1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTERX
3	ALLAN KASSENOFF,
4	Plaintiff,
5	vs. Indictment No. 58217/19
6	CATHERINE KASSENOFF,
7	Defendant.
8	X
9	January 29, 2021 Westchester County Courthouse
10	111 Dr. M.L.K., Jr. Boulevard White Plains, New York 10601
11	BEFORE: HONORABLE NANCY QUINN KOBA and REFEREE IRENE RATNER
12	
13	APPEARANCES:
14	LAW OFFICE OF MARCIA E. KUSNETZ, P.C. For Ms. Kassenoff
15	2 International Drive, Suite 170 Rye Brook, New York 10573
16	918.864.2114 BY: MARCIA E. KUSNETZ, ESQ.
17	
18	SANCTUARY FOR FAMILIES For Ms. Kassenoff
19	P.O. Box 1406 Wall Street Station
20	New York, New York 10268 212.349.6009
21	BY: LISA VARA, ESQ. AND RUCHAMA COHEN, ESQ.
22	DIMOPOULOS BRUGGEMANN P.C.
23	For Mr. Kassenoff 73 Main Street
24	Tuckahoe, New York 10707 914.472.4242
25	BY: GUS DIMOPOULOS, ESQ. and MICHAEL CHIARAMONTE, ESQ.

```
1
     MOST & SCHNEID, P.C.
     For the Children
     222 Bloomingdale Road, Suite 302
2
     White Plains, New York 10605
 3
     914.997.9181
     BY: CAROL W. MOST, ESQ.
 4
                    Court Attorney Referee Irene Ratner
 5
     Also present:
                    Catherine Kassenoff
 6
                    Allan Kassenoff
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REFEREE RATNER: This is the matter of 1 2 Kassenoff versus Kassenoff, Index No. 58217 of '19. We're on for a pre-motion conference. A letter was 3 written to The Court by Ms. Kusnetz. A response was 4 5 written by -- to that letter by Mr. Dimopoulos. 6 letter Ms. Kusnetz requested a pre-motion conference. I want to state for the record that Ms. Kusnetz 7 was not happy that the conference could not be scheduled 8 9 before today, but the parties know that numerous dates were given for the proposed conference. Mr. Dimopoulos 10 or other people were not available, and this was the 11 first day -- look at the number of people we have here. 12 13 This was the first day that everyone was available for 14 this conference. 15 Normally I like to hold a conference within a 16 short period of time after the letter is written. Unfortunately in this matter, that was not possible. 17 So 18 I have your letter, Ms. Kusnetz, and --19 MS. KUSNETZ: Two letters, Referee. 20 letters. 21 REFEREE RATNER: Which was the second? 22 MS. KUSNETZ: So there was a letter on January 23 5th and there was a letter on January 15th. There was

also a letter at the end of December with regard to

ruling requests with regard to outstanding discovery that

24

relate to today.

So there's really a number of letters that we have here. We have custody issues and we also have discovery issues. And as you know, we're set for a continuation of the trial-ready conference on February 3rd, but we have not received any discovery since our last conference of December 21st.

I know that Ms. Most is on. So if you want to deal with the custody issues first so that we don't have to involve Ms. Most.

REFEREE RATNER: Well, I can't because Judge
Koba is going to come on afterwards. I can't excuse her
even if we deal with the issues. So, which, the December
letter?

MS. KUSNETZ: Yes. There was also -- December 16th there was already a pre-motion request for ruling authorization with regard to a motion to compel. And then we followed up certainly with our January 6th letter to you, Referee, which specifically referred to the outstanding discovery, Judge Koba's directive on the record where she stated to Mr. Dimopoulos that he was to file a formal response to her notice of deficiency. The notice --

REFEREE RATNER: I couldn't hear you because of the dog that was barking. I'm sorry. Judge Koba's

direction?

MS. KUSNETZ: Which was appended to my January 6th letter. I appended the pertinent part of the transcript wherein Judge Koba directed Mr. Dimopoulos to file a formal response to our December 15th notice of deficiency. She directed him to do that. That was not done. She directed him also to circulate the last pages of Mr. Kassenoff's deposition transcript because there was a disagreement as to how the deposition concluded and what was left open.

It was our position that the deposition was left open with regard to the financial issues that were raised at the deposition that were not concluded and involved further documents to be produced that were requested at the deposition. He did not circulate the end of the deposition to everybody. I did with my January 6th letter.

So since December 21st we didn't receive any discovery. I also appended portions of the Judge's directives whereby the Judge resolved certain of the issues that were set forth in the notice of deficiency which were specifically financial issues with regard to documents that reside in the marital residence in Larchmont. And certainly with regard to the date of marriage, you know, tax return, which Mr. Kassenoff's

father did I believe for the parties, that tax return in its entirety is in the marital residence.

It then speaks to certain issues as to what became marital thereafter and what was separate beforehand. It's a very important document. We should not be relegated solely to obtain an IRS transcript which, as you know, is just an abbreviated form of a tax return that's filed.

REFEREE RATNER: Let me stop you right there.

Mr. Dimopoulos, the notice of deficiency and the tax
return, is that in the house? Has your client looked for
it?

MS. KUSNETZ: He's not on the phone I don't think.

15 REFEREE RATNER: I see him.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm sorry. I apologize.

Although, I'm sure many people don't like seeing my face,
I don't see my own face. So I'm going to jump off and
jump back on if you don't mind. Just give me one second,
Referee Ratner.

(Discussion off the record.)

MS. KUSNETZ: I'm just going to say, I noticed that Judge Murphy was on the call before. I caution Mr. Kassenoff to make slanderous statements against me in front of another judge, a court attorney referee, as well

```
1
     as other counsel. He may feel comfortable saying that to
 2
     the children, but he cannot say that to me.
 3
              REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, I did not hear any
     slanderous statements.
 4
              MS. KUSNETZ: He called me a liar.
 5
                               I didn't hear that.
              REFEREE RATNER:
 6
 7
              MS. KUSNETZ: He called me a liar.
              REFEREE RATNER: I'm trying to get everyone in
 8
 9
    on the conference. Let's please keep personalities out
10
     of it. I don't want any negative comments by anyone
11
     about any of the attorneys or any of the parties. Let's
     try to keep this civil so that it doesn't break down into
12
13
    complete chaos.
14
              Now, Mr. Dimopoulos, I don't see you.
15
    what you look like, so if you want to speak.
16
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let's just get --
17
              REFEREE RATNER: Let me deal with the first
18
             The notice of deficiency, the tax returns. I
19
     remember your client was supposed to look for them in the
20
     house.
             Has he looked for them, has he found them, and
21
    have they been given to Ms. Kusnetz?
22
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: The answer to the question is
23
    whatever she states is in the marital residence we
24
     searched. It is not there.
25
              REFEREE RATNER: So the tax return is not in the
```

marital residence? 1 2 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No. It's a tax return, 3 incidentally, from 2000 -- from 11 years ago. I can tell you that my client doesn't have it but --4 5 REFEREE RATNER: What year are you looking for, 6 Ms. Kusnetz? MS. KUSNETZ: That was 2006. I believe it was 7 prepared by the Plaintiff's father. 8 9 MS. KASSENOFF: Yes, it was. 10 MS. KUSNETZ: So why wouldn't the Plaintiff's 11 father have the tax returns? And my client specifically knows where they are in the house and where all of these 12 13 records are maintained including -- I didn't finish, 14 Referee -- what The Court said should be produced, all 15 the closing binders relating to my client's separate 16 property claims with regard to the acquisition of the New 17 Rochelle home also reside in the marital residence. They 18 don't belong to Mr. Kassenoff. They relate to separate 19 properties owned by my client in Brooklyn which the --20 you know, the net proceeds of the sale can be traced to 21 the acquisition of the New Rochelle home. So those are 22 also in the Larchmont home. 23 REFEREE RATNER: One second. 24 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I will repeat, they are not in

the Larchmont home. He looked for them. They are not

```
I don't know what else I'm supposed to --
1
     there.
              MS. KUSNETZ: Where did he look?
 2
              MS. KASSENOFF: How about put him under oath.
 3
              MS. KUSNETZ: Catherine, stop. Where did he
 4
     look?
 5
 6
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I ask for a favor?
 7
     the problem with my video is that there's just so many
     people on. I think it will help if all the mics are
 8
 9
    muted.
10
              REFEREE RATNER: Yes. Mute the mics.
11
    getting a lot of feedback.
             Mr. Dimopoulos, do you have a problem if we ask
12
13
    vour client where he looked?
14
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Absolutely not.
              REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Kassenoff, unmute your mic.
15
16
    And can you please tell us where he looked -- you looked.
17
              MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, I think there's a
18
    misunderstanding here. I was not -- my understanding
    from the last call was the Judge never ordered me to
19
20
     look. I highly doubt I have tax records from 14 years
21
     ago. If Ms. Kassenoff is so positive where they are, I'm
22
     at the house now. I'm more than willing to run upstairs.
23
              I also would like to say for the record that the
24
    Brooklyn apartment that her lawyer keeps referring to as
```

separate property, I've already given Mr. Dimopoulos

