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    (Whereupon the following occurred on the record virtually via Teams Application.

THE COURT: Let's have appearances please.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Dimopoulos Bruggemann on behalf of the Plaintiff, Allan Kassenoff.

MS. KUSNETZ: Marcia Kusnetz, from the Law Office of Marcia E. Kusnetz, along with cocounsel Lisa Vara and Ruchama Cohen, for the Defendant, Catherine Kassenoff, who is on the line.

MS. VERA: Good afternoon, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
Ms. Vera, are you going to put your appearance on the record. There you are. Okay.

MS. VARA: Sanctuary for Families, for Defendant Catherine Kassenoff by Lisa Vara.

THE COURT: Is Maria on the line? I don't see her. Can somebody e-mail Ms. Most to see if she's trying to get in.

MS. RATNER: I don't see her trying to get in.
I'll mute myself and try to email her or call her. THE COURT: Okay.

As I understand it, there are two issues regarding the FASNY. The first one being the payment of the tuition that's owed through this academic year, 2021, correct? And the second issue is whether Mr. Kassenoff has decided
whether Charlotte shall continue in the FASNY school for this calendar year 2122; is that correct?

MS. KUSNETZ: That is correct.
MS. RATNER: I'm just going to interrupt.
Ms. Most is here. She's signed in.
THE COURT: Okay. Place your appearance on the record quickly please.

MS. MOST: Carol Most, attorney for the children.
THE COURT: With respect to the payment that's owed and the tuition for the current school year, Mr. Dimopoulos, has that been paid? Because I thought I directed that to be paid and I was told that it was being worked out and it would be paid in early January.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, this relates back to the issue of the financial aid application that was submitted and that your Honor had detailed in a letter, that we should have this information before my client makes payments under a payment arrangement that was agreed to by the school. We have no idea what she said and --

THE COURT: Whoever is not speaking needs to mute their mike. I hear an echo which means my court reporter also hears an echo.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: My client makes a payment to the school based upon tuition that was reduced as a result of an application. Your Honor has already said, she should
provide a copy --
THE COURT: It's very simple. Did he make the payment, yes or no? The answer is no, correct?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: No.
THE COURT: Didn't I issue an order regarding disclosure of the financial application?

MS. KUSNETZ: May I address that, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.
MS. KUSNETZ: So your Honor issued a directive on December 7th that was unequivocal and there was no conditions on that directive.

You asked Mr. Kassenoff whether he would take care of the payment. He said he would do it by, on or about January 1st. You said take care of it and that was that. Going back to August is irrelevant but I will address that.

THE COURT: Well, actually it's not irrelevant because I specifically directed that the financial aid application be disclosed because it was expressed at that time the concern of the veracity of the application.

MS. KUSNETZ: So let me address that, your Honor. So I looked at Mr. Dimopoulos's letter of January 22nd where he, after this December 1st conference says, my client's not paying. And it has to do with the fact that he's saying that the school was not on notice that their initial payment of the 50/50 deposit changed to 80/20 and that's not
correct.
I produced today, in fact, to your Honor -- I'm sorry it came in a little late. The school itself, issued back in July the statement for the entire tuition in excess of $\$ 10,000.00$ and then in August issued an $80 / 20$ invoice to both --

THE COURT: Ms. Kusnetz, my question is very simple. Has the financial aid application been disclosed as I directed back in August?

MS. KUSNETZ: So we researched it. She didn't complete a financial aid TADS application. She didn't sign one because she was putting together financial aid in May as opposed to like today the financial aid is due for the following year. What she did was she produced piecemeal to the school through the end of July all of the financial information that they requested. Her tax returns, her pay stubs, her credit card statements, all of the debts. I have a list of everything that she produced to FASNY directly.

So understand, TADS is the software company that processes these financial disclosure applications. They didn't process hers because it was never completed through TADS because it was done in May. She did it directly with the school. So I can provide counsel with a list of everything that she provided to the school but he has
everything. It's all of her -- he has everything she provided and it's just a side issue because your Honor said to him --

THE COURT: Actually, it's not a side issue because this is the first time that I've heard there wasn't a signed financial aid application.

MS. KUSNETZ: There was not -- you know what --
THE COURT: This is the first time I heard it. Okay. I'm not talking about, I'm not involved in discovery. To me it was a very simple task, if you applied for financial aid and filled out a form and attested to the accuracy. That's easily duplicated. If she did not sign the form, that's a different issue.

