1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER
2	X
3	KASSENOFF, ALLAN,
4	- vs - Index No. 58217/2019
5	KASSENOFF, CATHERINE,
6	x
7	September 16, 2019
8	111 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd White Plains, New York 10601
10	BEFORE: HONORABLE DAVID F. EVERETT, Justice
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	GUS DIMOPOULOS, ESQ. Attorney for the Plaintiff
14	
15	PETER M. NISSMAN, ESQ. HEATHER WEINER HART, ESQ.
16	Attorneys for the Defendant
17	CAROL W. MOCH. ECO.
18	CAROL W. MOST, ESQ. Attorney for the minor children
19	
20	
21	
22	ERIC M. SANDERS, SENIOR COURT REPORTER
23	Westchester County Courthouse (914) 824-5763
24	noserfreporter@gmail.com and
25	hereisyourtranscript@aol.com By hand : Room 1432

1	THE COURT: So this is the matter of
2	Allan Kassenoff versus Catherine Kassenoff, under
3	Index Number 58217 of 2019.
4	Can I have appearances, please.
5	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	Gus Dimopoulos, Dimopoulos Bruggemann, on behalf
7	of the plaintiff, Allan Kassenoff, who is here to my right.
8	MS. MOST: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	Carol Most, attorney for the children.
10	MR. NISSMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
11	Peter Nissman, attorney for Catherine Kassenoff;
12	My associate Heather Hart is to my left, and to
13	her left is Ms
14	MS. HART: Good morning.
15	THE COURT: Good morning.
16	Be please be seated, everyone.
17	Actually, while you're standing, Mr. Dimopoulous,
18	give me an update on where we are with everything.
19	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes, Your Honor.
20	On September 5, 2019, I transmitted to Court
21	Attorney-Referee Irene Ratner a very detailed pre-motion
22	letter, admittedly, violating the matrimonial-part
23	operational two-page limit, because I can't as
24	unfortunately, as hard as we all worked in the room, with
25	Your Honor's assistance, back in June, to craft an order

1	that was going to be workable under the circumstances, and,
2	essentially, assist in protecting the children, we were
3	unsuccessful, unfortunately.
4	The order, which, obviously, we all have in court
5	here today, provides that the mother has, I'm not calling it
6	anything other than supervised. That's what it is.
7	Mr. Lieberman fought so hard to not call it
8	"supervised."
9	But something about, a third party must be present
10	at all times when the mother's access time doesn't roll off
11	the tongue in the same way.
12	So there is an order that, during all times, the
13	mother shall have supervision by a third party.
14	The third party has to be agreed upon by
15	Mr. Kassenoff, and then has to be spoken to by Ms. Most, so
16	that we can ensure that the supervisor is knows about the
17	order that's in place.
18	Okay?
19	What ended up happening shortly thereafter,
20	Your Honor, was another thing in the order that was very,
21	very important to my client, in fact, it was, I think, a
22	condition precedent to his consent to the order and not
23	continuing the hearing, was that the existing nanny must be
24	replaced.

The order says they will hire a new nanny to

1	replace the live-in nanny.
2	What he knew that day was Mr. Kassenoff knew
3	that day, and what I didn't fully understand or advocate
4	for, was that Aurelie, the existing nanny, is very malleable
5	to Mrs. Kassenoff. And she is willing to do things that she
6	shouldn't.
7	For instance, on one occasion when CPS
8	investigated, went to the house on Ms. Kassenoff's time,
9	even though this Court, subsequently, in an appearance and
10	on the transcript, said that, "She is not to be
11	unsupervised," and you put up your finger, "even for
12	one minute," CPS entered the house, found Ms. Kassenoff with
13	the children, and Aurelie was up in her room, sleeping.
14	I believe, without any corroboration at this
15	point, that her access time when Aurelie is around is
16	oftentimes not supervised.
17	In addition to that, there are practical
18	considerations, one of which, she's not a traditional
19	live-in nanny. She wants to come and go as she pleases, and
20	kind of spend overnights when needed.
21	My client works, works very hard.
22	What he needs is somebody who is at the house at

That means, when they wake up, and he has to get a call to go to work early, the nanny's already there, it's

set hours on set days that he can rely upon.

23

24

1	8:00. She doesn't come at nine, and he's got to call the
2	day before.
3	And the third thing is, that Aurelie is clearly on
4	"Team Catherine." Okay? Clearly.
5	She notified Ms. Kassenoff, I don't know when
6	THE COURT: The parties, who hired her
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Well, they did, they hired her.
8	Right?
9	But, remember, this has got to be a
10	French-speaking nanny, and all this stuff. Okay?
11	She notified my client one day in advance of his
12	access time that she was not going to be able to work the
13	next day.
14	That was problem for him, scrambling.
15	Then, she notified him, and my I don't have my
16	notes in front of me, but I think it was, basically, one
17	week before, that she would be out of the country from
18	August 1st to August 15th. Okay?
19	He had to find coverage for 50 percent of the time
20	for a two-week period, on one week's notice. That was a
21	problem.
22	But a bigger problem, was that Ms. Kassenoff knew
23	about that in advance. She won't tell us how far in
24	advance.
25	Worse off, the nanny won't him tell him when she

1	told her.
2	So, there is a deliberate effort here to make my
3	client's parenting as difficult as possible.
4	THE COURT: So let me ask you this:
5	I know what the problems are.
6	What's your proposed solution?
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: My proposed solution was
8	accomplished on June 10th. Your Honor signed an order that
9	said: The parties shall immediately hire a nanny to
10	replace Aurelie.
11	She consented to it after
12	THE COURT: You have to don't use pronouns.
13	Stick to names.
14	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
15	Ms. Kassenoff consented to that order. Your Honor
16	signed it.
17	Not a week later, well, we agreed to that because
18	of some other reason.
19	I'm sure we'll hear about it today.
20	The point is, it is a deliberate effort to make
21	his parenting more difficult, as are the triple-booked
22	activities.
23	Now
24	THE COURT: Let's deal with one thing at a time.

Let's talk about the nanny.

1	MR. DIMOPOULOS: okay.
2	THE COURT: So what's your proposed solution?
3	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Very simple: The order of this
4	Court, dated June 10th, be enforced.
5	Ms. Kassenoff must be told that they need she
6	needs to hire and cooperate with a live-in nanny.
7	My client went to agencies, made some phone calls,
8	got a few candidates, spoke to her.
9	She said: No. I'm not even going to talk to
10	anybody. I'm not even going to interview anybody.
11	The order needs to be enforced, Your Honor.
12	We need to teach the Kassenoffs, I think my client
13	gets this, but we need to teach them now, orders in this
14	court mean something.
15	THE COURT: I want to address first the nanny
16	situation.
17	Let me hear from Mr. Nissman, and then Ms. Most
18	afterwards.
19	Go ahead.
20	MR. NISSMAN: Thank you.
21	Good morning, Your Honor. Nice to be here.
22	THE COURT: So I don't know how nice it if it
23	ever is to be in matrimonial. But thank you.
24	MR. NISSMAN: Maybe I should say, I'll let you
25	know in 15 minutes.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. NISSMAN: But, you know, it's terrible, in my
3	view, what the other side wants to do regarding Aurelie.
4	Aurelie was hired by both of the parties. She's
5	been the child's (sic) nanny for over a year. The children
6	adore her. She knows the children.
7	Your Honor probably knows, and if you don't know,
8	I'm telling you, that the children are in distress. They
9	are not doing well.
10	The worst thing we could ever do right now for th
11	children would, now, to take them away from somebody who
12	they're comfortable with during this incredibly stressful
13	time and replace Aurelie with somebody else.
14	When the stipulation was done with
15	Mitch Lieberman, before my time, the reason there was going
16	to be a replacement of the nanny was to hire a full-time
17	live-in nanny.
18	At that point of time, Aurelie was not able to be
19	a live-in nanny.
20	She now is; set schedule, being there full-time
21	with the children, all the time.
22	I let Ms. Most know about that.
23	She is prepared, ready, and willing, and able, to
24	go to work.