```
1
    publicly-available information that I found proving that
 2
    I was a joint owner on that. If you Google it, you'll
     find that as well, Ms. Kusnetz.
 3
              MS. KUSNETZ: Excuse me. There's documentation.
 4
    I mean, he's not his own attorney. My client has a
 5
     claim. I guess we will be litigating this at a trial,
 6
 7
     but he just admitted he didn't even look for the
     documents.
 8
 9
              REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, please. He has a
10
     claim. We're not going to deal with it now. That's
     reserved for trial.
11
              Ms. Kassenoff, unmute your mic, please. Where
12
13
     in the house are those documents?
              MS. KASSENOFF: Come on, Referee. I haven't
14
15
    been in that house in over six months.
16
              REFEREE RATNER: I thought your attorney just
17
     said she knows where they were. Where did you leave
18
     them?
19
              MS. KASSENOFF: I'm going to give you various
20
     locations where I believe that they may be located.
21
    was not a well-organized situation when I left. I'm
22
     certain that it's gotten far less organized at this
23
    point.
24
              Mr. Kassenoff who lives in that house needs to
```

take a look at the third floor attic. He needs to take a

look in my office in the third floor. He needs to look 1 at work where he leaves a lot of his documents that I 2 3 never hear anything about because he has secret bank accounts. He needs to look, and he was ordered to do so. 4 5 MS. KUSNETZ: Catherine --REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kassenoff, I'm going to 6 7 stop you. Ms. Kassenoff, I'm going to mute you. I asked 8 you a simple question. I want a response to the auestion. 9 10 MS. KASSENOFF: It's not simple. 11 REFEREE RATNER: I am interrupting you, and I 12 just want you to know the court reporter will write what 13 I say not what you say. And if you continue to make 14 these editorial statements, I will not speak to you. You 15 will have to speak to your attorney by phone and she will 16 speak for you. I am giving you the courtesy of allowing 17 you to state -- as your attorney has said, you know where the documents are. Mr. Kassenoff has said he is in the 18 19 house. He will look, look again where you said. You 20 said third floor attic, your documents in the attic. 21 where else? No editorials. 22 MS. KASSENOFF: I'm doing my best. I'm not editorializing or calling anybody a liar. 23 24 REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kassenoff.

MS. KASSENOFF: They are located on the third

```
floor. I'm answering the question. I'm not at the
 1
     house. I haven't been there since March.
 2
             MS. KUSNETZ: Third floor and in her office.
 3
    Third floor attic and in her office.
 4
             MS. KASSENOFF: And in his various offices. He
 5
    has one in White Plains, he has one in --
 6
             MS. KUSNETZ: Stop. We don't know whether he
 7
     removed the documents from the house is what she's
 8
     saving. But in the house where she last saw them was in
     the third floor attic or in her office. She has an
10
11
     office in the house.
12
              REFEREE RATNER: Okay. Please mute your mic.
13
    That is the answer to that question.
14
             Mr. Kassenoff, would you please go upstairs and
15
    look? Are you on your phone?
16
             MR. KASSENOFF: No. I'm on a laptop.
17
             REFEREE RATNER: You can take it with you while
18
    you go upstairs.
             MR. KASSENOFF: I'm still a little confused. I
19
20
    don't recall the Judge ordering me to do this.
21
             MR. DIMOPOULOS: You were never ordered to do
22
    it.
23
              REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Kassenoff, I am ordering
24
    you to go upstairs and look for it. Please don't argue
25
    with me. If you want this case to go on for the next 10
```

years, it will if we don't resolve these issues. These are relatively minor issues. If it's in the house, let's get it to Ms. Kusnetz. If it's not, you're going to have to state that it's not in the house, and I'm sure

Ms. Kusnetz is going to want an affidavit from you that it's not in the house. But please don't argue with me.

Just go look upstairs and look to see if the documents are there. Very simple request.

Okay. The next question, notice of deficiency. Not the notice of deficiency. That was the other thing.

Mr. Dimopoulos -- and I'm trying to deal with these one by one. If not, we'll be here forever.

The direction that was appended to her letter that the husband was to file a formal response to Ms. Kusnetz's 12/15 deficiency notice.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Inaccurate, Referee Ratner.

Here's what happened. I went back over the transcript.

What happened was that, as we know, Ms. Spielberg was the prior attorney. The last demand for discovery was after

Mr. Kassenoff's deposition way back in July. We got a response to my letter -- we got a post-deposition demand from Ms. Spielberg. Okay. That was the last discovery demand we received for many, many months until January.

At a conference, a video conference with

Referee -- with Judge Koba, I misspoke and said I didn't

1 get a post-deposition demand. I later corrected that by 2 saying I forgot I did get one and I did respond to it, and I sent my response and a lot of documents that we 3 produced to Ms. Kusnetz. So I don't understand how she 4 5 could produce a deficiency letter to a demand in a 6 response she had never seen. 7 MS. KUSNETZ: Because Mr. Dimopoulos --MR. DIMOPOULOS: Ms. Kusnetz, I promise I will 8 9 not interrupt you. 10 REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, please let's try to keep this civil. Neither of you is to interrupt the 11 12 other. 13 Please continue, Mr. Dimopoulos. 14 MR. DIMOPOULOS: So I produced the post-EBT 15 production to Ms. Kusnetz which I had already given to 16 Ms. Spielberg. 17 One of the issues in this case, Referee Ratner, 18 is there have been seven prior attorneys for 19 Ms. Kassenoff. So every time the file moves from Mitch 20 Lieberman to Lisa Zeiderman, from Lisa Zeiderman to Mr. 21 Nissman, everyone claiming charging leaves and retaining 22 leaves, I don't know where these documents are. 23 tell you assuredly that Judge Koba said from the other

conference, "If you didn't respond to it, respond it to."

24

25

That was her order.

She never ordered me -- and I challenge anyone to prove to the contrary -- that I was to respond to a deficiency demand. I responded to the post-deposition demand I forwarded to Ms. Kusnetz. Everything is provided. Okay?

Just for clarification, I need to just mention what is in these deficiency demands that Ms. Kusnetz has propounded. They are wage statements that go back to 2006 which we produced for multiple multiple years. They are partnership documents and 1099s and things from Greenberg Traurig that my client said many, many times, "I don't get a 1099 so I can't give you one."

And on top of that they asked for documents that are now subject to motion practice between Ms. Kusnetz and counsel for Greenberg Traurig. My client cannot provide firm documents that his employer refuses him to provide himself. Okay?

So even if I were directed to respond to this deficiency, which I was not, this deficiency asks for things that are beyond the scope of what she's entitled to get from my client and is subject to litigation.

REFEREE RATNER: You said she's seeking wage statements from 2006. Were those ever requested before?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: The only thing that was requested before was in the first demand. I produced

1 three separate traunches of documents. Okay. The third 2 production of documents included all W-2s and wage statements that he has possession of. I told him, "Give 3 me every single one you have." Who keeps their W-2s for 4 5 15 years? I don't know anyone that does that. MS. KUSNETZ: May I respond? 6 7 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Except my mother who is --MS. KUSNETZ: We don't have the time for that. 8 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Here's the situation. Okav. 9 10 we produced everything we were ordered to produce. 11 produced it multiple times to multiple attorneys. 12 Discovery cannot go on forever. 13 MS. KUSNETZ: So let me respond, please, because if you look at Page 28 of the December 21st transcript, 14 15 you know, all of this fluff that -- all of this 16 detraction cannot excuse him from failing to abide by a 17 court directive where The Court says to him, 18 "Mr. Dimopoulos, please issue a formal response, and if 19 you already responded designate what notice you respond 20 to." Okay. And that is exactly the Judge's directive in 21 discussing my December 15th notice of deficiency which 22 was brought up on Page 27 of this transcript and the 23 conference. 24 He was directed to file a formal response to the

notice of deficiency, and I just want to state that the