Mr. Dimopoulos, have you contacted the school or has your client to see if, in fact, there was a signed financial aid application?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I have never heard what Ms. Kusnetz just said to you.

THE COURT: That wasn't my question.
Please answer my question. I'm leaving at 2:00.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, he has not.
THE COURT: Fine.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: He had no reason to know about it.
THE COURT: Mr. Kassenoff, did you contact the
school?

MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, if I can just add to that. The whole reason I found out about this was when I contacted the school a long time ago and they told me that Ms. Kassenoff submitted a financial aid application. I asked for a copy of it. They said it's her property, they didn't feel comfortable giving it to me. Which is how this all got teed up.

THE COURT: That's very good. I really have no time for these games on something as simple as a financial aid application involving a child of which you have sole custody.

So quite frankly, you should have access to every record in the school as the person with sole custody at the present time of this youngster.

So Mr. Dimopoulos draft an order for me to sign to the school directing them to release the financial aid application and any other documents relied upon in making a financial aid decision for the calendar year 2021.

Next issue, going to school next year. What is the issue on that? Ms. Kusnetz.

MS. KUSNETZ: Well, if they don't pay, if he doesn't pay the $\$ 8000$-- so he benefitted from a scholarship and as I said, the school knew of the $80 / 20$. He owes 80 percent of that. If he doesn't pay that -- which your Honor did direct on December 7 th and he agreed to pay. And then he
sent my client an e-mail saying I'll pay mine, if you pay your remaining $\$ 70.00$.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I am leaving at 2:00.
The question before you now is, what is the issue for calendar year 21-22, which is the next school year?

MS. KUSNETZ: The deposit is due today of $\$ 3,000.00$. THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KUSNETZ: And if they don't pay the deposit today, her spot is not secured for the school. This is the same thing, I looked at, as what happened last year. She lost her spot and then they had to fight to get back her spot.

THE COURT: All right. Well, this is a little different year. This is a different year where I've had a custody hearing and I've been on the bench for over a year in matrimonial.

Mr. Kassenoff, have you made a decision yet, as the sole decision maker, where this child is attending school next year?

MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, to be honest I don't have the money. I'm paying Mr. Dimopoulos hundreds of thousands of dollars, Ms. Most hundreds of thousands of dollars. I'm paying multiple therapists. Now I have to hire an education lawyer. I had to hire a lawyer to deal with the grievance that Ms. Kassenoff filed. I paying Mr.

Dimopoulos to litigate the case in Brooklyn that Catherine filed against us. You know, I'm not a pot of money.

THE COURT: My question is have you made a decision on this educational interest and whether or not it's in the best interest of your child to continue at FASNY or not to continue at FASNY?

MR. KASSENOFF: As of now it is not in her best interest because I can't really afford it.

MS. KUSNETZ: Oh my God.
MS. KASSENOFF: Oh my God.
THE COURT: Ms. Most, what is your position on this
and have you spoken to Dr. Adler as to the impact on Charlotte if a decision is made that she will not continue at the FASNY school?

MS. MOST: Yes.
So Charlotte very much wants to attend next year. So I've received an e-mail communication from her telling me how badly she wants to go.

I have spoken to both Dr. Cool and Dr. Adler and both are recommending that she continue.

THE COURT: Okay.
So Mr. Kassenoff, in light of recommendations of the therapist, if Ms. Kassenoff is able to negotiate a scholarship such as she did for this school year, is that something you would consider in making the decision as to
whether or not Charlotte shall continue at the FASNY school?
MR. KASSENOFF: I'll consider anything. I mean, if it's a substantial reduction in price and Ms. Kassenoff agrees to pay at least 50 percent, I would definitely consider it.

THE COURT: Ms. Kassenoff, is that something you would consider doing?

MS. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, I cannot pay
50 percent. I thought that we had, what was in place for this year worked out well. And I will say that, you know, in order to get this scholarship I've got to do it immediately, otherwise she will lose her spot today. THE COURT: Okay, but I need to know. So hold on, hold on. I literally have to go back to a hearing. So please listen to me.

MS. KASSENOFF: Yes, I know.
THE COURT: I know that you negotiated a significant reduction, didn't you? Wasn't it down to like $\$ 10,000.00$ or something? What is the tuition versus what you paid?

MS. KASSENOFF: Judge, I'm not sure the exact amount but yes, it was significant. I believe it was more like a 40 percent reduction or something like that.

