOST: I don't think so either, Your Honor. That should not come from me.

THE COURT: It is very simple.
I would prefer to have a time period on Saturday that is consistent based upon the children's schedule that therapeutic supervision occurs.

And as long as it is a therapeutic supervisor that should not be an issue whether it is Ms. White or somebody else from the same organization and has the expertise. Mr. Dimopoulos?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I do not believe that is -- I don't agree with that at all.

The reason is that consistently
throughout this case we saw first with Ms. Candalerio and we're seeing it now again when the supervisor starts -- look, Ms. Kassenoff is not during these visits a physical danger or she is not doing anything outwardly dangerous towards the kids. What is happening here from our perspective is covert and, your know, in code
language manipulation.
THE COURT: You don't have to explain it
to me. I have been on the case which is why I said supervised therapeutic individual and my understanding of that is that every person to present as that has had the particular training required in order to act as a therapeutic supervisor whose job is to monitor the communications and interactions between the parents, be alter for whatever you referred to as "code," and intervene appropriately.

This organization, I assume, that Ms. White is not the only one in the organization that has been so trained.

Hold on, Ms. Kusnetz, I am talking to Mr. Dimopoulos.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: One can be properly trained but unless they have the experience and the facts of seeing what she has seen and knowing what to look for. So you know I frankly don't understand. Making a supervisor switch is a mistake.

THE COURT: I am not making a supervisor switch. I am saying that when Ms. White is not available, $I$ think another person from that
organization should be able to supervise the visit so the children know on this day, at this time, they see their mother.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Perhaps we should first learn -- my take from Chava White's e-mails were that she wasn't going to set something in her schedule right away unless she knew it was going to continue.

She may find some time.
I think it would be prudent for us to find out first what her availability is now. If there is going to be a follow-up court order and say this is going to continue and when can you do it.

If not, I would say find someone else in the organization provided Ms. White could brief them on her involvement and that person would read the reports and know what is going on that would be my suggestion.

MS. KUSNETZ: Excuse me, I don't think we have to micromanage how CFS, you know, assigns its therapeutic supervisor to a case or how they advise each other or if they review reports. That is the organization that has been picked by the court in this proceeding.

But I want to say something --
THE COURT: I would expect that the supervisor regularly assigned to a particular family would touch base with any other supervisor who is coming in to handle for a particular day, just like as an attorney, I would brief someone who is covering for me on a particular day.

I think that would just be a matter of professionalism that $I$ assuming this organization has.

MS. KUSNETZ: I am sure they have a protocol, Your Honor.

What I am trying to say is this. In reading Dr. Kuhl's report, right, she, you know, Ms. White spoke with her, Dr. Adler spoke with her, Dr. McGuffog spoke with her.

So it is a really telling report about the informal and improper diagnoses of Charlotte with a butting personality disorder which she --

THE COURT: I am not going there. I am just trying to work on a schedule, okay.

So I am telling you what I want.
I want Saturday a four hour period that is blocked out that everybody knows that time on that Saturday belongs to the mother and the
children.
We need to start moving forward and try
to work out a way to hopefully increase this access at some point; not at the present time because I want my update from Dr. Abrams. And I will discuss that after this but $I$ want the schedule.

So in terms of one-on-one time with the girls, each who are different ages and have different attention spans, maybe I would recommend that one day, you know, it rotates. Monday can be Ally. Tuesday can be Charlotte. Depending on their schedules. Wednesday Jojo and so forth. So she has her one-on-one time with the children with some flexibility, if somebody is having a particular crisis, they may be able to interrupt the schedule.

But generally so the girls know that the whole point is consistency when they are speaking with their mother and their expectation is that they will be present at the call when it is their turn, their time, their private time.

MS. KUSNETZ: So, Judge, with regard to that, Judge, because that really would kind of limit a child speaking to their mother just once a
week which is not what we want.
THE COURT: I am not doing it once a week. I am saying every other day they do it. Then if there is an issue, they can ask the mother to speak in it and then they will see them on Saturday.