I had conversations with Miss Most, who I believe

-	supports Aurelie, and said to me, point blank, and she can
<u>)</u>	speak for herself, that Aurelie's neutral. She doesn't have
3	an axe to grind against anybody. There's no Machiavellian
1	plot here to interfere with the father's parenting time, to
)	make things difficult.

If anything, I would suggest that, if there's any sort of strategy, it's coming from the other side, because Aurelie is neutral. And, perhaps plaintiff wants somebody who isn't neutral.

But it -- we should be thinking about what's best for these children; and what's best for the children, and that should be the only thing that really matters right now, is they're not undergoing any further stress.

It's enough that they know their parents are divorcing, that their parents are separated, that they have this nesting arrangement.

I don't think it's a good thing, right now, to say, on top of all of this, guess what? We're going to remove another part of your security blanket. We're going to get rid of Aurelie, and we're going to start from scratch.

- It's just wrong, and it shouldn't happen.
- THE COURT: All right.
- 24 Ms. Most?

MS. MOST: So as to the nanny, initially --

THE COURT: I'm going address the scheduling now, 1 2 so let's just talk about the nanny. 3 MS. MOST: Okay. As to the nanny --4 THE COURT: Aurelie, spell her name. 5 6 MS. MOST: A-U-R-E-L-I-E, I think. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: A-U-R-E-L-I-E. 8 9 Okay. 10 MS. MOST: Right. 11 -- you know, I think that the children do love 12 her, and are happy with her. 13 And when I spoke to her, she had told me that she would be available for overnight. I never had a 14 conversation with her that she would be a full-time live-in 15 16 nanny. I did hear that from Mr. Nissman, but I didn't 17 18 have that conversation. 19 She is neutral as to both parents. That is 20 absolutely correct, in my mind, after the conversation that 21 I had with her. Whether she's an effective supervisor is another 22 23 issue, because, see, the lawyer from CPS actually acknowledged that, when CPS arrived, the children were with 24

the mother, and she was asleep upstairs.

1	And that is not in keeping with what Your Honor
2	has directed.
3	I should also tell you that I have not had
4	discussions with people who were on the initial list of
5	supervisors. I have only discussed with supervisors that
6	would be added on.
7	So I did not approve the people who were on the
8	initial list. That wasn't part of what I was asked to do.
9	I did speak to Aurelie about it, and she
10	I thought she understood what her role was.
11	But if I'm told now that she was sleeping when CPS
12	arrives, that is not positive thing.
13	So, that's my only issue.
14	MR. NISSMAN: I just want to just correct the
15	record.
16	She wasn't asleep.
17	When CPS came, the children were not with the
18	mother downstairs. The children were upstairs, watching TV.
19	Aurelie was in her room, getting ready to go to
20	sleep.
21	The meeting with CPS was very late.
22	My client was downstairs, met with CPS downstairs.
23	The kids were not there. They were upstairs.
24	So, you know, I think that's a red herring.

But she understands what she needs to do, she does

1	perform the supervision. And she should be the person who
2	remains on it.
3	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I was in the room
4	with Ms. Reid (ph.), the caseworker, and I got the story
5	from her, and this is what was told to me:
6	Ms. Reid said to Catherine, "Where is the
7	supervisor?"
8	"Well, the nanny's the supervisor."
9	"Where is she?"
10	"She's upstairs, sleeping."
11	"Well, go wake her up and tell her to come down."
12	Five minutes later, the nanny comes down.
13	And that's how it happened.
14	So I don't know how, you know, Mr. Nissman puts
15	himself in the room, or gets the information.
16	I have firsthand information.
17	In this court, Your Honor, okay, there needs to be
18	a "one strike and you're out" policy.
19	We we we can't these children have
20	had this nanny one year. This isn't someone who's been with
21	them for, you know, eight years, since they were born.
22	They've had seven nannies
23	Seven or eight?
24	MR. KASSENOFF: I don't
25	MR. DIMOPOULOS: He doesn't keep track.

1	they've had seven or eight nannies. The oldest
2	child is 10. Okay?
3	This isn't some situation where the Kassenoffs
4	promote this longevity amongst child-care workers. Okay?
5	I'm going to talk to you also about the fact that
6	two of the previous nannies in this case, one of which
7	submitted an affidavit on my client's behalf with the
8	initial application, have been approached by Ms. Kassenoff,
9	and I'm going to call it "threatened."
10	Okay? It's in my motion papers.
11	She is sending these nannies information about the
12	CPS investigation.
13	She has now sent them, even three days after
14	I wrote to this Court, saying, "That's witness tampering,"
15	she's now sending videos and audio of my client to these
16	nannies in an effort to get them to go away.
17	They she has said to them
18	THE COURT: When you say "Go away," meaning, go
19	(Cross-talking)
20	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Be careful about who you support.
21	I'll read it to you.
22	The text message are included with my letter.
23	She says to one previous child-care worker, who
24	submitted an affidavit in this court:
25	"Once you submit an affidavit in this court you

1	are officially a witness."
2	Okay?
3	"I read your affidavit. I am shocked you would
4	say those things about me.
5	"I hope you are aware of the police and child
6	protection services' investigations against Allan for
7	physically abusing Alli (ph.).
8	"Did he tell you about those? I'm guessing he
9	didn't. They are serious and very recent.
10	"You should be very careful about getting involved
11	with him."
12	Okay?
13	If that if she wasn't the mother of three
14	children, and a person who is an attorney in the state of
15	New York, I'd call the police and report this.
16	This is witness tampering, a violation of penal
17	law.
18	I report this to the Court on September 5th. It's
19	included in my pre-motion letter.
20	On September 8th, three days after, she contacts
21	them again, this time, sending audio and video.
22	Okay?
23	I have it on my phone, this is what she says.
24	She sends audio and video, we don't know how much.
25	It says, "Want to see some videos of Allan? Great

1	guy."
2	Okay?
3	There are two nannies that she's contacted. Okay.
4	I don't know who else, because
5	THE COURT: The previous nannies?
6	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Previous nannies.
7	Myline Greens (ph.), you can bet your bottom
8	dollar, will be not only a collateral to Mr. Abrams, but she
9	will also be sitting in that box, testifying at the custody
10	trial.
11	Why?
12	She was the nanny for a year and a half. She
13	systematically witnessed countless abuse by Ms. Kassenoff
14	against Alli. She went so far as to make a report to CPS
15	herself.
16	Okay?
17	She knows how damaging that witness is to her.
18	Why does she know?
19	Why else is she why else is she contacting her?
20	This needs to stop; there needs to be an order of
21	this Court now.
22	This is the kind of person you're dealing with.
23	We cannot view facts in a vacuum, Your Honor.
24	What they're telling you about Aurelie is fine and
25	good. Okay?