But Judge, we won't know the answer to the question of what amount reduction she would get this year for some
months and meanwhile the tuition deposit is due today. So I would suggest that --

THE COURT: But would you pay 50 percent of the tuition deposit today to reserve the spot so she could go?

MS. KASSENOFF: Yes, I would.
THE COURT: Mr. Kassenoff, do you agree with that? MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, I don't agree to that. THE COURT: He doesn't agree. Okay.

MR. KASSENOFF: Ms. Kassenoff is more than welcome to pay the full $\$ 3,000.00$. Or you know what, maybe she can drop some of her baseless lawsuits and Appeals and I can save a little money that way and put it towards the kids. That's an alternative, if she'd want to agree to that.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't tend to hold children hostage while people negotiate in litigation.

So at this point in time Mr. Kassenoff is holding in abeyance the decision as to whether or not Charlotte will attend FASNY.

Ms. Kassenoff, if you can I would pay the $\$ 3,000.00$ and apply for financial aid and present the proposal to Mr. Kassenoff for reconsideration.

MS. KUSNETZ: Your Honor, wait a minute. Catherine, hold on.

Your Honor, I would like to bring an emergency Order to Show Cause and have this be on the record with all
parties noted because this will -- because if this child with her mental state and everything that was represented even in the last summary status report by Hava White is correct and this kid doesn't get to go back to her school with her friends, with that stability for her mental state, that is against her best interest.

There's really no --
THE COURT: There has to be an ability to pay as well Ms. Kusnetz.

Ms. Most, is Dr. Cool completed -- is that a man or a woman?

MS. MOST: It's a woman and she will have -THE COURT: Has she completed her analysis?

MS. MOST: Yes and she will have a report to the Court within the next few days. She will be sending it directly to the Court, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
All right, so this is what we're going to do. I'm not directing Mr. Kassenoff to pay the deposit today. He has the decision making power with respect to this particular issue. However, given the conflicting opinions as to whether he can afford it or whether it's in the best interest of Charlotte to continue at this school based upon her current state, I am authorizing Ms. Kusnetz to file an emergency Order to Show Cause, so I have all of the
information in front of me regarding finances, the child's activities and what's in the best interest of the child.

MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor then I'll just pay it. Because I'm going to end up paying for it anyway in legal fees. You pretty much forced my hand.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, unfortunately I don't have to worry about litigation cost. I have to worry about what's in the best interest of the child.

MR. KASSENOFF: But me losing every penny is not in the best interest of the child.

THE COURT: I absolutely that it's not in the best interest for you to be rendered financially defunct when you are the only person paying expenses. That's why I would encourage all of the parties to hurry up and complete this litigation and to put this matter on the trial calendar and bring it to a conclusion.

However, these children have been now engaged in this divorce for two years basically. It's been a highly acrimonious divorce with a lot of moving parts and it's in their best interest to get it resolved quickly, as well.

MS. RATNER: And you directed Ms. Kassenoff to pay half of that though?

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Kassenoff pays her half, Mr. Kassenoff pays his half.

Ms. Kassenoff you are going to go ahead and
complete the financial status to see if, in fact, we can get this scholarship reduced and figure out a way that is financially viable for her to attend this school; is that correct?

MS. KASSENOFF: Judge, yes. And that's with regard to the $\$ 3,000.00$, Allan and I will have to work out a way to speak directly to the school to break up the bill in half because currently it's a $\$ 3,000.00$ invoice that comes directly to me and to him.

So he and I will have to sort to work together today to try to figure out how to do this.

THE COURT: I think it's very simple.
Mr. Kassenoff, you are the custodial parent. I would notify the school that you will be issuing a deposit, 50 percent will be paid by you, 50 percent will be paid by Ms. Kassenoff. That's not a complicated issue. Okay.

MS. KASSENOFF: Thank you.
THE COURT: Irene, did you have something else to do? I have to go back to my hearing.

So is there anything else you need on the record?
MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I raise one brief thing that may alleviate some motion practice, your Honor?

THE COURT: Anything you can do to alleviate motion practice the Court would be most grateful. I'll be happy to hear it.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: You probably have a Diciccos shopping cart of motions in your chambers.

THE COURT: This is not the one with the most motions, however.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
So Ms. Kusnetz was provided authority to file a motion to compel a deposition. I spoke to my client about it. I told the Court that I would have a response to her deficiency letter today. I need until tomorrow, Ms. Kusnetz but I will have it for you.