We tried the 30 minutes calls before.
It does not work.
MS. KUSNETZ: So you want, so for example, 15 minutes on Monday with Ally and 15 minutes on Monday with Jojo and then it alternates?

THE COURT: No, I am going to continue that 15 minute call or if you want to do a 20 minute call. 30 minutes does not work. We have done it before. Let's do a 20 minute call and break it up so the kids know.

Ally obviously is the older person with the greater attention span to spend on the call. Jojo is the youngest. What is she? Six? Seven now? Her attention span is not the greatest. She likes to be physically active and stuff. You might have a shorter time with her.

So I don't know maybe -- you guys can work out the schedule. I mean maybe 20 minutes is
a little better. You get a little more time. You have one primary person and then at the end you say I want to say goodbye.

MS. KUSNETZ: Because, Your Honor, we have to really hammer it down right now because if we leave this phone call and we don't have an absolute directive from Your Honor like last time, it will be another three weeks that she doesn't have access.

THE COURT: Here it is, Saturday, what time of the day works best, Mr. Kassenoff?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, the kids play soccer on Saturday. He does not yet have the schedule. So we don't know. And this is something that all the kids do and love and have been doing it for years.

We need to -- certainly there is a four hour block of time that will work but until we get that schedule we don't know.

Secondly, Ms. White may say I am available 10 to 2 and that works out.

Look, Your Honor said four hours on Saturday, we will make that happen. We just don't know when.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: As far as the phone calls, again, it has been said time and again we are obstructing.

Right now there is a court order permitting a call five days a week for 15 minutes that is not being exercised by one person.

We are fine with continuing that.
If Ms. Kassenoff wants to change that, fine. Give us the day she wants to do it. Tell us how long it will be and we'll comply as Mr. Kassenoff always has.

THE COURT: Let's do it now.
What days of the week are you going to speak to them, Ms. Kassenoff, what days work best for their schedule?

MS. KUSNETZ: Catherine, can you hear the judge?

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Oh, I am sorry.
THE COURT: Ms. Kassenoff, what
happened? I am actually asking to talk to her.
CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Yes, I know. I am sorry, Judge. I am not sure of their schedules. So I was thinking Mr. Kassenoff --

THE COURT: Mr. Kassenoff, what's the best day based on their schedule?

ALLAN KASSENOFF: Your Honor, it really doesn't matter if it is at 6:30. That time has been locked for as long as I can remember.

THE COURT: We'll keep it at 6:30.
What days of the week do you prefer, Ms. Kassenoff?

MS. KUSNETZ: So my question is this: So now if it is a 20 minute call, right, and 15 minutes is with one child, just so she understands, 15 minutes is with one kid each of the days, it is at least three, right?

And then the last five minutes everybody says goodnight to Mommy, like whoever can jump on. Am I clear about that, Judge?

THE COURT: That makes sense to me so she can actually have one-on-one time with the children.

MS. KUSNETZ: Okay.
THE COURT: Like Ally probably has a greater attention span than Jojo.

MS. KUSNETZ: So my point is, it doesn't just have to be three times a week. If she wants, she can do one-on-one time for the five days; is that correct?

THE COURT: She can do five days at

20 minutes, yes.
MS. KUSNETZ: Okay.
CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Thank you.
MS. KUSNETZ: Catherine, is that good?
CATHERINE KASSENOFF: That would be
great, Judge. Thank you. I appreciate that.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Hold on, hold on.
I can't tell you how many hours of work
I have spent on this and now they're saying they are going back to the five days a week?

MS. KUSNETZ: No, but it is different.
It is one-on-one time.
THE COURT: I changed the time based upon the input from Carol Most.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: So now we're -- I am unclear, so, please, Ms. Kusnetz, tell me again.

THE COURT: I will tell you what it is.
Five days a week phone calls; the Zoom calls will continue.

We will expand them to 20 minutes.
Of that 20 minutes of time, one child
will have like 15 minutes and the other two will
have time to come by and say hello unless somebody has a crisis.