1	But this is the person who's tampering with
2	witnesses.
3	What do you think she's doing to Aurelie?
4	What do you think she's telling her what do
5	think she's telling Aurelie?
6	"You better not saying anything."
7	I have no information
8	THE COURT: So what makes you think that any of
9	that would be any different than (Cross-talking)
10	MR. DIMOPOULOS: What what how do I know?
11	Because it's new and it's fresh, and it's someone
12	who's going start off knowing about this Court's order.
13	Okay? That's how it's going to be different. Okay?
14	Second of all, second of all, this isn't I'm
15	not reporting one, two, three things to the Court,
16	Your Honor.
17	There are four pages of events that have happened
18	since June 10th.
19	Number one: I we know that a supervisor was
20	acting on her behalf, okay, prior to our approval and prior
21	to Ms. Most's discussion with him.
22	THE COURT: On Mrs. Kassenoff's behalf?
23	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Absolutely, absolutely.
24	THE COURT: Try not to use your pronouns.
25	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. You told

1	me that once already. Okay?
2	We now know that Ms. Kassenoff is showing up at
3	the residence on his days, okay, showing up, unannounced.
4	He's at work. Okay?
5	She goes in. She sees the children, she does
6	whatever she has to do in the house.
7	The order is clear.
8	Here's what happens, Your Honor:
9	She's a very smart woman, NYU Law School. Okay?
10	She picks apart nuances in the order.
11	The one I really enjoyed hearing about today?
12	It was Allan's weekend, so he took the kids to a
13	soccer practice on Saturday.
14	Out of the car she comes, with a chair, okay, a
15	coat, refreshments, to watch the soccer practice.
16	She did it, the same thing, on Sunday.
17	Now, she points to the part of the order that
18	says, "Each party shall be allowed to attend public events."
19	But she doesn't have a supervisor.
20	We have photographs of her spending time with the
21	kids, and hanging out with the kids, unsupervised.
22	Counsel says: But there's an exception to the
23	order when it's in public.
24	No, there's not.
25	It says, during all times of her access that

1	she'll supervised.
2	So her position is going to be, when it's not
3	technically her access, and she's just showing up at stuff,
4	that she doesn't have to be supervised.
5	Another example:
6	As you know, the children are involved in, you
7	know, they're going to become the world's foremost concert
8	violinists and celloists (ph.) (sic), and all this stuff,
9	and they go to all these activities in schools.
10	There's a school in Scarsdale called
11	Hoff-Barthelson.
12	And Catherine says to Allan:
13	"Allan, it's your day that she has that lesson
14	for. Are you going to sit in on the private lesson with
15	her?
16	"You can't, because the parent who sits in, it's
17	mandatory for a parent to be there during private lesson,"
18	according to her.
19	"You can't do it because you don't read and write
20	music. And according to the school, the parent that comes
21	there must read and write music."
22	First of all, my client is unaware of any such
23	rule or regulation.
24	Could you imagine? How many people read and write

25

music in this world?

1	So she e-mails him the day before. She says, If
2	you can't go there, can't make it, and you can't have
3	someone that reads and write music, well, I'm happy to go
4	there."
5	I'm in court, actually, on one of our cases the
6	other day. I can't respond to the e-mail, asking permission
7	for her to go.
8	My client goes to the school. Because it's his
9	day, it's his activity, he goes to the school.
10	He sees Ms. Kassenoff's car outside the outside
11	of the school. She just shows up on her own.
12	Okay?
13	He walks in, and Aurelie is in the lesson with
14	child. The two other kids are around. I think he said one
15	was in the library, that he couldn't find him.
16	Her car's outside. Nobody has any idea where she
17	is or why she's there.
18	He texts me, "Catherine's here."
19	So, by the way, I got an e-mail.
20	Then we get a response in writing. It was:
21	I went to the public information session in the beginning.
22	If you cared about your child with his violin, you would
23	have known that there was a public session.
24	So she deems that as it's okay to be unsupervised.
25	Okay?

1	We need, Your Honor, therapeutic supervision,
2	okay, because on top of that, here's what she's telling the
3	children. Okay?
4	Halloween this year falls on a day that is his
5	day. Okay?
6	The children, understandably, one in particular -
7	Was it JoJo (ph.) or Charlie?
8	MR. KASSENOFF: JoJo.
9	MR. DIMOPOULOS: JoJo, the 5-year-old, is
10	upset. She's not going to see her mother on Halloween, so
11	she calls her on the phone. "Mom, what do you mean I'm not
12	going to see you on Halloween?"
13	Well, Alli was upset by this entire thing, and was
14	on the call on speakerphone.
15	What she does say about him?
16	"Well, he's an Orthodox Jew. They don't celebrate
17	Halloween, so you'll be with me."
18	This is only one incident when he's within
19	earshot. Okay?
20	We have no idea what's going on.
21	I'm also going to talk to you about the current
22	CPS investigation.
23	MR. NISSMAN: May I respond before we get to
24	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I really Your Honor, I would
25	really appreciate

1	THE COURT: It's all right, it's okay.
2	Go ahead.
3	MR. DIMOPOULOS: so, there's another CPS
4	investigation.
5	THE COURT: Well, you know, I think we should
6	I'd like to resolve the nanny issue first.
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
8	THE COURT: So let's do that first.
9	MR. DIMOPOULOS: But, Your Honor
10	MR. NISSMAN: I just want to respond to some of -
11	some of what, and I'll try to be very quick.
12	THE COURT: But you I'll give you a chance, if
13	it's other than a nanny issue, to do that later.
14	But if it's something right now I just want to
15	resolve (Cross-talking)
16	MR. NISSMAN: Okay. So just with regard to the
17	nanny, it hasn't been just a year. It's been sixteen
18	months.
19	And you heard what Ms. Most said, that kids love
20	her. She's absolutely neutral.
21	We now know that she's been with the family for
22	16 months, and hired by both.
23	I've explained to you what happened on that one

time when CPS came. The kids were not with -- they were

upstairs. And she knows she wasn't sleeping, because she

24

1	came down in her clothing. Didn't come down in a robe or
2	anything like that. She was wake, she was upstairs. But
3	the kids were upstairs also.
4	She should continue.
5	What you're hearing now is something that is only
6	a strategy. And that's why they're trying to talk about the
7	most silliest thing I've ever heard of, of which is some
8	claim of witness tampering.
9	It was the father who approached the nannies, who
10	induced them to write an affidavit. Not every nanny.
11	There's been a huge number of nannies, and it's
12	because it's been a tough family, and there have been tough
13	kids. And these nannies don't like to be in that situation.
14	Another reason why, we've got somebody here for
15	16 months, and can actually continue, and we know will
16	continue, and she'll be a full live-in.
17	But when she read the affidavits from the nannies,
18	she was stunned. And she did not believe that the nannies
19	had all of the information. So she sent them information.
20	There's nothing wrong with that. It is no
21	different than what the father did when he induced the
22	nannies to sign affidavits.
23	That ain't witness tampering.
24	And that's a narrative that Gus is making because