```
notice of deficiency, even though Mr. Dimopoulos wasted
 1
 2
     our time and said he never got a post, you know --
 3
              REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, Ms. Kusnetz, and I
    would just ask you, please just lower your voice.
 4
 5
     Everyone hears you.
 6
              MS. KUSNETZ: I apologize.
 7
              REFEREE RATNER: Thank you. Please.
              So, Mr. Dimopoulos, can you please just simply
 8
     issue a formal response as set forth on Page 28 of the
 9
10
    12/21 transcript?
11
             MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I will do so, but
    what Ms. Kusnetz is reading is a directive from Her Honor
12
13
    that was based upon me saying no response was given, and
14
     then I corrected it by saying it was responsive. I'm not
15
    going to argue --
16
              REFEREE RATNER: Excuse me. Ms. Kusnetz, no
17
     interruption.
18
              Mr. Dimopoulos, then it should be a very simple
19
     response. You erroneously stated hadn't been. You did.
20
    This is a formal response, what you produced.
21
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: I will do that by Monday, Your
22
    Honor.
23
              MS. KUSNETZ: I just want to say something,
24
    Referee, please, so you understand, that the notice of
25
     deficiency actually specifically speaks to post-EBT
```

```
1
    deficiencies. Do you understand that we knew about the
     post-EBT demands? It is included in the notice of
 2
 3
     deficiency, and his just asking for wage statements is
     certainly not the only thing that is noted in the notice
 4
 5
    of deficiency that is seven pages long. And the --
              REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz --
 6
 7
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: I don't know if you heard what
             I said I'll respond by Monday. Is my mic muted?
 8
    I said.
 9
              REFEREE RATNER: No, it's not. Mr. Dimopoulos,
10
     come on, let's try to keep this civil.
              Okay. I know there's a lot of acrimony.
11
12
    Nothing is gained by the acrimony except the expenditure
13
    of money by the clients having to pay their attorneys.
14
    It's very simple. So let's please --
15
              MS. KUSNETZ: A waste of time.
16
              REFEREE RATNER: -- try to keep this civil.
                                                           So
17
     the post-EBT demands, you will respond and you will
18
     respond to Page 28?
19
              MS. KUSNETZ:
                            No, no.
20
              REFEREE RATNER: You have responded to them?
21
              MS. KUSNETZ: It is not the post-EBT demands.
22
    The notice of deficiency goes back to -- it is an
23
     accumulation of deficiencies since his first production.
24
    That is what the Judge spoke to. The notice of
25
    deficiency encompasses all of the supplemental demands,
```

not just the post-EBT demands. It is not new, Referee.

You said tell me what hasn't been produced, not what you want to be produced today.

The only additional production that we asked for, Referee, is what we are entitled to under the law which is 3101(h), updated documents. And if you look at the trial-ready conference, Mr. Dimopoulos agreed to upload -- I mean update his legal invoices. He agreed to update financial documents without a date certain.

How are we going to prepare a notice -- a statement of proposed disposition? How are we supposed to know what the state of the assets are that are in Mr. Kassenoff's name? We're entitled to update disclosure. It is a matter of law. We don't have to wait until the day before trial. This is 3101(h), Referee.

You know from The Court's decision from another case we have together, we are entitled to updated supplemental discovery. I served a notice to continue Mr. Kassenoff's deposition. I did that because I'm entitled to do it, and there was no limitation. I'm entitled to take his deposition and with a supplemental demand for updated documents --

REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, you've said it already. The issue as to whether you are entitled to

- continue Mr. Kassenoff's deposition was an issue that has 1 2 been raised because my recollection is that there was a claim that the update was only for a limited purpose and 3 it was based upon a -- the report of the neutral 4 5 financial evaluator and questions only related there. Mr. Dimopoulos, do you have -- Ms. Kusnetz, 6 7 please do not interrupt. Mr. Dimopoulos, the Judge had requested that 8 9 you -- or at some point she did request that you provide the part of the transcript where it said -- limited the 10 husband's further deposition or where there is even a 11 right reserved for a further deposition because if it was 12 13 not reserved that's a separate issue. I don't know if it 14 was or was not. 15 MS. KUSNETZ: I circulated it. I circulated it. 16 He didn't do it. I circulated -- you didn't hear me say 17 that. I circulated the deposition transcripts where 18 Ms. Spielberg reserves the right to continue, not 19 limited. She reserves the right to continue. 20 Mr. Dimopoulos, after he misrepresented that to the
- 20 Mr. Dimopoulos, after he misrepresented that to the 21 Judge, the Judge told him to do that on December 21st. 22 He didn't do it.

23

24

25

I did it with my January 6th letter. That is 23 days ago, Referee. Judge Koba said, "Circulate it, sir."

He didn't do it. I did it.

REFEREE RATNER: I heard you.

Mr. Dimopoulos, do you have a transcript that disputes what Ms. Kusnetz is saying occurred at the end of the deposition where Ms. Spielberg reserved the right to continue the deposition without any limitation?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: She didn't reserve the right.

Okay. She said -- I think I'm quoting or very close to verbatim -- "Let's see what the documents say." She didn't say, "I hereby reserve my client's right to call your client for a further deposition upon receipt of further documents."

Okay. If she wants to challenge me on that, she can all she wants. Here's the deal, he sat for two days of depositions, two days. Just today I got notice of the new uniform rules of the trial part. Seven hours. Seven hours. That's all you get.

REFEREE RATNER: I know. I know. I went to the Conference of the American Trial Lawyers at 12 o'clock.

Seven hours. You need permission for more than seven hours.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I absolutely applaud these new rules. They mirror the federal rules which are fantastic. We're not going to get 62-page interrogatories anymore. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have had a limit on interrogatories and

deposition time for years.

REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, please. Let's stick to the issue and the issues in the Kassenoff cause.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Here are the issues: My client is not going to sit for another open-ended deposition for a third and fourth day with Ms. Kusnetz unless he is ordered to do so by this Court after a formal motion to brief the issues. This is harassment. You see how this attorney conducts herself. You think I'm going to let my client sit for another 18 hours so he can get yelled and screamed at when he's already sat for two days with another lawyer? Not going to happen.

He will sit for a deposition on the limited issue of Mr. DiMarco's report only because I've already said he would. Okay. That's that. I'm not going to --he's not going to sit again. There's only so much I can take here. It's not going to happen.

REFEREE RATNER: Is that acceptable, Ms. Kusnetz?

MS. KUSNETZ: That is not acceptable to me.

That is not what Judge Koba directed him to do. And,

frankly, I don't think that Referee should permit

Mr. Dimopoulos to continue that abusive behavior and

comments about me, about the way that I conduct myself.

That doesn't seem to bother you. That just is permitted

continually, and I am saying that is grievable. He may not speak to me that way, he may not categorize my conduct, and he may not outright deny The Court's directive.

REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, there have been allegations and I have begged both of you to stop it. You have made comments about Mr. Dimopoulos and he's made comments about you. I will not allow -- there you go again.

I will not allow anything other than dealing with the issues or I am going to terminate this pre-motion conference and there will be no motion allowed other than the one I will allow -- everyone turn off your mic. I'm getting feedback.

I will only allow the motion at this point on the issue of the husband's deposition and the extent to which whether you're allowed an open-ended deposition or a -- the extent of the husband's further deposition.

Okay. That's already -- that part is allowed as part of the motion. And I'm just going to need dates.

There will be other issues which we'll deal with, but for the ruling, when do you want to make your motion,

Ms. Kusnetz?

MS. KUSNETZ: Can you tell me when you think the motion to quash will be determined?

1 REFEREE RATNER: I'm going to try to get to 2 everything today. I'm asking because the motion 3 No. MS. KUSNETZ: would encompass -- if The Court orders certain discrete 4 5 documents to be produced by Greenberg Traurig that Mr. Kassenoff has already testified in his deposition 6 that he has, he has access to. So as far as I'm 7 concerned, with regard to those documents I think we need 8 to see whether The Court --9 10 REFEREE RATNER: I have no way of knowing that. 11 what I would suggest you do is that in your motion you request that in the event the motion to quash is not 12 13 determined, that you be given the opportunity to 14 depose -- if The Court allows the deposition, that you be 15 allowed to depose Mr. Kassenoff on the limited issue 16 regarding the motion to quash. 17 MS. KUSNETZ: Okay. 18 REFEREE RATNER: Or something to that effect, 19 exactly what you're requesting. That can be in your 20 motion. When do you want to file your motion by? 21 MS. KUSNETZ: I also want to ask for counsel 22 fees. I would like that included. 23 MR. DIMOPOULOS: You want to ask for counsel 24 fees? 25 MS. KUSNETZ: Yes, I do.