MS. MOST: Your Honor, if we could get a
schedule, so I can tell the girls Monday is Ally's time, Tuesday is Jojo, and Wednesday is Charlie and so on and so forth because otherwise they have to know when it is their time. THE COURT: I will do it for you. Monday is Ally. Tuesday is Charlotte. Wednesday is Jojo. Thursday is Ally. Friday is Charlotte and then Jojo we can like sort of squeeze her in there because until she gets a little older I don't see her attention span lasting very well here.

MS. MOST: She doesn't particularly like the calls -- not that they doesn't want to speak with her mother -- she just finds them very boring and she has a hard time paying attention.

THE COURT: That's what $I$ am saying. Wednesday she will have her private time. We'll see how that goes. And then Thursday or Friday she can be coming on the five minutes there which is basically pretty much what she wants to do, Hi, Mommy, I love you, Mommy, just face contact with her mother.

Is that alright, Ms. Kassenoff?

I think it is the older ones you really need the one-on-one time with?

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Judge, I think that is right. I am okay with that schedule. Thank you.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I would like to say one thing for the record.

THE COURT: Everybody has the schedule?
MS. KUSNETZ: I wrote it down. Monday Ally, Tuesday Charlie, Wednesday Jojo, Thursday Ally and Friday --

THE COURT REPORTER: I am sorry, I cannot hear with all the background noise.

THE COURT: Ms. Kusnetz, you can clearly state the schedule so it is clear.

MS. KUSNETZ: So the prior supervised access schedule is amended so that the mother will have one-on-one Zoom calls or, I am sorry, one-on-one supervised calls with the children, I guess with that new platform it is not Zoom.

Monday 15 minutes with Ally, five minutes for the girls to come in and say, Hi, mom, how are you? 20 minute call.

Tuesday 20 minute call, 15 minutes with Charlotte, five minutes for the girls to come in
and say, Hi, I love you mom.
Wednesday Jojo 15 minutes, one-on-one time with mom. Then five minutes for the girls to collectively say so long.

Thursday, again, Ally 15 minutes and then five minutes for the girls to get on the phone call and say hello to their mom.

Friday is Charlotte and that is 15 minutes and then the remaining time should be I guess for Jojo to come in and have a little time with mom.

THE COURT: Right.
Everybody clear on that?
MS. KUSNETZ: And that this can start immediately, Judge.

I mean Carlos has been wonderful in saying he is ready. He is ready to start again.

THE COURT: Yes, it can start
immediately. Just give Ms. Most a time to tell the children. So as of tomorrow you can start it.

MS. KUSNETZ: Okay, and then with regard to in-person visits Your Honor is modifying the prior order to permit one week visits on Saturday for a consistent four hour block of time and that we will know -- we will communicate with CFS to
assure that they can have a supervisor for a consistent Saturday visit every week for a four hour block and the father is to -- should let us know today or as soon as possible what that schedule looks like on Saturday but if there is no -- if there is soccer for this Saturday, we would like to start it this Saturday.

So we would like to know what the schedule for the girls is to start this week. My client hasn't seen the girls since February 16th.

THE COURT: I don't think anybody is disputing that as long as Ms. White is available and Mr. Kassenoff. MS. KUSNETZ: I don't know that Ms. White is available, Judge. She said she wasn't able on the 18th.

THE COURT: What's this week? It is on the 18th.

MS. KUSNETZ: Not yet, it is the 10th. THE COURT: Let's check and see, okay. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, can I make a couple of points, please?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Much has been made
about my client and his nanny being on or near the
calls and all these things.
So he cannot nor will the nanny who has been falsely accused too be anywhere near these calls. They will set the kids up on the call and they will leave. They will be not able to police who is on the call, who comes at this time, that's Ms. Kassenoff's responsibility. I just wanted to mention that.

No one is going to be gathering the kids for the last five minutes. We will notify the children or Ms. Most will of what the schedule is and my client should not be responsible for policing it.

The second thing is that we are having -- one of the reasons $I$ was having an issue with Mr. Malave that there were various calls that were not recorded. He tried to recover them. He couldn't. There was an issue. It doesn't matter.