everything that I hear from the other side is about this

1 Machiavellian, horrible woman, when she's a lovey, lovely 2 person. 3 We have supervised visitation now, and it really shouldn't be. We'll get to that later. 4 And when she went over to the house, what did she 5 6 get at the house? She needed her cancer medication. God 7 forbid she couldn't get her cancer medication, and her 8 phone. 9 But this is twisted into, somehow --10 What's that? 11 MS. KASSENOFF: (Comment to counsel.) 12 MR. NISSMAN: -- and she gave notice to the other 13 side. And she gave notice to the other side. So I won't respond to the rest of what 14 15 Mr. Dimopoulos said. 16 THE COURT: What's your recommendation, Ms. Most? MS. MOST: If the nanny -- if Aurelie is to be a 17 18 supervisor, she's not an effective supervisor. 19 MR. NISSMAN: She is. 20 MS. MOST: Not an effective supervisor. 21 So if that's the reason why we're keeping her, she 22 is not an effective supervisor. 23 I think she's an effective nanny. 24 THE COURT: So what's your recommendation?

MS. MOST: Well, if she's going to be used as a

1	supervisor, then I don't think she's going to work.
2	MR. NISSMAN: I don't understand what that even
3	means
4	THE COURT: Well, why don't you explain.
5	MR. NISSMAN: with all due respect.
6	MS. MOST: So with all due respect, what you have
7	said about her being asleep is not what CPS said.
8	And the lawyer for CPS, when Gus relayed the story
9	to Ms. Ratner, she said to me, This is Ms. Clemens (ph.),
10	who I know you know, she said to me, "She was fast asleep."
11	She said, "It was unbelievable."
12	So, she's not supervising.
13	Even if the kids are upstairs watching television,
14	and she's asleep, she's not supervising.
15	MR. DIMOPOULOS: You know what's worst,
16	Your Honor, that she's lying to her lawyers, and making her
17	lawyers stand up in court and lie again.
18	MR. NISSMAN: Nobody is lying about anything.
19	And we can solve the problem in two seconds.
20	If Ms. Most doesn't believe she's acting
21	effectively as a, quote/unquote, supervisor, we can have
22	specific directions from the Court that tell her,
23	specifically, what her role is to be.
24	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, Ms. Most spoke to
25	her.

1	THE COURT: (Cross-talking)
2	MR. NISSMAN: No, I'm not talking about Ms. Most
3	having a conversation.
4	I'm talking about a written order from the Court,
5	that's provided to the nanny, that she will have to follow,
6	and she can understand that fully. She's not an uneducated
7	woman. She's smart. She can figure this out if she has the
8	proper direction from the Court.
9	We have to start doing things here in this case
10	which hasn't been done so far, and that's to look out for
11	what's good for the children.
12	That has been lost in this notion of mother
13	needing to have explicitly supervised visitation every
14	second, to the point where, even though we an order that
15	says she can attend public events, which, usually, by the
16	way, in my experience, you know, gets rid of any requirement
17	of additional supervision, because there's other people
18	there, and it's in public.
19	It we're distorting this entire case.
20	These kids are going to be hurt by this system
21	until we actually do things that are right for the children.
22	I hear Mr. Dimopoulos talking about the activities
23	for the children, and mocking it: All these kids are master

You know what? Let's think about the kids. They

violinists.

24

1	love violin. They've been in activities. They've been
2	doing these things.
3	Why are we making fun of this?
4	THE COURT: Well, here's well, two things.
5	Let me ask Ms. Most: Do you think that Aurelie is
6	capable of doing the job if given additional instructions,
7	or do you think this is just a lost cause?
8	MS. MOST: I don't think she's capable of
9	supervising.
10	MR. NISSMAN: That's not what you said to me
11	MS. MOST: I think she's capable to be a good
12	nanny.
13	I do not think
14	MR. NISSMAN: You're parsing words.
15	MS. MOST: she's capable of supervising.
16	MR. NISSMAN: I think it's terrible.
17	MR. DIMOPOULOS: We still have the motion
18	THE COURT: So here's the bottom line:
19	We do need a live-in nanny. And I'm going to give
20	great consideration to what Ms. Most has said, and I think
21	we're going to have and we are going to have to get
22	another nanny.
23	It's just a question of how we're going to do this
24	in a way that causes the least disruption, and gets us

25 through this transition.

1	MS. MOST: I have very serious concerns.
2	I sat outside, talking to CPS. And, you know,
3	they're asking that you they're they suggested that
4	you order a COI, but it has to be ordered to be completed
5	before October 16th.
6	So, you know
7	THE COURT: Can you draft that?
8	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm going submit an order. I'll
9	submit it to both attorneys by the end of the business day
10	tomorrow.
11	If we're going to get involved excuse me for
12	not saying it.
13	If we're going to get involved parsing words on
14	the order, I'm going submit it with an emergency
15	application, because Ms. Clemens has told us that it must be
16	received, signed, prior to October 16th.
17	I don't want to get into a whole process.
18	MS. MOST: And the reason for that, Judge, is that
19	there is a lot of information here that is extremely
20	troubling.
21	And, in fact, they are
22	THE COURT: You want to state that for the record,
23	what that is?
24	MS. MOST: Well, it's
25	THE COURT: To the extent that you can?

1	MS. MOST: Well, it's troubling because they feel
2	that
3	THE COURT: "They."
4	Everyone's using pronouns.
5	MS. MOST: CP I'm sorry.
6	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, we've been taught in
7	2019 to not use pronouns to use pronouns.
8	MS. MOST: Right, there's a new there are new
9	pronouns too.
10	But any rate, see, I spoke to Ms. Clemens, the
11	CPS worker was there. She's extremely concerned. And
12	Ms. Clemens said that they are they if
13	anything else happens here, they will be going to family
14	court with a neglect, and it could be against both parents
15	because, you know, maybe they feel the father isn't doing
16	enough.
17	But the problem is, when they met with the mother,
18	they limited they limited on the prior founded report,
19	she limited them to meeting with the children
20	THE COURT: Again
21	MS. MOST: okay.
22	The mother limited CPS to meeting with the
23	children, in her presence. And she was CPS was allowed
24	to ask only three questions.