```
1
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I be heard on that,
 2
     Referee?
              MS. KUSNETZ: Because certainly with regard to
 3
     the fact that nothing was produced with regard to claims
 4
     of documents that are available in the -- in the marital
 5
     residence --
 6
 7
              REFEREE RATNER: Allegedly. Let me find out.
              Mr. Kassenoff, are you back?
 8
              MR. KASSENOFF: I'm back.
 9
10
              REFEREE RATNER: Have you searched the third
11
     floor?
              MR. KASSENOFF: I did the best I could. I found
12
13
     the 2006 tax returns. I found nothing on Brooklyn
14
     properties.
15
              REFEREE RATNER: And nothing on the Brooklyn
16
     properties. Anything on any other properties?
17
              MR. KASSENOFF: Oh. Was there more that they
18
     wanted?
19
              REFEREE RATNER: I don't know.
20
              MS. KUSNETZ: Well, we don't have the closing
21
     binders for the two marital residences. Maybe those
22
     documents are in them.
23
              MR. KASSENOFF: They're --
24
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: They're marital properties to
25
     be split equitably.
```

```
1
              MS. KUSNETZ: Listen, with joint funds -- we are
     not here, Referee, to debate the merits. We're entitled
 2
 3
     to --
              REFEREE RATNER: I got it. I got it.
 4
 5
              Mr. Kassenoff, do you know if the closing
 6
     binders for the two marital properties are in the attic?
 7
              MR. KASSENOFF: I wasn't looking for those.
    will go look now, Your Honor.
 8
 9
              REFEREE RATNER: Thank you. We'll get back to
10
     you.
             MS. KASSENOFF: If I could just make a point.
11
12
              REFEREE RATNER: No, no. You have an attorney.
13
             Mr. Dimopoulos, do you want to be heard on the
14
     issue of counsel fees?
15
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yeah, I do. I think this is
16
     probably the most sickening request of all. She's had
17
     more attorneys --
18
              REFEREE RATNER: Please leave out the
19
     adjectives. Simply address the issue. I don't need the
20
     adjectives. It's only going to exacerbate and inflame
21
     the issues. I don't want to do that. Please, let's try
22
     to keep this civil.
23
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: How can I?
24
              REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, I am asking --
25
     again, I am going to terminate this conference.
```

going to be over. I do not want to hear -- I want to hear the issues addressed and no more than that. All we're here for -- you're both attorneys. There's a code of conduct which everyone should be observing. Please restrain yourself.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I do not think she should be given permission to request counsel fees. I think she has three pro bono attorneys. I think she's already received a \$100,000 counsel fee award which was paid. I think that she owes all of her attorneys money. I think that everything is over-litigated. She's been to the Appellate Division twice, she's filed multiple, multiple, multiple motions, all of which The Court has refused to sign the order to show cause which were ridiculous because they were third and forth requests for the same relief.

REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, excuse me. I'm dealing with the counsel fees and the request for counsel fees, and the request for counsel fees would only be with respect to the continued deposition and anything else we deal with here today. Her request for -- if she wants counsel fees for the other things, that's not before us today. Okay. You can respond to her request. I really can't refuse her request to make the request for counsel fees. You will have the opportunity to answer that

```
request -- that motion. When do you want to make your
 1
 2
     motion?
              MS. KUSNETZ: Within two weeks.
 3
              REFEREE RATNER: Give me a date, please.
 4
 5
              MS. KUSNETZ: Do you have your calendar? I'm
 6
     looking at my calendar.
              REFEREE RATNER: I have a calendar.
 7
 8
              MS. KUSNETZ: By February 12th?
              REFEREE RATNER: Court is closed February 12th.
 9
10
              MS. KUSNETZ: By the 15th then?
11
              REFEREE RATNER: I hate to say this, but court
     is closed the 15th also. I'm sorry.
12
13
              MS. KUSNETZ: Then by the 16th. I'm on trial on
14
     the 16th, but I'm going to try to get it in before that,
15
     Referee. I have a trial.
16
              REFEREE RATNER: You can e-file it at any time.
     You can e-file it by the 12th. Just understand that no
17
18
     one will look at it until the 16th. I don't even think
19
     the notice will come in until after that.
20
              MS. KUSNETZ: Should I just say the 16th then?
21
              REFEREE RATNER: Yes. And, Mr. Dimopoulos, how
22
     long do you need to answer?
              Did we lose him? One second. I didn't realize
23
24
     that.
             Mr. Dimopoulos, are you here?
25
```

1 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yeah. 2 REFEREE RATNER: Did you hear what I said, that the issue of the counsel fees will only be regarding 3 this -- any ruling that she's granted today, not with 4 5 anything that happened in the past, only the issues 6 raised today? MR. DIMOPOULOS: That's fine. 7 REFEREE RATNER: And I want that to be clear in 8 9 the motion, that the counsel fees only will be issues 10 today. 11 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I missed the briefing schedule. 12 REFEREE RATNER: Okay. She'll file a motion. 13 She first asked to file it by February 12th. The court 14 is closed. By the 15th, the court is closed. 15 unusual that we have such a long weekend. So by February 16 16th, Tuesday, February 16th. How long do you need to 17 respond? 18 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Two weeks? 19 REFEREE RATNER: The 23rd. 20 MR. DIMOPOULOS: That's fine. The Court is 21 closed on the 12th? What's the 12th? 22 MS. KUSNETZ: Lincoln's birthday. And Monday is 23 washington's birthday. So you folks will have a lot of 24 time to work on those days. You won't have any 25 conferences on either day.

1 Reply, Ms. Kusnetz? MS. KUSNETZ: I would -- I'm on trial 2 unfortunately that week. So I would have to say March 3 1st. 4 REFEREE RATNER: Okay. By March 1st. Okay. So 5 6 we go with that. The extent of any further deposition, 7 that's going to be the first thing that's allowed. MS. KUSNETZ: So, Referee, if when we get 8 Mr. Dimopoulos' response to the notice of deficiency --9 he said he's doing that by Monday. So if he and I do not 10 11 resolve what is outstanding with regard to what he submits to me by Monday, can I include those documents in 12 13 my motion to compel? 14 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No matter what I give, it's not 15 going to be enough so -- but here's the deal, okay, if 16 you -- if I refuse to give something, those are valid 17 grounds to make a motion. If I say we don't have them, 18 those are not valid grounds. 19 REFEREE RATNER: I agree that it's not. 20 MR. DIMOPOULOS: So to the extent I provide 21 something and Ms. Kusnetz would like to compel me to, 22 then I don't have an objection to that. But I can pretty 23 much assure you that's not going to be the case, but 24 fine.

There's not been one thing thus far that I've

```
said, "No, I'm not giving it to you," other than the
 1
 2
     Greenberg Traurig documents which Greenberg Traurig has
     said we can't provide them. So I'm not arguing about
 3
     turning over discovery.
 4
 5
              MS. KUSNETZ: That's not correct.
              REFEREE RATNER: And I will say that -- one
 6
 7
             Okay. I just got a notice but it's incorrect.
     second.
              The issue is -- the issue is whether --
 8
     Mr. Kassenoff I think was credible. He said he was --
 9
     and he found the 2006 tax returns.
10
11
              Are you back, Mr. Kassenoff?
12
              MR. KASSENOFF: I am, Your Honor. There's no
13
     closing binders on the third floor.
14
              MS. KUSNETZ: Can he look in my client's office?
15
     Maybe she should be allowed to go --
16
              MS. KASSENOFF: Can I make a statement?
17
              REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Kassenoff, please.
                                                       Do you
18
     have a problem going into her office on the third floor?
19
     She's giving you permission to go in.
20
              MR. KASSENOFF: Let me just explain. There is
     no office for Ms. Kassenoff. There's an office which --
21
22
     frankly, it's my house now. It's my office. I work from
23
     there. And, yes, I looked in the third floor office,
24
     I've looked in the attic compartment, in the file cabinet
     in both rooms. I don't know where else they would
25
```

possibly be. There was a point where Ms. Kassenoff 1 2 removed files from the house. I know that for a fact. think she put them in a safe-deposit box. She had them 3 in her car. 4 REFEREE RATNER: Closing binders wouldn't fit in 5 6 a safe-deposit box. 7 Right. She doesn't have them. MS. KUSNETZ: 8 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I have a really big one. REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, the problem is 9 Mr. Kassenoff said he does not have them. He found the 10 11 2006 tax returns. Mr. Dimopoulos will get them to you by 12 tomorrow -- by Monday. 13 MS. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, can we just have him 14 confirm that he has checked any other possible location where he thinks he might have put them. These are 15 16 financial documents that he was always in control of. I 17 wasn't the one to file these. He was. So he may have 18 other locations that he's not discussing with us. 19 REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Kassenoff, did you look 20 everywhere they might have been on the third floor or 21 second floor or anywhere else in the house? 22 MR. KASSENOFF: Your Honor, I don't know what 23 she's talking about, I was in charge of filing them. 24 Everybody is in charge of filing documents. I looked in

the two file cabinets we had. Those are the places they

would be. I don't have them. I don't know what to say. 1 2 MS. KASSENOFF: If he says he doesn't have them 3 anywhere, then I'll take that representation, Judge. just want to be sure we're not playing games here where 4 5 he's just trying to figure out what I'm going to identify 6 as a place and then he says, "I checked that place and it's not there." This is not a game. 7 REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kassenoff, he was pretty 8 clear. He said he checked in the office file cabinets 9 10 and other places on the third floor. 11 MS. KUSNETZ: What about outside of the house? He has other offices. Did he remove them? 12 13 MS. KASSENOFF: That's right. 14 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Al, did you check the subway 15 station on the way to work? 16 REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, again, I implore -- I am imploring you to please stop the 17 18 comments. I understand your frustration. You are both 19 frustrated. You know what, everyone is frustrated with 20 this procedure. I wish this case was over. Everyone 21 does. I'm sure the parties wish it was over. The amount 22 of money they are expending on counsel fees is insane. 23 It's almost criminal. But there's nothing we can do 24 about that. That is their choice.

I wish there was some way to get everyone