What I had recommended was going to another platform that $I$ heard works a little better. I just would like the Court's opinion, if there is no confirmation that the call is being recorded, or there is something wrong, the call should terminate.

All calls should be recorded. That's
all. If we find out later there is nothing we can do but if there is an indication that the call cannot be recorded it should not occur.

And the last point I want to make, since August, my client has been dropping the kids off and picking them up from the visits, okay.

There is no order as to who does pickups and drop offs.

The problem is this, there have been many things going on with threats made to my client about when he is going to go near her house or when he is going to her house.

Ms. Kassenoff or the supervisor should pickup and drop off the kids. My client doesn't want to go anywhere near the New Rochelle residence when he is being threatened with calls to the police because of this or that.

He has no responsibility under the Court's order to pick them up. Ms. White can pick them up or the other supervisor can pick them up or drop them.

If the visit is four hours and 15 minutes to accommodate my client --

THE COURT: I don't think that Ms. White drives, does she?

MS. MOST: She does drive but I don't think she can expected to pick up the children and drop them off. Perhaps she can accompany Ms. Kassenoff but it is not something you can ask the supervisor for.

THE COURT: One person at a time.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: My client is being
threatened every time he goes near the house, I am going to call the police, don't go near my house. He is not doing it. It is not fair to him. The false allegations are too much, okay.

THE COURT: I don't see what the issue is. He stays in the car, Ms. White comes and gets the children and leaves with the children and he and Mrs. Kassenoff don't have to see each other. She stays in the house.

MS. KUSNETZ: There is no issue, and there has never been one issue with regard to dropping the children. Usually the nanny does it, dropping the children at the house and picking them up. There has never been an issue with regard to that. I also remind the court it is -THE COURT: Stop.

We have two other issues and then we are done.

Let me make it clear, the stipulation
will state that the father or the nanny will drive the children to the mother's house, stay in the car, and the supervisor will come out to retrieve the children and bring them in the house and to return them to the father or the nanny.

Mrs. Kassenoff, during that exchange, you need to stay in the house with the door closed and not participate at all; okay?

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Yes, Judge, I
always do that.
THE COURT: Okay, this way we eliminate any potential issue.

That's done.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Are we going to be entering an order?

THE COURT: Draft a consent order.
MS. KUSNETZ: Can I just --
THE COURT: No, we're done with that issue, Ms. Kusnetz.

MS. KUSNETZ: He raised a recording issue, Judge, which I don't agree with.

THE COURT: The visits can go. If for some reason the recording is broken because that's what the supervisor's job is, so if the supervisor
is present and the recorder breaks or malfunctions for some reason, the supervised visit can continue.

If it turns out that every day the recording does not work, then you will have a problem. As long as the supervisor is present and that's the supervisor's job, the visit can proceed.

MS. KUSNETZ: Thank you.
THE COURT: With an explanation as to
what happened with the recording. But if $I$ find out that $I$ am getting a recording every week or every other, then $I$ will have an issue with something not working properly, okay.

Dr. Abrams -- we're moving on, Ms. Kusnetz, I have a finite period of time.

Ms. Kasssenoff --
CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: -- we contacted Dr. Abrams.
I received communications from him that you are currently scheduled for April 29th.

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Well, Judge, I just
received an e-mail -- at first he put it off until early May I believe and I asked him --

THE COURT: You weren't going to have

14 days you thought after your second shot.
CATHERINE KASSENOFF: Judge, look, I
don't need that 14 days. I have had my first shot. I am willing to do it earlier and I would prefer it.

THE COURT: April 29th, I am going to tell him, you will leave that time.

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: There is nothing
earlier. Like even a half day?
THE COURT: No. He is like booked. This is him trying to juggle his schedule to get us in there.

Maria, is she still here?
MS. KUSNETZ: I see here.
THE COURT: As far as Dr. Abrams goes, Mrs. Kassenoff will keep the April 29th appointment, that will go forward because otherwise he will not be able to address this for a very significant period of time.

Now I received something about Mrs.
Kassenoff wanting to record that. That is absolutely not going to happen Mrs. Kassenoff.

MS. KUSNETZ: She already agreed not to do that, Judge.