And that kind of behavior is very troubling to

1	them to CPS. Very troubling.
2	So that troubles me, Judge. That troubles me.
3	THE COURT: So you want we're going to have a
4	COI.
5	MS. MOST: We need a COI. It must be signed prior
6	to October 16th, and the reason for that is, that if this
7	current report against the father comes back unfounded,
8	they will not able to give you any information that they
9	have on this investigation.
10	And the only way any information can come back is
11	if it's required to do a report prior to October 16th, which
12	is the date they must finish their report by. And if it's
13	unfounded, they have no access to that information.
14	THE COURT: "They," meaning?
15	MS. MOST: Meaning CPS cannot
16	MR. NISSMAN: Your Honor
17	MS. MOST: So so I am very concerned.
18	I'm very anxious for the forensic report because
19	I'm hoping that we will have more information.
20	But
21	THE COURT: What's the status on that?
22	MS. MOST: I believe that they are next up, you
23	know, to be called on Dr. Abrams' schedule.
24	You know, I don't want to misspeak, but I think
25	that's where they are.

1	This is a troubling case.
2	And I don't have, I don't have enough information
3	to say mom doesn't need supervision/mom needs supervision.
4	I don't know enough yet.
5	But what I heard out there from CPS was troubling
6	to me. And they're troubled.
7	MR. NISSMAN: Your Honor, I just want to say
8	something.
9	To begin with, the only person who's been
10	indicated by CPS for any physical assault has been the
11	father. Let's not forget this.
12	The father and I we confirmed this with CPS
13	today.
14	The father has been indicated. There has been
15	sufficient credible evidence that he was physically abusive
16	and kicked one of the children.
17	The mother was indicated for failure to supervise
18	properly, tangentially, because she didn't prevent him,
19	I suppose, from doing that.
20	He's the only one who's been indicated.
21	The other side has been having conversations with
22	CPS and CPS attorney.
23	I don't know what say in these conversations.
24	But because my client is not the subject of the

investigation, we haven't been afforded the same

1	opportunity.
2	What we did receive from opposing counsel was a
3	very nasty letter, saying that our client reported made a
4	report to CPS, the new report, which is actually untrue.
5	In fact, the children were in camp for two weeks.
6	The only person, between the two, Alli who had
7	access to Alli during that period of time was the father.
8	And the report, as it turns out, was done by the
9	camp, which we confirmed, and sent to everybody.
10	So and JoJo had met with CPS, I believe, at the
11	school. There wasn't a limitation of three questions.
12	Nothing like that at all was said when we met with
13	Ms. Ratner, with CPS.
14	So, you know, we have concerns as well.
15	This case began with an order of protection that
16	my client had against the father for the physical assault
17	that he was indicated on.
18	And then because of an audio tape, that was
19	misrepresented to be a current audio tape, that was from a
20	year earlier, that wasn't that didn't show the greatest
21	behavior on my client's part, we ended up with the
22	supervised visitation.
23	We have audios, we have videos, of the father
24	doing some terrible things as well. And Dr. Abrams can
25	opine on that.

1	She's done everything that she can do now under
2	the direction of the Court. She has been in therapy with
3	Dr. Filova.
4	(Clarification requested by the court reporter.)
5	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Filova, F-I-L-O-V-A.
6	She's also been seeing Dr. Sarah Weiss, who is a
7	Ph.D., she has a specialty in parenting.
8	She's there with Dr. Weiss to help her with her
9	own parenting.
10	And Dr. Weiss has not observed anything of
11	concern; neither has Filova.
12	So we've got a terrible situation.
13	And I got to tell you, I'm disappointed with the
14	AFC saying that Aurelie's a great nanny, but, somehow, she
15	can't do supervision.
16	I mean, it's very disappointing.
17	THE COURT: Well, she's here to call balls and
18	strikes, and that's what I need her to be doing.
19	MR. NISSMAN: It's another person's opinion.
20	MS. MOST: Just, I just part of my conversation
21	out there, the father's report was for, apparently, some
22	cuts and bruises.
23	Acknowledged, not you know, it's not positive.
24	The problem is, the mother was indicated for stuff

that is much more troubling to me, and that is the, really,

almost emotional abuse of the children; the way that Alli is 1 2 treated, the way the girls are treated. MR. NISSMAN: She wasn't indicated for that. 3 THE COURT: Hold on. 4 5 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes, she was. 6 MS. MOST: Yes, she was. I just spoke to them. 7 MR. NISSMAN: Well, she's not making it up. 8 MS. MOST: She's indicated. 9 THE COURT: We can't have cross-conversations. 10 MS. MOST: So --11 MR. NISSMAN: (Cross-talking) --12 MS. MOST: -- okay --13 THE COURT: Counsel, no cross-conversations. MS. MOST: -- Your Honor, what is "inadequate 14 quardianship"? 15 16 According to them, meaning CPS --I'm sorry. I probably don't speak well. 17 18 According to CPS, the inadequate guardianship is 19 extremely troubling, because it's treating Alli differently, 20 having the girls understand that Alli is adopted. There's a 21 level of abuse in the house they didn't like. 22 For example, Alli doesn't have a bed. She sleeps 23 on the floor. The mother took away her bed at some point. 24 According to CPS. I'm just repeating the

25

conversation.

1	THE COURT: I understand.
2	MS. MOST: So they the mother was indicated,
3	and it was a very negative indication as far as I'm
4	concerned.
5	THE COURT: We have to okay, go ahead. Got
6	that.
7	MS. MOST: They're also troubled by the fact that
8	this child made this report to camp.
9	And what they're troubled about is exactly what
10	troubles me, and that is, that these children are
11	potentially, it's my worry, I'm you know, I'm not worried
12	about this, that they are almost being everything that
13	happens to them, it's like a reminder.
14	Oh, remember, daddy did this, daddy did this.
15	So remember, last year, daddy pushed me across the
16	wall.
17	Remember, this?
18	It's constant with them.
19	And CPS is very concerned about it because it's
20	the same story that's being reported to them over and over
21	and over again.
22	THE COURT: So let's move forward.
23	And so how do we you can remain seated.
24	How do we deal with getting a new nanny?
25	Int's start with that

1	MS. MOST: Well, I think that one of the other
2	issues, if I could just take a step back
3	THE COURT: But get back to this (Cross-talking)
4	MS. MOST: I will get back to this, I promise.
5	is that, you know, the girls need a therapist.
6	So what happens with the therapist?
7	They pick a therapist. Mom rushes the children to
8	the therapist. She takes makes an appointment the father
9	could never possibly get to.
10	And she's if you and I've seen the reports,
11	because it was provided to me by the mother's counsel.
12	She goes, meets with them. She is in the meeting
13	with the girls. She has individual meeting with the
14	therapist.
15	And it's in the report, constantly, talking about
16	what's occurring, and it's her story.
17	The father never even gets a chance to come in
18	there.
19	THE COURT: I get it.
20	MS. MOST: And so what has to happen is that,
21	maybe he has to be the one to hire a nanny. And I have to
22	speak to them, if they're going be able to supervise.
23	But we also need a new therapist, and we need a
24	new therapist that is not somebody from a group, that you're

picking out somebody you don't know.