```
1
     together and to try to sit down and resolve the issues
 2
     without the necessity for expending money that they don't
 3
     have. They simply don't have it.
              MS. KUSNETZ: Do that, Referee. We'll sit at a
 4
 5
     meeting.
             MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I just say something? My
 6
     client --
 7
              REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos?
 8
 9
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: My client -- a meeting to
     settle this case?
10
11
              REFEREE RATNER: Absolutely. You know I'm the
12
     eternal optimist, Mr. Dimopoulos.
13
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner, there is a
     pending grievance filed against my client. There is a
14
15
     lawsuit against him and me personally, the lawyer.
16
              MS. KUSNETZ:
                            Because you would not -- you know
17
     what, to this date she never got her cancer medication.
18
     To this date, she's never gotten the cancer medication.
19
     We have proof of it being delivered to the house.
20
              MR. KASSENOFF: No. No. I'm sick of the cancer
     medication nonsense.
21
22
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: It's a lie. We know it.
23
              MS. KUSNETZ: We have a delivery notice.
24
              REFEREE RATNER: Excuse me. Let me just -- you
25
     know what -- excuse me. Ms. Kusnetz, I'm just going to
```

say one thing with personal experience. With the postal service and other delivery services, I have received tracking that an item was delivered. You got it like I got it. It was never delivered. So, you know, not everything is what -- you can't take everything to the bank, I'll tell you that. There are problems.

Anyway, I'm not going to deal with that. I'm not going to deal with that. If you are interested, Mr. Dimopoulos, in some kind of a settlement conference, I will speak to Judge Koba and maybe the four of us, only counsel, and maybe we can deal with those issues with the grievance committee and whatever else is there. If we can settle the case, maybe we can get all of the complaints withdrawn so these two people can move on with their lives.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner, nothing would make me happier than crossing this case off of my list of open cases. Nothing would make my client happier than never speaking to me as long as he lives ever again. However, a meeting with these people is a very good way to guarantee a settlement doesn't happen. If they would like, send us a proposal, I will counter and respond to any proposal to the extent there is any hope that the issues can be resolved even in part, even in part. We will entertain it willingly.

REFEREE RATNER: As far as the children, you must include Ms. Most.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: We would love nothing more than to resolve this case, and I welcome Ms. Kusnetz instead of a motion prepare a settlement proposal. I will respond immediately.

REFEREE RATNER: Okay. Ms. Kusnetz, can you do that?

MS. KUSNETZ: So I'm just -- I would be happy -- I don't know if I can do a global one without certain answers to questions, and I would be happy to pose those questions to Mr. Dimopoulos if he can answer certain financial questions for us that are outstanding because of documents that are outstanding. I would be happy to do that with regard to that. But with regard to a custody proposal, of course I could prepare one. I could prepare one within a week.

REFEREE RATNER: A custody proposal is going to be a more difficult issue, I will tell you. I can foresee a possible settlement on the finances. You know, the finances -- you're talking about a trial of -- for finances alone probably two weeks. Is that an approximate estimate or more?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner, if this case goes on another three or four months, there will be no

```
more money left. We will have blown through almost
 1
 2
     $2,000,000 if this case goes to trial.
              REFEREE RATNER: I understand that. And we're
 3
     also dealing with the net proceeds from the sale of the
 4
 5
    house.
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: The lion's share of which is my
 6
 7
     client's separate property subject to trial.
              In any event, send me whatever settlement
 8
     proposal you would like to send me. I will respond
 9
10
     immediately.
11
              REFEREE RATNER: I would suggest, Ms. Kusnetz,
    that you do it in two parts, financial and custody.
12
13
    Those are two. The second one has to go to --
14
              MS. KUSNETZ: Clearly custody is the most
15
     important issue to my client in this case, Referee.
16
     understand that?
17
              REFEREE RATNER: I understand that. I do think,
18
     though, that unless there is -- well, Mr. Dimopoulos, is
19
    your client willing to agree to some resolution of
20
    custody without an updated report from either Dr. Abrams
21
    or another doctor?
22
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: I don't need anything further
23
    to happen to respond to and settle custody. We are
24
    willing to discuss that right now.
25
              REFEREE RATNER: I don't have the time right
```

1 now. 2 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, no. I don't mean that. Ι 3 corrected myself. I mean, we don't need an updated report from Dr. Abrams or a new forensic or anything 4 else. We'll discuss settlement. 5 REFEREE RATNER: Okay. So I would do it in two 6 7 parts, Ms. Kusnetz. One is financial because Ms. Most doesn't have to be involved in the financial. Any 8 custody has to -- she has to be included in that request. 9 10 So maybe --11 MS. KUSNETZ: But like I said, I have some questions that I need to be answered with regard to that. 12 13 And I have to say something. If, frankly, with regard to 14 even just the issue of the valuation of the Plaintiff's 15 ownership interest in Greenberg Traurig --16 REFEREE RATNER: One second. It's not a -- he 17 doesn't have an ownership interest. 18 MS. KUSNETZ: Yes, he does. He has a 19 shareholder interest. Please don't go back. It was 20 valued -- shareholder is an owner. Please don't go back 21 there. I don't think you really understand what Mr. --22 I'm not going to say you didn't understand. 23 REFEREE RATNER: Thank you. I restrained myself 24 from responding to you. 25 MS. KUSNETZ: You don't have to restrain.

1 can always respond to me. I do misspeak.

REFEREE RATNER: Nothing would be gained by a response.

Okay. Ms. Kusnetz.

MS. KUSNETZ: If Mr. Kassenoff would speak to his co-shareholders at Greenberg Traurig and if two sets of documents were produced with two categories, for example, the work in progress and his share of the receivables, which Mr. DiMarco spoke specifically about that is added on in the second department, we could avoid a trial.

You know, that -- this is very frustrating to me because those are added on in -- that is a Rubino analysis. It's added on in the second department.

Mr. DiMarco said it to the Judge. If those documents were produced and if they are encased in a confidential jar and a confidentiality order signed by this Judge, we could settle the valuation issue. If I don't have those two categories of documents, it's too much money to settle a case without going to trial. I need those documents. It is a Rubino Second Department argument.

Mr. DiMarco said it to the Judge, "I cannot give you that valuation without accounts receivable and without

Mr. Kassenoff's work in progress."

And, in fact, Jill Spielberg at the deposition

asked him whether he had access to those two categories of documents, and he said he did but he could not produce them because his employer did not give permission to do so.

So I'm just saying, you know, the valuation of the interest is a huge piece of this. I would love to settle that with Mr. Dimopoulos. We can talk about a lot of different things with regard to that valuation, but I do need those documents.

REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, you know what I'm going to suggest, reach out to Mr. Warder I believe is the attorney's name.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner, let me be clear.

REFEREE RATNER: Let me propose something. My suggestion would be you speak to Mr. Warder and see if there is any way the three of you can engage in a conference and Mr. Warder -- see if Mr. Warder can provide those numbers without anything in writing. The conference should not be in any way -- either it would be confidentiality, you can't release any of the numbers, neither of you can, you know, do anything with those numbers, and see if that will obviate the need for the motion which Ms. Kusnetz -- which has been filed to quash this -- Ms. Kusnetz's subpoena. See if there's any way