THE COURT: We don't have to go on. If
it is not an issue, that's good.
Let's talk about I received the updated financials.

You can have an extension of time to respond to the motion, Ms. Kusnetz.

What about this house in New Rochelle?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I am sorry, excuse me.

THE COURT: Hold on, Ms. Kusnetz. One person at a time or my court reporter sign out and will just stop me. One person at a time.

So, Mr. Dimopoulos.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: We were talking about Dr. Abrams. I don't know whether or you not you are coming back to the issue of the materials.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I have brief update, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Would you like to see what happened when I went through your list?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I redacted it.
THE COURT: I crossed off 90 percent of what you had on this list.

Everyone is sending to me before it goes to Dr. Abrams what they intend to submit and I
will make a decision as to whether or not that material is going to be shown to him.

I went through this 17-page document. I can tell you 85 percent of this, 1 deemed not to have been appropriately forwarded to him.

So there is no misunderstanding, he is the neutral whose job is to advise the Court of his opinion based upon his profession experience.

He is a neutral.
He is not to be getting comments on the side from the other parties. In fact if you read the order no one is allowed to talk to him ex parte.

Putting in a comment on a piece of paper to him, that's ex parte communication with him. He is looking at data that accrued from the last time he met the people up until the present time. That would be medical records, therapy records, videos that occurred between that time without commentary.

So everybody is sending to me a list of what they intend to show and I will decide if it is appropriate or not.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, did you have occasion to read Mrs. Kassenoff's submission.

THE COURT: I did not. That's why I
just said everyone has to send it to me.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Because here is the
problem, okay --
MS. KUSNETZ: Judge, when would you like
that by?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I please get a chance to speak without being interrupted?

THE COURT: No. There is no need to do
it. I just said "everybody." That includes Ms. Kusnetz.

MS. KUSNETZ: I just asked when would you like the list?

THE COURT: By the end of the week, I will read through what you are sending. MS. KUSNETZ: By tomorrow?

THE COURT: I will decide what is appropriate and what is not appropriate and nobody will be sending a commentary to Dr. Abrams describing any of these items.

He is a professional.
I will give him credit that if he picks up an e-mail, he can read it and figure out what it says, okay.

I don't need to review the Zoom calls
because I don't have time to do that. But you can state "Zoom call April 20th" and we can trust the gentleman to understand that when he reads it, he is seeing what he is seeing and hearing what he is hearing without somebody telling him or putting a spin on it.

There is no spinning.
This is my neutral individual whose job is to evaluate this and help the court in making a decision on this extraordinary critical issue.

Ms. Kusnetz send me yours by the end of the week. Ms. Most send me yours by the end of the week.

MS. KUSNETZ: Tomorrow $I$ am on trial.
THE COURT: Next Friday is fine.
You need to send it to me by Friday April 16 th so $I$ can look at it before he meets with Ms. Kassenoff because he does not have time, okay.

And I do not want this to get pushed any further. This case needs to move forward. It is almost two years old now.

MS. MOST: Your Honor, I do not send documents to Dr. Abrams.

THE COURT: Okay, perfect.

Then the other people that send documents need to send me their information. MS. MOST: Absolutely. Thank you. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, just so I am clear, do you want to see the list of documents or do want to see all the documents as well. Do you want us to send the link of the drive?

How do you want it? Just so I am clear. THE COURT: You should send me a link to the drive because if there is anything I have a question on, $I$ will look at it.

But I can tell you looking at yours right now, letters to the court, no, court transcripts from conferences I had with the court. No.

What I see in here that is appropriate are supervisors' reports, your reports from your Dr. McGuffog, your identification of supervisors without a commentary such as she was fired after she testified. No.

Just your video files.
What you did in your first two columns is appropriate. You list the video file and the date. That's what you are supposed to be putting in. Not a commentary that says "many of these
files are evidence in the custody hearing." You know that's not relevant to him. He doesn't need to know that.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I heard your ruling. I understand you can pick out a few. A lot of times, look, the forensic gets a lot of data. He is not going to be able to look at all of it.