1	THE COURT: Why do we need a therapist?
2	MS. MOST: For the little for the girls.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, but, why?
4	MS. MOST: Well, we've agreed that there's going
5	to be a new therapist
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. MOST: so that the father can it has to
8	be someone who's available at nights or on weekends so that
9	he can also go.
10	And so but we need to have the situation where
11	the mom can't run in there and sort of discolor the
12	this situation
13	THE COURT: Well, they'll have to go at the same
14	time (Cross-talking)
15	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor Your Honor, please
16	can I
17	MS. MOST: well, (Cross-talking)
18	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, Your Honor, I now
19	have Alssaro Counseling Services' reports. Okay?
20	This is the agreed-upon therapist for the two
21	youngest kids. Okay?
22	I've seen a lot of troubling things in this case.
23	This is the worst, and it relates back to the
24	nanny issue.

Here's how it happened:

1	We're in here on June 9th, or 10th. We're
2	agreeing on it. Okay?
3	Mom says, Mr. Lieberman says, We only need like a
4	social worker-type person for the two youngest kids.
5	I don't think we need a Psy.D., I don't think we need a
6	Ph.D., I don't think we need an M.D.
7	That was universally agreed to, even by my client
8	Okay?
9	Everybody said how resilient Charlie and JoJo
10	were. My goodness, how they've witnessed all of this stuff
11	Right?
12	So we leave here.
13	She rushes to Alssaro, unilaterally selects the
14	therapist, unilaterally selects the day, and goes on
15	three sessions before my client has a chance to know what's
16	going on.
17	Okay?
18	She takes them on the three sessions.
19	I now have almost 30 pages of records. Okay?
20	First of all, every single thing told to this
21	therapist is negative about my client.
22	There was an OP placed against the father;
23	although, now, it has been lifted by the judge in order to
24	access rights to the father.

Patently unfal -- untrue.

1	That is not what happens.
2	Your Honor I'm sorry. I'm long-winded
3	sometimes.
4	30 pages of misinformation (Cross-talking)
5	THE COURT: So what are you suggesting? Give me
6	the solution.
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: She can have no involvement in
8	the selection of a nanny.
9	My client will select her. She'll discuss it
10	with the AFC.
11	What will happen is this: The nanny will be
12	poisoned.
13	First of all, practically, if you wanted to be
14	underhanded about this process, and you're Ms. Kassenoff,
15	and you want to keep Aurelie, what do you do?
16	Walk into every interview with that nanny and say,
17	Hmm, you don't want to work here. Woo, get out of here.
18	We're bad.
19	What happened, six months go by. Aurelie is still
20	your nanny. You're not being supervised. Mission
21	accomplished.
22	Absolutely, and I'm not playing that game.
23	This is the father of three children. He has
24	every incentive to hire the best and most-qualified person.

He will get referrals. He will get resumés. He

1	will discuss it with the Court-appointed attorney for those
2	children. And we will keep this person away from
3	misinformation and propaganda.
4	Because if you read these medical records, I'll
5	try the case with this. That's all I need.
6	Okay?
7	A 5-year-old did not go into this therapist and to
8	detail the results of litigation and hearings.
9	Then the father's to be home the next three days.
10	The father throwing the mother across the room, and
11	physically harming her sister Alexandra. JoJo, the
12	5-year-old, express fearing for her safety and her mother's
13	safety.
14	Yet CPS investigates, and interviews JoJo, on his
15	lap, saying "Dad's the best."
16	Here's the fundamental issue in this case, and I'm
17	going to sum it up in one long sentence:
18	We need to figure out, why, when those three
19	children are with dad, they're perfectly happy. Convey to
20	CPS they have no fear of him. He doesn't hit them. He
21	doesn't mistreat them. He's wonderful.
22	While those three children, when they're with mom,
23	report to the police, to child protective services, and to
24	their therapist, that he is a miserable and abusive person

25

who hurts people.

1	Once we figure out why that happens, this case is
2	over.
3	MR. NISSMAN: Judge, I have to respond.
4	First of all, I got to tell you something.
5	I looked at these videotapes, and audiotapes, and
6	you know what? He was miserable and abusive. There's no
7	doubt about it.
8	And if I show you those tapes, and we will at some
9	point, you'll think he was miserable and abusive.
10	He is not the man they are trying to tell you he
11	is. They are fooling this Court; trying to.
12	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Why did you settle the case?
13	MR. NISSMAN: The the the nanny should be
14	selected first of all, it should be Aurelie.
15	Fine. Even though she's a great nanny, somehow
16	she's incapable of supervision?
17	Fine, we select a new nanny.
18	Not great for the kids, fine.
19	They do it together.
20	THE COURT: So the other thing, on top of
21	everything else, is they need a live-in. That's another
22	(Cross-talking)

- MR. NISSMAN: Well, Aurelie was going to be live-in.
- 25 But they do it -- they do it together.

1	They do it together.
2	Yeah, I was going to say, Aurelie was giving up
3	her lease to be a live-in. Was going to give up her lease
4	to be a live-in.
5	Fine.
6	So, in terms of the therapist, the parties agree
7	that it will be Alssaro.
8	The father didn't do anything.
9	The mother made an appointment with the available
10	therapist, Cavallo (ph.). They had an intake with her, but
11	they also met with the children alone.
12	Nothing prevented the father from doing it.
13	When Referee Collins heard this case, and was
14	briefed in front of them, because the father said he could
15	only do it on the weekend, Referee Collins said, Why don't
16	you see if they're available during the evening sometime.
17	He didn't want to do that.
18	So then they switched to another therapist for the
19	weekend.
20	Guess what?
21	The therapist doesn't have enough time on the
22	weekend to really do a full
23	So you know what happens?
24	You got now you got kids who need therapy.
25	Everybody says it's a terrible case.

1 They haven't had any therapy. 2 Wonderful. So now we're getting of the therapist. We're 3 getting of the nanny. 4 5 Maybe the father should just do everything himself because he's such a wonderful guy. 6 7 THE COURT: Hold up. 8 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let me say one thing --9 THE COURT: Hold on. No. 10 Let me hear from Ms. Most about the therapist. 11 MR. NISSMAN: And, also, so she should just note 12 that the report from Alssaro had said that Charlotte had 13 suicidal ideation. Suicidal ideation. 14 That's not coming from the mother. That's not 15 16 between the two parties. That's what she observed. 17 18 Something needs to happen here. 19 MS. MOST: I spoke to the therapist -- the initial therapist twice. And --20 21 THE COURT: That's --MS. MOST: That was --22 23 THE COURT: -- Cavallo. 24 MS. MOST: -- Ms. Cavallo.