```
to resolve it. Just reach out to them.
 1
 2
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Can I briefly respond?
              MR. KASSENOFF: I have to leave.
 3
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: You have to go pick up
 4
     Charlotte?
 5
 6
              MR. KASSENOFF:
                              JoJo, yes.
 7
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Oh, okay. He's just going to
     jump off the phone.
 8
 9
              MR. KASSENOFF: I'm just waiting to be let in,
10
     Gus.
11
              REFEREE RATNER: Got it.
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let me briefly address this
12
13
     issue.
             I don't know how much more we have. I have a
14
     conference with Judge Koba at 3:30 that I must --
15
              REFEREE RATNER: Right. And I want to bring her
16
     in on two issues. So I'm going to do this very quickly.
17
     I have to go to the rest of the --
18
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let me address that issue.
19
     don't have any control. I've spoken to Mr. Warder on
20
     many occasions. Greenburgh Traurig does not provide
21
     those documents in any case for its many many hundreds,
     if not thousands -- I don't know how many shareholders
22
     there are. They do not do it for anyone under any
23
24
     scenario. So I can't help.
25
              MS. KUSNETZ: You know what, they are more
```

concerned about the income information, and we would be more amenable to settle the reasonable compensation issue which is based on, you know, determining the income of a senior associate in his department. We would be more amenable because Mr. DiMarco actually did change his number on that after my comments. We would be more amenable to look, you know, to look -- you know, for the moment, not waiving my objection on it, but certainly to greater probability to settle the issue would be to get the percentage of accounts receivable and his works in progress which is what we put in our reports in the second department.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I just have to clarify.

MS. KUSNETZ: Many of them, right.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: The valuation report of any excess earnings approach encompasses two categories.

Okay. It is reasonable comp and goodwill. A Rubino analysis that was constantly referred to is nothing more than a second department holding saying you've got to take into consideration goodwill. It's not just the excess earnings.

Okay Mr. DiMarco's report gives two separate values, one for excess earnings and one for goodwill. It gives those same two values on two different valuation dates. It's a very exhaustive approach. She wants a

work in progress not so she can get a Rubino analysis, so that she can say that the Rubino analysis and the goodwill in the report is not enough.

So it's not as if she didn't get the value she's looking for. She just wants to say it's not high enough. I just wanted to clarify.

MS. KUSNETZ: That is not correct. Please read Rubino. Rubino was very clear.

REFEREE RATNER: Okay. I don't have time.

Ms. Kusnetz, if it can be resolved fine. I have to move on because I have to bring Judge Koba in on this also.

You want a ruling that the father is precluded from bringing the girls to the paramour's home. I have to tell you I discussed this with Judge Koba, and we are both of the opinion that -- of course this case has been going on for something like two years. Mr. Kassenoff has the right to have a girlfriend if he wants one, but I think that the girlfriend should not be sleeping over at the house. She can certainly come to the house, she can be there. The girlfriend should not be staying overnight. He can go out with her. He can go out on a date but --

MS. KUSNETZ: What about his sleeping over at her house and not being --

REFEREE RATNER: Really -- no more than really

```
once, at most twice a week. But he should -- it should
 1
 2
    be very limited as long as there is an adult in the
    house.
 3
              MS. KUSNETZ: Over my objection.
 4
 5
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Do you know where they're
 6
     getting their information from?
 7
              REFEREE RATNER: I saw the text from Ally.
    Please stop, Ms. Kusnetz. I saw the text that
 8
 9
    Ms. Kusnetz provided that were from -- virtually every oe
10
    was from Ally who seems to be up at 11 at night, 7 in the
11
    morning. I don't understand why she is not sleeping and
    why she is reporting what is allegedly going on. I don't
12
13
     know how she knows where the father is when he leaves the
14
           He is entitled to go out. There is an adult
15
    there supervising. He should be there supervising.
16
    These children need -- there's no question they need
17
     supervision. He shouldn't be out every night.
18
              Okay, Mr. Dimopoulos. The girlfriend should not
19
    be sleeping over.
20
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm sorry.
21
              REFEREE RATNER: I asked Mr. Dimopoulos.
22
    directing this to him. Okay. Do you have a problem with
23
    that?
24
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner, Ms. Kassenoff
```

spent 10 days testifying about what a liar her daughter

is, and now we're going to rely on text messages, which is against court order, we're going to rely on an 11 year old --

REFEREE RATNER: No, we're not. Mr. Dimopoulos, we're not -- we're not relying on it. What I'm saying to you is while this is going on, it's simply going to exacerbate the animosity between the parties. It is going to cause more friction with the girls, with Ms. Kassenoff and the girls and Mr. Kassenoff and the girls.

I think it is a -- I think it is much smarter to limit -- the girlfriend can come over to the house whenever she wants. He can take the girls there if he wants. But as far as Mr. Kassenoff sleeping over at her house, really try to limit it to one night a week.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: First of all, it's not even consistently one time a week. It's happened. He does sleep at her house when he leaves the house at 11 after the kids have gone to bed and comes back before 6 a.m. because he's got to drop Charlotte off at school at 6:15. That's exactly what he's doing now. You want to limit him to sleeping out to once a week, that's not a problem. That's what he does.

But we're relying upon the word of an 11 year old here who is literally doing nothing other than spying

on her father.

REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, I'm sorry for interrupting you. I already noticed the times, and I don't understand why this child -- you know, maybe the answer is that the children's -- that their ipads and their phones, they shouldn't have them at night. Because there also were allegations that these children were sleepy, they were tired, and that they may be on their devices well into the night. And maybe the answer is they have to turn them in when they go to sleep. I mean, I do remember when my son was little I caught him more than once -- in those days it was a Gameboy. I'd look in the room and see a light and it's 11 or 12 at night and he's playing on his Gameboy. What did I do? I took it away.

Mr. Dimopoulos, your client is the father. He has the right and he has sole custody, temporary custody. He has the right to tell the children, "If I catch you doing this, I'm going to" -- "you're going to have to turn in your devices when you go to sleep."

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I have to respond. They do. Here's what happens. What stops an 11 year old from walking down the stairs and going to get it in the kitchen? Should he put it in a safety-deposit box?

25 REFEREE RATNER: Put it in his bedroom.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: This is a child that just ran away and took a cab. How do you control that?

REFEREE RATNER: Okay. Well, you know what, then maybe he has to buy a lockbox and put them in the box and lock them up overnight. I don't know what to tell you, Mr. Dimopoulos. He's the father. And you know what, if they do that and they go and take them and he catches them -- I know you have young children. Do they ever get punished? Well, then maybe he takes away the phone the first time for the day and then a week and then a month.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: But when he's taken her phone away, we get 50 e-mails from Mrs. Kassenoff saying, "How dare you punish her."

MS. KUSNETZ: Excuse me. Excuse me.

REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, discuss it with your client. I'm moving on.

MS. KUSNETZ: I just want to say there was another issue with that and that was when the father scheduled a court-ordered Zoom call with the children from the girlfriend's home. That was completely improper. What The Court ordered on December 21st was that the location of the Zoom calls is just as important and the organization of all three girls to be present without their devices was part of her court order. The

```
1
     fact that he felt it was an appropriate thing to schedule
 2
     a court-ordered Zoom call from his girlfriend's home is
 3
     outrageous to me. It is humiliating.
              REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, you'll deal with
 4
 5
     that with Judge Koba. That's one of the things --
 6
              MR. DIMOPOULOS:
                               Referee Ratner, she can move
    for whatever restrictions on his relationship she wants.
 7
 8
    We're not consenting to any.
              MS. KUSNETZ: That's not a relationship.
 9
     relates to the children and the effect on the children.
10
11
              REFEREE RATNER: Let's move on, please.
    contempt provided the order of December 1st.
12
13
     Communications with -- that was -- exactly which one
14
    was -- oh, the contempt Judge Koba will deal with. And
15
     the hiring of a private attorney, you can make your
16
    motion on that issue. Okay. So it's going to be motion
17
     for a private attorney.
18
              THE COURT: We have dealt with all of the
19
     issues.
20
              MS. KUSNETZ: We have the issues of Jojo's
21
    portal.
22
              REFEREE RATNER: The Judge denied that.
23
    denied that already.
24
              Mr. Dimopoulos.
25
              MS. KUSNETZ: When did she deny that?
```

1 MS. KASSENOFF: We have FASNY, please. 2 MS. KUSNETZ: And the payment of the tuition. REFEREE RATNER: Judge Koba will deal with that. 3 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I need to make something very 4 5 clear because I don't want someone to say that it went 6 another way during the conference. My client does not 7 consent to any restrictions on his freedom to do as he 8 pleases. I understand that she's going to make a motion. That's fine. We will respond. 9 10 REFEREE RATNER: She's not making a motion on it 11 because at this point let's see what happens going 12 forward. 13 MR. DIMOPOULOS: What's the issue with FASNY, 14 that he didn't pay the tuition? I think I've been so 15 clear on that. 16 REFEREE RATNER: Yes, I think you have. 17 MS. KUSNETZ: I thought you said that the Judge 18 was going to deal with that. But I want to talk about 19 JoJo's portal because the father -- you issued a 20 directive that he should provide all the notices to the 21 mother that appear on JoJo's portal, and he did not do that. The first time that he did that was on January 22 23 21st for notices that had occurred, Happy New Year 24 Notices.

So my letter to you was on January 15th. It was