When I quote from an e-mail it lets the forensic know what data $I$ am looking at. THE COURT: Here is the problem that you have with that, then out of all the stuff you are doing, you are designating for him what you think he should look at.

No. No.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: I think he should look at all of it but $I$ get it. Your Honor, I heard you loud and clear.

MS. KUSNETZ: Thank you, Judge, next Friday.

THE COURT: Now let's go back to New Rochelle.

MS. KUSNETZ: We had suggested all the way back I believe in December -THE COURT: The only thing I need to
know from you is your client willing to stipulate to the disbursement of some portion of the proceeds to each party as an advance on equitable distribution so that she will have funds available to find another place to live?

MS. KUSNETZ: So I would like to do this
in two parts, so basically lets get the house on the market immediately and then when it sells, I don't know what the situation will be at that particular time or where we will be in this case, but I would say that the funds initially go into escrow and then when she needs to get a down payment or she locates where she going to be near the children, then $I$ would send Your Honor a proposal as to the amount that she will need.

She may not need the 50 percent of the net equity and frankly until equitable distribution is determine by this Court and there is a resolution of all of the outstanding issues, we should not be distributing or designating liquid funds.

She needs shelter. Thank you but at that time let us make a specific proposal, she found this condo, this is exactly what she needs. It may not be 50 percent. It will be entirely
specific to what she needs, Judge.
THE COURT: I am not saying I would
agree to disburse 50 percent of whatever the net proceeds are.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let me make it simple.
If the proceeds are not distributed, then my client does not consent and it will be sold after the judgment of divorce is entered.

MS. KUSNETZ: We can't do that. We have the law of the case.

THE COURT: We already have an order
directing it be sold, don't we?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, the order was on consent and he is revoking his consent.

And on top of that --
MS. KUSNETZ: No, actually --
MR. DIMOPOULOS: -- excuse me.
THE COURT: Ms. Kusnetz, stop.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: The order was entered a
long time ago, number one.
Number two, my client has just paid
Ms. Most over $\$ 75,000$. He has paid me hundreds of thousands of dollars. Every time he needs money, Your Honor, to pay counsel fees or the expenses, he goes into his brokerage account and sells stock
and incurs a 25 percent tax.
As you can see from the documents I sent, he has $\$ 161,000$ capital gains just to pay for this litigation and his expenses.

That is patently unfair.
That wasn't the case when he consented
last time and he needs liquid assets.
So this Court Under 234 and under Hann v. Hann --

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on, hold on.
Maybe I am obtuse but if you sell the house and you get net proceeds that becomes the liquid asset that he can use, right? Why delay it?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Because they are refusing to sell unless the proceeds are held in escrow.

We are saying we'll sell it tomorrow but the proceeds get released 50/50 to the parties. It is as simple as that.

THE COURT: Why?
MS. KUSNETZ: Judge, we have law of the case.

This was not a consent order.
He submitted it. It is a so order that
this house be sold. There were no such conditions before, net proceeds of the sale were going to go into an escrow account. We have not resolved equitable distribution in this case and you know what I am sure at that point if the plaintiff, you know, who is only earning over $\$ 900,000$--

THE COURT: We don't need to keep going that way.

MS. KUSNETZ: You get my point, Judge. MR. DIMOPOULOS: This is not going to happen.

The reason why they want to lock the proceeds up -- the absurdity of it, and I have to make my record, the absurdity of someone who is now telling this court I need to see my children less because I have no money, I have to heat my house with firewood because I can't pay my bills.

And now coming to court and when she has the ability to get hundreds of thousands of dollars put in her pocket, she says no, put it in escrow.

Why do we think that? Why?
It is very simple so she can continue with this impoverished ruse and keep coming to the court for counsel fee applications and maintenance
fee applications, so if counsel thinks that a prior order entered with my consent --

THE COURT: Mr. Dimopoulos, I appreciate that you think $I$ was born at night but $I$ wasn't born last night, okay, and I am not naïve. I think I have established that in the appearances that you have had before me.

So we can all stop because I can go on and on about both sides here, so that's it.