And she --

1	THE COURT: Has she seen the two younger children?
2	MS. MOST: she was seeing Ms. Cavallo was
3	seeing JoJo and Charlie, the two younger children.
4	And she told me that Ms. Cavallo told me that
5	she had concerns about the father. That JoJo was suffering
6	from PTSD.
7	So I said, Oh!
8	And they talk about something that occurred the
9	child reported something that just occurred in May, and it
10	wasn't what was in keeping.
11	And we actually talked about this in court once
12	before because I reported this to the Court.
13	This was that incident, where the mother alleged
14	the father threw her across the room.
15	And in the medical records, it said the children
16	did not witness that.
17	However, the child this was a year ago, and the
18	child reported on her first visit with them, that it just
19	happened.
20	And that is one of the things that really, really
21	concerned me. And I talked about that with the Court
22	because it was almost remaking new memories for the
23	children.
24	And
25	THE COURT: Was this (Cross-talking)

1	MS. MOST: what Ms. Cavallo said was that, it
2	was interesting because, she came the child came in with
3	a list of her issues.
4	THE COURT: And how old is this was JoJo or
5	Charlie?
6	MS. MOST: JoJo, who was just in kindergarten.
7	Okay?
8	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Five.
9	MS. MOST: She's 5 years old.
10	"I don't sleep at night."
11	THE COURT: Came in with a list, you mean with a
12	written list?
13	MS. MOST: No, not a written list.
14	She reported verbally all of her issues.
15	And the therapist said to me, "You know, it was
16	like somebody looked up online what 'PTSD,' and told the
17	child what to say," because that's how she reported it.
18	That was very troubling to me.
19	And then, when she talks about her father, the
20	therapist reported, that she loves him. They have a good
21	time.
22	But she reported that she's afraid of him. She
23	cries at night. She can't sleep.
24	You know, there must have been five things that

25 she reported.

1	And so that in itself, after I spoke to the
2	therapist, was troubling, because she also had no
3	information from the father, and all she had was continuous
4	ongoing information from the mother.
5	When I spoke to her about Charlie, she never said
6	that she had suicidal ideations. She didn't say any of that
7	to me.
8	I did see it in the records.
9	I'm actually more concerned about Charlie,
10	individually, than I am JoJo, because Charlie is more
11	affected by what has gone on in the home in the past. She
12	hates Alli.
13	Both girls, when I first met with them, talked
14	about, you know, Alli's not part of the family. She's
15	adopted. She you know, she doesn't sleep with us. We
16	eat separately.
17	THE COURT: Eat separately?
18	MS. MOST: They eat the girls eat with their
19	mother. Alli was ate in her room, is what I was told by
20	the girls.
21	The second time I met with the girls, now the
22	father's got the kids half the time.
23	JoJo loves her sister Alli. Loves her more than

Charlie says to me that she hates Alli. Alli's an

Charlie, actually, because Charlie is mean.

1	embarrassment to her.
2	You know, and I sort of get it. Alli is a very
3	difficult little girl.
4	She is, Judge, there's no question, this is a
5	child who is really a difficult child.
6	She can be sweet and adorable, but these people
7	have their hands full. She is a she is a difficult
8	little girl.
9	And, you know, I think we'll know more from
10	Dr. Ravitz. I don't know when that report will be due, but,
11	we'll know more about what's happening.
12	But I did speak at length with her neurologist,
13	and he thinks that she is a child who will eventually be
14	diagnosed as having either a personality disorder or a
15	sociopathic kind of disorder.
16	So he thinks that she
17	THE COURT: This is Dr. Ravitz who said this?
18	MS. MOST: No, no.
19	This is a Marty Kutcher, who's a neurologist
20	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
21	MS. MOST: is treating her.
22	So, you know, the situation with the girls
23	THE COURT: That's a pretty serious statement.
24	MS. MOST: Yes, pretty serious.
25	And I won't be surprised if that's what happens at

1 the end of the day. 2 -- but what's going on with these girls, they really need a good therapist; but they need a good 3 therapist. Not somebody who has two years' experience. 4 I don't even know what experience --5 THE COURT: So can all three see the same 6 therapist, or that's not --7 8 MR. DIMOPOULOS: No. Two. 9 MS. MOST: Well -- no, no. 10 Right now, Alli is being evaluated by Dr. Ravitz. 11 I'm not sure how far along that is, or when we're expecting 12 to hear from him. But he's going to make a recommendation, 13 and he will recommend a therapist. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MS. MOST: What I think --15 16 THE COURT: So she's not in therapy at the 17 present --18 MS. MOST: Not at the present. 19 -- what JoJo and Charlie need is a solid therapist 20 that has a good reputation that we worked with. 21 MR. NISSMAN: So, Judge, I just -- just to correct 22 the record, the father did meet with a therapist. I've got the presenting note from July 24th. 23 24 "Therapist met with father for collateral session.

"Father provided therapist with psychosocial

1	history and his perceptive of family conflict. Father
2	provided updates on court-related matters in regard to
3	custody battle.
4	"Therapist provided father update on Charlotte's
5	progress towards treatment goals and objectives.
6	"Recommendations: Continue current therapy and
7	treatment."
8	And also you should now, that a lot of the time
9	most of the times that Charlotte was taken to the therapy,
10	was taken by Aurelie, taken by the nanny. Not with my
11	client.
12	So, again, we hear things that are not accurate.
13	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Want a solution?
14	I'll give you a solution.
15	MR. NISSMAN: Let me just finish.
16	We believe that the kids should continue seeing
17	their therapist.
18	Once again, all we're doing here, because of, in
19	my view, the other side's strategy, is to eliminate all of
20	the security and comfort that these children have.
21	I have their answer to activities that the
22	children have enjoyed for years, is they're not agreeing to
23	anything.

Their answer to the therapist is, like, let's get

24

25

another one, who cares.

1	Their answer with respect to the nannies, let's
2	get a new one.
3	THE COURT: All right, but here's a new
4	situation
5	Hold on, Mr. Dimopoulos.
6	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm not saying a word.
7	I'm stretching.
8	THE COURT: I'm getting this, not just from
9	Mr. Dimopoulos. I'm getting these observations from
10	Ms. Most.
11	And I have to give as the person representing
12	the children, I have to give deference to a lot of what
13	she's saying.
14	So, here's the bottom line:
15	We're going to have a live-in nanny.
16	We're going to have a new therapist.
17	The issue now is, how do we find those people?
18	MS. MOST: Okay, so
19	THE COURT: Ms. Most?
20	MS. MOST: I made some recommendations for a
21	therapist. And I recommended Andrea
22	They didn't want somebody who's a social worker.
23	And so then I recommended Susan Adler.
24	THE COURT: Susan Adler?

MS. MOST: Susan Adler.

1	And she's she's in I think she's in the Rye
2	area, so it's not far away.
3	THE COURT: So what are her credentials, what is
4	her title?
5	MS. MOST: I know she's a doctor, Susan Adler.
6	What is she?
7	MR. NISSMAN: I think she's a Psy.D.
8	MS. MOST: A Psy.D.?
9	But she's had a lot of experience, and we need
10	someone who is not inexperienced.
11	THE COURT: Can we get
12	MS. MOST: And I just want to tell you, that when
13	I spoke to Mrs. Kassenoff's, she's not the therapist, but
14	she's working Sarah Weiss, and I told her some of the
15	things that Ms. Cavallo told me, she said, "She's too
16	inexperienced."
17	THE COURT: All right, so let's do this:
18	Given that I'm ordering that there be a new
19	nanny
20	(Interruption by the court reporter.)
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
22	Given that I'm ordering a new nanny, a live-in
23	nanny, and given that I'm ordering a new therapist, can both
24	sides agree to Ms. Adler?

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yes.