```
only when I sent the letter to you -- it was a week after
 1
     that he first sent notices to the mother about what
 2
 3
     appeared on JoJo's portal and --
              REFEREE RATNER: Is she now getting the notices?
 4
 5
     Mr. Dimopoulos, is he sending her the notices? He should
     forward the notices from the portal to her.
 6
 7
              MS. KASSENOFF:
                              No. I'm getting nothing.
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: My kids are on Google Classroom
 8
 9
     just like everyone else's. Every day things come in.
10
     This is your homework assignment or in today's class
11
     we're going to do this, and there are other things
     announcing there's a test next week. Does he literally
12
13
     provide every single thing on there?
14
              REFEREE RATNER: Yes. She wants every one.
15
     Correct, Ms. Kusnetz?
16
              MS. KUSNETZ: Yes.
17
              MR. KASSENOFF: I'm not doing that. She can
18
     have access to the portal. I'm not doing it.
19
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: That's impossible.
20
              MR. KASSENOFF: This is insane.
21
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let her have access.
22
              MR. KASSENOFF: There's like 500 notices.
                                                         Today
23
     is a red day, today is a yellow day.
24
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Give her access, Referee
25
     Ratner. Let her have at it.
```

1 REFEREE RATNER: Now, she can have access to the 2 But I discussed this with Judge Koba. portal. 3 cannot communicate with anyone through that portal. 4 that acceptable, Ms. Kusnetz? MS. KUSNETZ: Unless it's the teacher that 5 6 reaches out. 7 She cannot respond to REFEREE RATNER: No. No. the portal. She cannot respond to the teacher. She is 8 9 not -- she is not the custodial parent at this time. MS. KUSNETZ: Excuse me. There is no order of 10 11 this Court that restrains my client from speaking to a teacher for the child, and this is the way the teachers 12 13 communicate with the parents. The teacher has sent her 14 an e-mail. Why is it not on the portal? 15 MR. DIMOPOULOS: The teacher -- that's 16 inaccurate. 17 MS. KUSNETZ: She can't speak to JoJo's teacher? 18 Under what order? 19 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Deep breath. Deep breath. 20 can communicate with the teacher. She can e-mail them. 21 They don't communicate with parents through the portal. 22 Your children are older. Let me just explain to you how 23 this works. Okay? If you have a portal, that's for the 24 child and the teacher. Parents do not communicate

through the portal. There is no order from this Court