MS. KUSNETZ: That's fine.
MR. DIMOPOULOS: We will agree to have Mr. Kassenoff, he'll agree to sit for a one further seven hour deposition on any topic Ms. Kusnetz would like to explore, but we would like to limit it to seven hours and one deposition and move this case to completion.

THE COURT: Well, actually under the new rules now applicable to the matrimonial part you're only entitled to a seven hour deposition, period.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: That's the new rule, which I love. THE COURT: Yes, it is.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: So if we can agree to that, the Court can enforce that seven hours and we can avoid that motion which Ms. Kusnetz has to file by the 16 th and we can move this matter to its completion.

THE COURT: Well, the court can state here on the record that the new rule is a seven hour maximum period. There's already been two days of deposition for Mr. Kassenoff. The seven hour rule will be enforced.

MS. KASSENOFF: Judge, it wasn't a full second day.
THE COURT: It doesn't matter. That's far more than the seven hours you're entitled today.

MS. KUSNETZ: So excuse me, Judge. That was not the rule that was in place when Ms. Spielberg reserved her right to continue and that's not really fair.

THE COURT: I'm not going to argue with you. It's the rule in effect now. I'm the Judge and it's seven hours.

MS. KUSNETZ: You're taking away our --
THE COURT: I have to go. So we're signing off now. Erica, we need to go to the next hearing. Ms. Ratner you can continue the conference if necessary.

Just so we're perfectly clear, I follow the rules in effect at the present time. As of February 1, which is today, the rule is seven hours maximum.