You are not going to sell the house right now. You are going to sell it after the judgment comes out.

Hopefully it wouldn't crash too much and you will have a better market and you will not have the same difficulty that you have now because you paid a million four for a house that now even in hottest market that has been in twenty years, you can't get an offer of a million dollars.

Hold on to the asset and hope that it doesn't get in a worse condition now with equity.

Do what you want to do, okay, but don't have a conversation where you think I don't get what is going on because I do from both sides.

MS. KUSNETZ: Your Honor, what I said to you was we want the house sold. There is an order
of this Court to sell it.
It is the law of the case.
We do not agree that it be held post
judgment of divorce and upon the sale what we do in every single case is the proceeds of sale before ED is decided go into escrow. Either side can make an application.

THE COURT: I have plenty of cases where they agree that a certain percent of the proceeds will go out to pay legal fees or to get another place to live. So it is not black and white like that.

MS. KUSNETZ: So let them make an application as to what they need from that.

This is a man who just sold $\$ 400,000$ of stock, okay, and didn't tell anybody. It is a man who got a forbearance and two mortgages of $\$ 18,000$ a month and didn't tell you.

THE COURT: I am sorry, the stock that he said was that not separate property?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes.
MS. KUSNETZ: But he had that money.
THE COURT: Let us stop because right now all $I$ can see is that combined between the two parties here, you spent a million dollars in cold
cash on fees and litigation expenses.
MS. KUSNETZ: Not on my fees.
THE COURT: Well, all around, okay. Because Mrs. Kassenoff had fees before you came in. If you add what $I$ know she paid already to what he paid, it is over a million dollars when you include the AFC in here, okay, a million dollars cash.

MS. KUSNETZ: That's Mr. Dimopoulos'
fees.
THE COURT: It is not just on him. Cold cash, whether you call it credit cards or whatever, so stop.

CATHERINE KASSENOFF: I am carrying debt, Judge.

THE COURT: So you will have to pay it back. Either way it is a ton of money for this family.

MS. KUSNETZ: Judge, I don't understand how we can just reverse a court order that is on the record.

THE COURT: Nobody is reversing the Court Order but my recollection of the Court Order was that they had to agree on a particular broker and that broke agreement expired; did it not?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes.
MS. KUSNETZ: We made an excellent
proposal for Sotherby's, the best broker in the county, who was also going to do this April a free real estate tax reduction application for them.

I mean this was a great deal.
THE COURT: I don't have anymore time
for motion practice in this case.
I need to move on to my next thing, so this is what we are going to do. You can send me a letter about why it should go forward.

Mr. Dimopoulos, if you have a case that says when an order has been issued by the court whether on consent or not that you can unilaterally decide that you are withdrawing your consent, I would love to see that case.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I am not going to find that case but just as you said that order, okay, was entered many, many months ago, it directs the broker to be used. Things have changed, okay.

With all due respect, Your Honor, you know I am not going to find that case. It doesn't exist.

THE COURT: Of course. That's why you
are sitting there telling me you are withdrawing your consent.

It is ludicrous.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: It is not ludicrous at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You cannot withdraw consent.
It is an order.
You can move to modify the order and provide a reasonable basis for it and I can decide if there is a reasonable basis for it or not but right now that order continues in effect whether it was issued on consent because it is enforceable or whether you had a motion and I issued. It is an enforceable order.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: So the burden is on the party who is saying we'll go forward with your recommended broker. We will do that. We just want to release the money $50 / 50$.

The other party who is putting on a ruse, we do know he is putting on a ruse -MS. KUSNETZ: We are not putting on a ruse.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: It is not on that person to hold money in escrow so they can make successive counsel fees motions that will hit Your

Honor's desk in a couple of months, with all due respect, Your Honor, it is completely unfair to put that burden on me, but I will take it.

I will brief the issue.
THE COURT: I am sorry, let me
understand this.
It is completely unfair for me to expect both parties to adhere to the Order of this Court, that order does not say that the net proceeds will be distributed 50/50 upon the sale of the house, does it? Because if it does, I will be glad to enforce that.