```
1
     prohibiting her from e-mailing any of the kids' teachers.
 2
    There's a difference, before you blow a gasket.
 3
              REFEREE RATNER: Excuse me. Mr. Dimopoulos, I'm
     going to ask you to submit a proposed order regarding the
 4
 5
    portal.
 6
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
 7
              REFEREE RATNER: No opposition and what -- and
    also please set forth what the purpose of the portal is
 8
 9
     and the purpose of the portal.
10
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: To pretend to give children an
11
    education during Covid.
12
              REFEREE RATNER: Right. I agree with that.
13
           So we dealt with that. The payment of the tuition
    okay.
14
     Judge Koba will deal with.
15
             Ms. Baratta I believe is still -- is she still
16
    here? No, she's not here. She must have signed off.
17
     Let me just call Judge Koba and she will sign on. Okay.
18
     I'm sorry. One moment and I will call her again.
                                                        She'11
19
     sign on. I'm going to mute myself.
20
              MS. MOST: Wait. Ms. Ratner.
21
              REFEREE RATNER: I just spoke to Judge Koba.
22
    Unfortunately, she's stuck on another conference.
23
     said it will take less than 10 minutes if you want to
24
    hold on.
```

MS. MOST: Ms. Ratner, I have some issues as

well. 1 REFEREE RATNER: Okay. Good. Let's deal with 2 those. 3 MS. MOST: So the first issue is that we 4 5 initially arranged for payment of therapists, money was 6 going to be coming out of a marital account. It was provided to Mr. Kassenoff to pay for therapists. There 7 are therapist bills that are unpaid now because that 8 money is gone. So Mr. Kassenoff has taken the position 9 that since reimbursements for medical -- I think you 10 11 heard about this -- have gone back to Mrs. Kassenoff, he 12 wants those to be repaid before we replenish the 13 accounts. But we have doctors that are not being paid. 14 Now there's going to be a lawyer that has to be paid. 15 That account has to be replenished. 16 REFEREE RATNER: Ms. Kusnetz, I have heard this 17 before. I have heard in the past that your client --18 MS. KUSNETZ: I can't hear you, Referee. 19 There's some resounding thing. 20 REFEREE RATNER: Everyone turn off your mics. 21 Let me turn off my phone. I don't think it's on my 22 phone. 23 I have heard in the past that the claim has been 24 made that the reimbursement checks have been sent to your

client even though Mr. Kassenoff paid and it was from

1 marital assets. Where are those funds and when are they 2 going to be redeposited into the bank account from --MS. KUSNETZ: There are no funds. 3 REFEREE RATNER: What happened to them? 4 5 MS. KUSNETZ: I'm going to tell you. You have 6 to understand, that money is marital money. 7 Mr. Kassenoff is obligated to pay 80 percent. It's an 80/20 split with regard to the payment of the 8 9 unreimbursed medical expenses and therapy costs. 10 Ms. Most chose two therapists who are not in my client's 11 health insurance plan. She pays all the premiums for the 12 health insurance plan, and there are deductibles that are 13 taken out of the health insurance plan, over \$1,500, and 14 then there are premiums which she pays for exclusively. 15 Out of that account, you have to understand, she 16 immediately gets a credit because it's a marital trading account. She owns 50 percent of it. But of that 17 18 account, she's only responsible for paying 20 percent. 19 REFEREE RATNER: I understand, Ms. Kusnetz. Let me correct you. My recollection is that the husband has 20 21 a separate property claim to a significant portion of 22 that account. Is that correct? 23 MS. KUSNETZ: That's not that account, no. 24 MR. KASSENOFF: Yes, it is. 25 MS. KUSNETZ: This is a marital security account

that my understanding is was these funds were derived from that. I have no information that these are solely separate property funds. My understanding was it came from a marital trading account. If that's not the case, then I will have to redo my numbers. But what I'm trying to tell you right now is that the number which Mr. Dimopoulos keeps throwing around is not at all accurate. It doesn't reflect the actual reimbursements, and I'd love to see really what -- you know, I know that before Mr. Dimopoulos said something, "Oh, your client wants an accounting of what was paid," or whatever, I haven't seen that. But all I'm saying is that the reimbursements don't track what Mr. Dimopoulos is talking about with regard to this discreet account.

we believe that he's talking about payments that were made out-of-pocket even before this was an account created because we cannot reconcile that number that he keeps throwing around. Plus, my client is entitled. She's saying it's marital funds. She's entitled. She owns 50 percent of those funds, yet she's only obligated to pay 20 percent of those funds to these doctors.

REFEREE RATNER: At the end of that -- at the end of this case there has to be a true-up because your client isn't paying any of the marital expenses and she's not paying child support. So there will have to be a

significant true-up of all of the accounts and all the 1 money and everything else. Mr. Kassenoff --2 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Referee Ratner --3 REFEREE RATNER: Mr. Dimopoulos, we don't have a 4 lot of time. 5 6 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'll be very brief. There is 7 no order requiring Mr. Kassenoff to pay 80 percent and Ms. Kassenoff to pay 20 percent. I wish there were. 8 a matter of fact, I made a motion a year ago to implement 9 10 that pro rata, and it was denied. 11 So consequently, my client is left with a very favorable split og he pays one-hundred percent and 12 13 Ms. Kassenoff pays zero. I'd love to change that. 14 love to change it retroactively. That is complete 15 inaccurate information that you just received. There is 16 no order requiring her to pay 20 percent of anything. 17 It's a complete red herring because here's the issue: There is an account e-trade. Pursuant to court order, 18 19 all of the money comes out of that for therapy. 20 Mr. Kassenoff pays it and provides an accounting. 21 That's not what's happening here. What's happening is he writes a check to a therapist for 1,000, 22 23 2,000, 3,000 out of the e-trade pursuant to order. Ms. Kassenoff then goes to her insurance company as she 24 25 is the insured and gets a reimbursement for that payment

and pockets the money.

So if this Court is to order him to get more money out of the e-trade account, he's going to reduce the e-trade account and then she's going to pocket more money.

REFEREE RATNER: But, Mr. Dimopoulos, some doctors have -- oh, Judge Koba I think is on.

THE COURT: I'm here. I have literally 10 minutes before I go into another conference at 3:30 and I have one at 4:15 and this conference was supposed to be an hour.

Where are we, Ms. Ratner?

REFEREE RATNER: Well, just the only other issue that was just raised in addition to everything else is that the therapists haven't been paid, that the money was to be paid, they're being paid from the e-trade account, and the allegation is that the wife has been receiving the reimbursement checks for the co-pays and she's been keeping them and those should go back into the e-trade account because the therapists were to be paid from the e-trade account.

MS. KUSNETZ: So what I said to Your Honor -
THE COURT: I'm not addressing that issue right

now. To me I thought I said that's a trial issue. I

thought I said --

REFEREE RATNER: The issue is they aren't being paid.

MS. MOST: They have to refund the account.

THE COURT: I'm not going to deal with that issue right now. The issue that I want to deal with are the Zoom calls. Did you deal with that issue yet?

REFEREE RATNER: Which one?

THE COURT: The Zoom calls.

REFEREE RATNER: No, I didn't. I left that for you.

THE COURT: Okay. So The Court is in possession of the correspondence regarding the Zoom call that was held on the date where Mr. Kassenoff was driving home with the children from the mandated -- at that time mandated updated evaluation from Dr. Abrams. There's a request to find him in contempt. That request is denied.

The Court finds that that was a unique circumstance. I had ordered that the evaluation go ahead. It was not stayed at that time. The fact that he tried to facilitate a call during the period of time -- there's no contempt there. Okay. It was not reasonable to tell him to pull over and stop in the middle of a highway to have this phone call. There has to be some reasonableness when these parties are dealing with each other. Okay. That was unique.

With respect to the electronics between the children, is that still an ongoing issue?

REFEREE RATNER: Yes. I addressed it, but please.

MS. KUSNETZ: Yes, it is. And, in fact, you know, the Referee said they shouldn't have their electronics at certain points during the day or even at night. But, Your Honor, that motion for contempt was not just dealt on that. It was based on Mr. Kassenoff's own statement where he says, "I'm not home when they" -- you know, "I'm not home a lot of times when the kids have their Zoom call with the mother," or, "I disappear." If you want me to tell the nanny to take the electronics away from the children, then we're back in the situation where you're accusing the nanny of assault, which she did assault Ally when she took her phone.

THE COURT: I'm not going there. I read that.

REFEREE RATNER: Judge, deal with it, please.

THE COURT: Referee Ratner and I discussed it, and my position on the electronics is very simple. The father cannot take their electronics away if he's at work. Let's be reasonable and rational.

I recommended -- and it sounds like Referee

Ratner had communicated this -- the father tells the

children, "You need to put your electronics in the other

room when you have your Zoom calls with your mother. If I come home from work and I am informed that you did not leave your ipads, your cell phones, or whatever other device in the other room when you are having your Zoom call with your mother as you've been told, then they will be removed from the children and they will lose them for the entire day and the father will take them away."

That's what's going on here. The nanny is not going to get involved with it because there was an issue with that. So Mr. Kassenoff is to tell the children that's what's going to happen, period. And they're not going to have their electronics for the entire day until they understand that their calls with their mother are their priority during that period of time that the mother is having their Zoom call, period.

MS. KUSNETZ: The other issue, Judge, is that he doesn't organize it -- he's probably not there -- so that they're all present for the Zoom call. So Ally will just show up or JoJo.

THE COURT: I don't think it's the father's obligation -- these are not two, three, and four year olds. Okay. These children are old enough to get to a Zoom call. If the father is at work, he can't possibly expect them to be standing over these children. The children know what time -- aren't these Zoom calls the

1 same time every time? 2 MS. KASSENOFF: Not quite. Roughly. 3 THE COURT: What time are they? MR. KASSENOFF: 6:30 every day, Your Honor. 4 5 MS. KASSENOFF: Judge, they go anywhere from 6:30 to 6:45. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. So relatively the same time every day. The children are instructed to be there at 8 9 6:30 every time during the -- at 6:30 when the call is scheduled with the mother. Ally is 11. Charlotte, I saw 10 her birthday. She's going to be 10 years old, right? 11 12 MS. KASSENOFF: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: Next week. The only little one that 14 may need some coaxing is JoJo because she's only seven, 15 but the other two understand that they need to be there 16 at 6:30. And Mr. Kassenoff can emphasize with them when 17 he's making the conversation about the electronics that 18 they need to be there at 6:30. Whatever time it's 19 scheduled, 6:30 to 6:45, they need to be available and 20 present for their conference with their mother, and 21 Mrs. Kassenoff can emphasize that as well in the presence 22 of the supervisor, that that's when they need to be 23 there. Okay? 24 REFEREE RATNER: Without their devices and not 25 be on their phone.

THE COURT: Absolutely. No devices. 1 2 Yes, Ms. Dimopoulos. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Judge, Koba we were notified 3 earlier this week by Mr. Milagos. 4 5 THE COURT: Who is that? MR. DIMOPOULOS: I don't know if he's --6 THE COURT: Ms. White's supervisor? 7 No. She's the new person. 8 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No. 9 We were notified on I think it was Monday that the Zoom 10 calls were going to be suspended indefinitely by the 11 mother. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. DIMOPOULOS: And then --14 THE COURT: Then that solves the issue. 15 MR. DIMOPOULOS: It does resolve the issue. But 16 three days later we got an e-mail saying that they had 17 just booked two more sessions. I'm bringing this to The 18 Court's attention because I know somehow we'll be accused 19 of it. My client is ready, willing, and able to have 20 Zoom calls every day pursuant to The Court's order. 21 the mother chooses not to have them, that's her 22 prerogative, but it is posing an issue for the kids in 23 terms of what does he tell them. They've asked him, "Is there a Zoom call tonite?" "No." When he got the e-mail 24 25 that they were stopped indefinitely, he didn't know what

1 to say to them. 2 So if The Court could encourage Ms. Kassenoff to have some consistency to the messaging that's proper for 3 the children, that's all I ask. 4 5 MS. KUSNETZ: May I respond? THE COURT: Who's responding? Ms. Kusnetz, go 6 ahead. 7 MS. KUSNETZ: So my client ran out of money, 8 Judge, because --9 10 THE COURT: You have four minutes. 11 MS. KUSNETZ: She ran out of money for that day. You have to pay by 12 o'clock that day in cash. 12 She 13 can't pay by a credit card. If she could pay by a credit 14 card, she could have had the zoom calls. 15 She ran out of cash because --16 THE COURT: Wait a minute. They don't allow you 17 to pay by a credit card? 18 MS. KUSNETZ: No. And that is a huge problem 19 because it has to be cash. She ran out of cash those two 20 days. And Mr. Milagos was clear. He said she doesn't have the funds today. We'll let you know when they 21 22 I'm sorry she didn't let me know beforehand or I 23 missed her communication. She was panicked and she was 24 upset by this. You can imagine. But she has to pay for

the supervision for CFS in advance. That's \$2,300 a

week. Do you understand? It's outrageous.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You said it to me multiple times, and I said get someone locally.

All right. So, Ms. Kassenoff, I understand you had difficulty that day. So, yes, notify Ms. Kusnetz so that Mr. Kassenoff can be notified and the children can be notified. But that is not the norm.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: I just want to know, Your

MS. KASSENOFF: Can I respond to this? I'm just going to reiterate what Ms. Kusnetz said. I don't know what to do. I'm in a really dire financial situation. It's really bad and I don't know how long I can sustain it. I have maxed out credit cards. I owe thousands and thousands of dollars to attorneys. I don't know what to do. My income does not cover my weekly expenses. Yes, we did try to find an alternate supervising service. can't find one. That's probably because of Covid. There are long waitlists for people. What am I supposed to do, Judge? I am literally picking between eating and paying for food and my kids, and I don't think that's the right choice that a mother should have to make. And I'm doing everything I can. I've forgone whatever I can. I don't even heat my house anymore. You know what I do, I buy cords of wood and I put them in the fireplace. I'm doing

```
everything I can, Judge, and, you know, I can't sustain
 1
 2
     it much longer.
              THE COURT: Okay. So the Zoom calls will be --
 3
     Mr. Kassenoff will tell the children to make sure there
 4
     are no electronic devices, and they need to be present
 5
 6
     when the calls are scheduled, 6:30 to 6:45, and be
     present and accountable, especially when their mother is
 7
     spending the money to have the time available with them.
 8
     I need to jump off because I have another call.
 9
10
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, as do I.
11
              MS. KUSNETZ: We --
12
              THE COURT: This has gone on -- I have to go.
13
     Mr. Dimopoulos needs to leave too because he's on my
14
     other conference, and I have two other conferences I need
15
     to get done today.
16
              MS. KUSNETZ: Catherine, the payment for the
17
     school is due on Monday, Referee. The payment for -- the
18
     payment for the school is due on Monday.
19
              MR. DIMOPOULOS: I have another call.
                                                     I have to
20
         You heard the, Judge. I have to go.
     qo.
21
              MS. MOST: Can we get another conference set up,
22
     Ms. Ratner, because I have more issues?
23
              (Proceedings ended.)
24
```

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE
2	AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC
3	RECORD
4	JENNIFER GRUSEKE, CSR
5	Senior Court Reporter
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	