Thank you.
(Whereupon the virtual conference is concluded.)
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| 15:9 | ```3:14 far [1]-17:6 FASNY [8] - 3:23, 4:1, 6:18, 10:5, 10:6, 10:14, 11:1, 12:18``` | hire ${ }_{[2]}$ - 9:24 <br> hold [4]-11:13, <br> 11:14, 12:14, 12:23 <br> holding [1] - 12:16 <br> honest $[1]$ - 9:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:14, 5:21 } \\ & \text { Jr }_{[1]}-1: 7 \\ & \text { Judge }_{[7]}-3: 10, \\ & 11: 21,11: 24,15: 5 \text {, } \\ & \text { 17:5, 17:8, 17:12 } \\ & \text { July }[2]-6: 4,6: 15 \\ & \text { Justice }[1]-1: 11 \end{aligned}$ | Iisten [1] - 11:15 <br> literally ${ }_{[1]}-11: 14$ <br> litigate [1]-10:1 <br> litigation [3] - 12:15, <br> 14:7, 14:15 <br> looked [2]-5:21, 9:10 <br> lose [1] - 11:12 <br> losing [1] - 14:9 <br> lost ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:11 <br> love [1] - 16:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| disclosed [2] - 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6:8 |  |  |  |  |
| disclosure [2] - $5: 6$ |  |  |  |  |
| dscov | ```February [2] - 1:9, 17:19 fees [1] - 14:5 few [1]-13:15 fight[1] - 9:11 figure [2] - 15:2, 15:11 file [3] - 13:24, 16:6,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Honor }[19]-4: 14 \text {, } \\ & 4: 16,4: 25,5: 7,5: 9, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { looked }[2]-5: 21,9: 10 \\ & \text { lose }[1]-11: 12 \\ & \text { losing }[1]-14: 9 \\ & \text { lost }_{[1]}-9: 11 \\ & \text { love }_{[1]}-16: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| orce [2] - 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 14:19 |  | 5:20, 6:2, 7:2, 7:17, |  |  |
| documents [1] - 8 |  | 8:24, 9:20, 11:8 |  |  |
| dollars [2]-9:22, 9:2 |  | 2:7, 12:22, 12:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kassenoff }[3]-12: 6, \\ & \text { 14:24, 15:13 } \\ & \text { Kassenoff }[24]-3: 5, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| done $[1]-6: 23$ down $[1]-11: 18$ |  | 13:16, 14:3, 15:22 |  |  |
| Dr [5] - 1:7, 10:12, | 16:24 <br> filed [2] - 9:25, 10:2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kassenoff [24]-3:5, } \\ & 3: 8,3: 15,3: 25,5: 12, \end{aligned}$ | mail [3] - 3:17, 9:1, |
| dra | filled $[1]-7: 11$ | hour [4] - 16:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 8,3: 15,3: 25,5: 12, \\ & 7: 24,8: 4,9: 17,9: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 10:17 |
| 1:19 | finances [1] - 14:1 <br> financial [18]-4:15, <br> 5:6, 5:17, 6:8, 6:11 |  | 10:22, 10:23, 11:3, | Main ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:15 |
| drop [1] - 12:11 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:6, 12:9, 12:16, } \\ & \text { 12:19, 12:21, 13:19, } \end{aligned}$ | maker [1] - 9:18 |
| due [3]-6:13, 9:6 |  | $16: 23,17: 7,17: 13$, 17.20 |  | man [1]-13:10 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 6,5: 17,6: 8,6: 11, \\ & 6: 12,6: 13,6: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 17:20 | 12:19, 12:21, 13:19, <br> 14:21, 14:23, 14:25, | Marcia [2] - 3:6, 3:7 |
| duplicated ${ }_{[1]}-7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 21,7: 6,7: 11,7: 16, \\ & 8: 4,8: 9,8: 16,8: 18, \end{aligned}$ |  | 5:16, 16:13, 17:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MARCIA [2] - 1:19, } \\ & 1: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 4,8: 9,8: 16,8: 18 \\ & 12: 20,15: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 9:22 <br> hurry [1] - 14:14 | 1:2, 1:5, 8:1, 9:20, | Maria [1] - 3:16 matrimonial [2]-9:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { e-mail [3] - 3:17, 9:1, } \\ & 10: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 15:3 | I | 11:8, 11:16, 11:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:18 } \\ & \text { matter }[3]-14: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| early [1] - 4:13 | fine ${ }_{[2]}-7: 22,16: 11$ <br> first $[3]-3: 23,7: 5,7: 8$ | idea [1] - 4:19 | 14:3, 14:9, 15:5, | $\begin{gathered} \text { matter }[3]-14: 15, \\ 16: 25,17: 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4:21, | following [2]-3:1, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { maximum [2] - 17:2, } \\ & 17: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| cation [1] - 9:2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:12 } \\ & \text { impact }[1]-10: 12 \end{aligned}$ | kid [1] - 13:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mean }_{[1]}-11: 2 \\ & \text { means }[1]-4: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| educational ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:4 | forced [1] - 14:5 | Index [1]-1:3 <br> information [3]-4:17, | KOBA [1] - 1:11 |  |