Is that what it says? I don't have the order in front of me.

MS. KUSNETZ: I have it.
THE COURT: Ms. Kusnetz, I am not talking to you.

Mr. Dimopoulos, is that what it says?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: I think it says the proceeds will be held in escrow nine or ten months ago before my client paid another five or $\$ 600,000$ and wiped himself clean of all liquid assets.

THE COURT: Then I suggest, those are your choices. You cannot modified an order, Mr. Dimopoulos.

You cannot say "I withdraw my consent.
I am not doing it." It doesn't work that way.
You need to get the other party to agree or you say, Judge, $I$ need to make a motion to modify it.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: There needs to be a new
order anyway issued because the broker is changing. There needs to be a new order anyway because a new listing price is going to be issued.

So is it that this order controls? Then this order controls and it does not change.

Go back to the old broker. Go back to the old listing price and that's fine. I will adhere to the order because it is an order.

If Ms. Kusnetz wants to change it, I
will not, So $I$ will do it that way.
MS. KUSNETZ: You know what, the
Sotherby's proposal would benefit your client and it is not even --

MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, no, no, no.
THE COURT: We're done. I am done
talking to that.
MS. KUSNETZ: Okay, fine.
THE COURT: The order is what the order is.

People can move to enforce it if they wish or they can move to modify it.

It is what it is. You are not going to agree or consent. Okay, that's it for my list.

Send me those document things by next Friday, Ms. Kusnetz, I will go through them and I will advise what can and cannot be sent to Dr. Abrams who has been advised not to review a single thing sent after January 5th until such time as we document what can and can't go to him.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I have one issue I would like to raise.

MS. KUSNETZ: Is that --
THE COURT: Ms. Kusnetz, stop talking over everybody.

MS. KUSNETZ: I am sorry. I had a question whether you were going to tell Dr. Abrams that. That's all.

THE COURT: Dr. Abrams was informed of that the same day I issued an order to show cause with the stay.

MS. KUSNETZ: I didn't know that.
I asked that question in an e-mail and I
didn't get an answer.
THE COURT: Well, surprisingly we have
lots of cases --
MS. KUSNETZ: I know you do, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- and we can't drop
everything for your e-mails.
We're dealing with lots and lots of emergencies, okay.

Mr. Dimopoulos.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: I have an issue I need to raise.

We discussed this at a conference where I took the position that a doctored e-mail was submitted to this court.

I need to know now, if possible, I need to explore this issue. We should have a hearing about it.

The fact that this court is not alarmed that a litigant submitted a clearly doctored e-mail to me is problematic and I think there are two suggestions --

THE COURT: You know what, Mr. Dimopoulos, you just sat here and told me your client has no money and he has tons and tons of counsel fees and I will deal with it.

We can deal with it later.
I am not dropping everything and having
a hearing on this doctored e-mail.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: That was my second suggestion.

My second suggestion, Your Honor, is that we say whether or not that issue can come up at the final trial without any arguments of inadmissibility or irrelevancy and we don't have to do anything about it.

MS. KUSNETZ: Are we going to do a hearing as to how four documents, excuse me, were sent to a forensic contrary to a judge's order?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: You can do the hearing right now. I made a mistake.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me, just stop.

Yes, you can talk about the alleged doctored e-mail at trial if you have a good faith basis for establishing how, when, and who allegedly altered it because that would go to the issue of credibility and fraud.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: The issue is now
resolved. That's all.
THE COURT: Let's move on. I am done.
MS. KUSNETZ: We have the enrollment
form. One more issue.

THE COURT: It was not on my agenda
today.
I have already made my statement on that. I read the other transcripts and here is my statement:

Mr. Kassenoff has the sole decision
making authority at this point in time.
He will make that decision. He is indicating that he would like to take money from the E-Trade account to pay it toward the tuition.

You're going to oppose or do whatever you want to do on the motion and when I get the motion $I$ will make a decision on that.

That's where we are at; I am not discussing that anymore.

Thank you.
Good-bye.
MS. KUSNETZ: Thank you, Judge.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

*     *         *             * 
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