| effect ${ }_{[2]}$ - 17:12, | foregoing $[1]$ - 18:5 | information [3]-4:17,$6: 16,14: 1$ | Kusnetz [11] - 3:6, 3:7, | mental [2] - 13:2, 13:5 |
| 17:19 email [1] - 3:20 | 11, 7:13 |  | 6:6, |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { emergency }[2] \text { - } \\ 12: 24,13: 25 \end{gathered}$ <br> encourage ${ }_{[1]}-14: 14$ <br> end $[2]-6: 15,14: 4$ | friends [1] - 13:5 <br> front [1]-14:1 <br> full $[2]-12: 10,17: 5$ | initial [1] - 5:24 <br> interest [10]-10:4, | 16:14, 16:24 | ```mine [1] - 9:1 minute [1] - 12:22 minutes [1]-18:6 MLK [1] - 1:7``` |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interest [10] - 10:4, } \\ & \text { 10:5, 10:8, 13:6, } \\ & \text { 13:23, 14:2, 14:8, } \\ & \text { 14:10, 14:12, 14:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KUSNETZ }{ }_{[17]}-1: 19, \\ & \text { 1:20, } 3: 6,4: 3,5: 7, \\ & 5: 9,5: 20,6: 10,7: 7, \\ & 8: 21,9: 6,9: 8,10: 9, \\ & 12: 22,16: 11,17: 8, \\ & 17: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| end [2]-6:15, 14:4 |  | International [1] - |  |  |
| orced [1] - 17:4 |  |  |  | $12: 12$ |
| aged [1] - 14:17 | -8.9 | interrupt [1] - 4:4 |  |  |
| entire $[1]-6.4$ entitled [2]-16:18 | given [1] - 13:21 | invoice ${ }^{[2]}$ - 6:5, 15:8 involved $[1]-7: 9$ | L | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOST [5] - 1:23, 4:8, } \\ \text { 10:15, 13:12, 13:14 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | grateful [1] - 15:24 | involved [1] |  | most [4]-9:22, 13:10, |
| ERICA [2] - 2:9, | grievance [1] - 9:25 |  | late [1] - 6:3 | 15:24, 16:3 |
| Erica [1] - 17:16 | GUS $_{[1]}-1: 16$ | IRENE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:6 <br> irrelevant [2]-5:15, $5: 16$ | LAW [1] - 1:19 <br> Law [1]-3:6 <br> lawsuits [1]-12:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Most }[4]-3: 17,4: 5, \\ & 4: 8,10: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ESQ }_{[5]}-1: 16,1: 20, \\ 1: 23,2: 3,2: 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { motion }[4]-15: 22, \\ 15: 23,16: 7,16: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| excess [1] - 6:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { half }[4]-14: 22,14: 23, \\ & \begin{array}{l} 14: 24,15: 8 \\ \text { hand }[1]-14: 5 \\ \text { happy }[1]-15: 24 \\ \text { Hava }[1]-13: 3 \\ \text { hear }[2]-4: 21,15: 25 \\ \text { heard }[3]-7: 5,7: 8, \\ 7: 17 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 5,7: 2,7: 4,7: 13, \\ & 8: 19,8: 20,9: 4, \\ & 13: 21,15: 16 \\ & \text { issued }[3]-5: 9,6: 3, \\ & 6: 5 \\ & \text { issues }[1]-3: 22 \\ & \text { issuing }[1]-15: 14 \\ & \text { itself }[1]-6: 3 \end{aligned}$ | least [1] - 11:4 <br> leaving $[2]-7: 20,9: 3$ <br> legal [1] - 14:4 <br> letter [3]-4:16, 5:21, 16:9 | ```motions [2]-16:2, 16:4 move [2] - 16:16, 16:25 moving [1] - 14:19 MR [21]-3:4, 4:14,``` |
| excuse [1] - 17:8 expenses [1]-14: |  |  |  |  |
| explore ${ }_{[1]}-16: 15$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | hit $[1]-10: 22$ | $4: 23,5: 4,7: 17,7: 21$, $7: 23,8: 1,9: 20,10: 7$, |
| ```fact [4]-5:23, 6:2, 7:15, 15:1 fair [1]-17:10 Families [3] - 2:3, 2:5,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hearing }[4]-9: 15, \\ & \text { 11:14, } 15: 19,17: 16 \\ & \text { hears }[1]-4: 22 \\ & \text { highly }[1]-14: 18 \end{aligned}$ | J | line [2]-3:9, 3:16 <br> LISA [1] - 2:3 <br> Lisa [2] - 3:7, 3:15 <br> list [2] - 6:18, 6:24 | 14:3, 14:9, 15:21, |
|  |  | January [3]-4:13, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 1,16: 5,16: 12, \\ & 16: 20,16: 22 \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:24, 6:4, 11:19, } \\ & \text { 12:1, 12:4 } \\ & \text { two [3] - 3:22, 14:18, } \\ & \text { 17:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| U |
| ```under [2]-4:18, 16:17 unequivocal [1] - 5:10 unfortunately [1] - 14:6 up [4] - 8:7, 14:4, 14:14, 15:7``` |
| V |
| VARA [2] - 2:3, 3:14 <br> Vara ${ }^{2}$ ] $-3: 7,3: 15$ <br> VERA [1] - 3:10 <br> Vera [1] - 3:12 <br> veracity ${ }_{[1]}-5: 19$ <br> versus [1]-11:19 <br> via $\left.{ }_{2}\right]-1: 8,3: 2$ <br> viable [1] - 15:3 <br> virtual ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:22 <br> virtually ${ }_{[2]}-1: 8,3: 2$ |
| W |
| ```wait \({ }_{[1]}-12: 22\) wants [2]-10:16, 10:18 welcome [1] - 12:9 WESTCHESTER \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:1 White [2] - 1:8, 13:3 whole [1]-8:2 woman [2]-13:11, 13:12 worry [2]-14:7``` |
| Y |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { year }_{[17]}-3: 24,4: 2, \\ & 4: 10,6: 14,8: 18, \\ & 8: 19,9: 5,9: 10,9: 14, \\ & 9: 15,9: 19,10: 16, \\ & 10: 24,11: 10,11: 25 \\ & \text { years }_{[1]}-14: 18 \\ & \text { YORK }_{[1]}-1: 1 \\ & \text { York }_{[2]}-1: 8,1: 16 \\ & \text { youngster }[1]-8: 14 \end{aligned}$ |