1	MR. NISSMAN: Your Honor, I don't know anything
2	about Susan Adler, so I don't want to commit right now.
3	But I do recognize we need the children in therapy
4	asap.
5	So I will do what I need to do to see confirm
6	what Ms. Most says about her, and I will get back to
7	everybody before the end of the week.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, the problem with that
10	is, we've already been through about five recommendations,
11	and they've all been turned down. Okay?
12	MS. MOST: Well, not by them.
13	Some of them were turned down by me.
14	THE COURT: But Mr. Nissman is not making an
15	unreasonable
16	Do you think you can do that by Thursday?
17	MR. NISSMAN: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. DIMOPOULOS: More importantly, Your Honor,
20	though, I will propose that we submit a separate order for
21	therapy, with one very important provision in it: Neither
22	party shall discuss outside of the presence of the other
23	the treatment of the therapist, by an order we give to the
24	therapist.

They should be able to each --

1 THE COURT: That's fine. 2 MR. DIMOPOULOS: -- okay. If what we care about is these kids getting 3 better, then neither party needs to talk to the therapist at 4 all. They know what to do. 5 THE COURT: That's fine. 6 7 So here's the bottom line: 8 Mr. Nissman will check out Ms. Adler's --9 Dr. Adler's qualifications. 10 Maybe the three of you can do a conference call on 11 Thursday, if, in fact, she's agreeable. 12 And, Mr. Nissman, unless there's some really 13 strong --14 MR. NISSMAN: I understand. 15 THE COURT: -- problem with Dr. Adler, I really 16 think she should -- you should consent to her. And then you'll sub -- I guess you need an 17 18 order --19 MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'll submit an order to 20 Mr. Nissman and Ms. Most. 21 THE COURT: And, also -- well, wait till he agrees 22 to it. 23 And, also, there can be terms in that order,

stating, that neither parent shall have conversations with

the doctor in the absence of the other.

24

1 MS. MOST: I can have the right to talk to my 2 clients' therapist? 3 THE COURT: Absolutely. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor --4 5 THE COURT: That should go in the order also. 6 MR. DIMOPOULOS: -- two brief financial things, 7 and then we can --8 THE COURT: Well, let's -- no, we've got to talk 9 about the nanny. 10 MR. NISSMAN: Well, Judge, I'm not -- I'm not sure 11 if -- and no offense to Ms. Most, I'm not sure if she 12 should be speaking to the children's therapist --13 MS. MOST: They're my clients. 14 MR. NISSMAN: -- directly. 15 No, I understand that they're the clients. 16 But I'm not sure if it's appropriate to have that 17 done. I -- you know --18 THE COURT: Well, let me ask: Is it to get 19 information from the therapist, or to provide information 20 to the therapist? 21 MS. MOST: I think I have a right to do both. 22 MR. NISSMAN: That's not fair. She's another 23 litigant here. 24 While she's representing (Cross-talking) --

MR. DIMOPOULOS: She's not a litigant.

MR. NISSMAN: -- well, she is --1 2 MS. MOST: I represent the children. 3 MR. DIMOPOULOS: -- as another attorney. You're not quardian ad litem. You're attorney for 4 the children. 5 6 THE COURT: Right. MR. NISSMAN: I am an attorney for my client, Gus 7 8 for the other. 9 If -- if -- it is a different rule if you're 10 going to allow another attorney in this case to speak to the 11 therapist, and not have counsel (Cross-talking) --12 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Let's deal with --13 MR. NISSMAN: -- I think, Judge, since we're all concerned about any influence, there should be none from 14 15 anybody. 16 The therapist should meet with the children, treat the children. 17 18 The parties will only be together, as Your Honor 19 says. And the therapist can send in a report. 20 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Don't you see what's going on 21 here? 22 THE COURT: Hold, hold. 23 MR. DIMOPOULOS: It's silence everybody. 24 THE COURT: Hold on.

MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, the case law says she can

1	speak with the case law says it: best interests.
2	THE COURT: Hold on.
3	I think this (Cross-talking)
4	MR. NISSMAN: Well, what I'm asking, Judge, that
5	it should be limited to Ms. Most inquiring about the
6	therapeutic sessions. And not talking about the court
7	case, not giving her view, her view, on the litigation.
8	It will change this.
9	THE COURT: Well, I don't know that she has to
10	talk about the litigation, but she can talk about her
11	conversations with the children.
12	MR. NISSMAN: That's fine.
13	But not about the litigation.
14	MS. MOST: Okay.
15	MR. DIMOPOULOS: But, Your Honor
16	MS. MOST: I agree.
17	THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.
18	MR. DIMOPOULOS: there's cases on this.
19	THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.
20	Ms. Most, what do you have in mind?
21	MS. MOST: I think that, since they're my clients,
22	I actually have never heard of an attorney for the children
23	being limited in how they talk to their clients' therapist.
24	However, I'm I'm happy to just talk about the
25	children, and not about the litigation per se.

1	THE COURT: See yeah, I haven't made a decision
2	on that, but if you're fine with that
3	MS. MOST: I'm fine with that.
4	THE COURT: all right.
5	So so you will incorporate that in your
6	proposed order, that Ms. Most will talk about the children,
7	but not the litigation per se. Okay?
8	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I think we're walking a very
9	slippery slope here, for the record, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Let's do it this way:
11	Unless the discussion has to do with the impact of
12	the litigation on the children.
13	How's that?
14	MS. MOST: Okay.
15	MR. NISSMAN: Judge, a quick procedural question.
16	I think we're on Wednesday, on a cross-motion that
17	opposing counsel had filed regarding issues that now are all
18	resolved.
19	Would you agree?
20	So we can either because one of the issues was,
21	the children seeing Dr. Ravitz.
22	They are.
23	THE COURT: That's resolved.
24	MR. NISSMAN: That's resolved.
25	THE COURT: Well, they're going to be moved to

1	MR. NISSMAN: I think the other big issue was
2	(Off-the-record discussion with court staff.)
3	(Back on the record.)
4	THE COURT: So that's resolved.
5	MR. NISSMAN: The other issue had to do with
6	Alssaro, which we've just resolved.
7	THE COURT: Correct.
8	MR. NISSMAN: And I think the last issue had to do
9	with the nanny, with Aurelie.
10	And I think that's resolved.
11	THE COURT: So the only thing we have to do with
12	that now is, Ms. Most, how do you propose we hire the
13	nanny?
14	MS. MOST: I think that I'm not sure the
15	parties can do it together, which is how I think it should
16	happen. I'm just not sure it can happen in this case.
17	THE COURT: Are you in the nanny-hiring business?
18	MS. MOST: I would be happy to speak to the nanny,
19	and let the nanny know what the supervisory role would be.
20	But I think that a parent who is familiar with
21	what they need in their home should be speaking to them.
22	THE COURT: The problem is, and this is really
23	allegation at this point, but, Mr. Kassenoff is alleging
24	that Mrs. Kassenoff is poisoning the nannies.
25	And, again, like I said, it's an allegation at

1	this point.
2	But, I'm trying to create a situation where
3	MS. MOST: That allegation refers to the old
4	nannies. I'm not sure that it refers to the current nanny.
5	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, look, here's what's
6	going to happen, it's already happened before. Okay?
7	In the beginning she was agreeing to hire the
8	nanny. Okay?
9	She got some names. Then she brought up the
10	"French" requirement.
11	There's absolutely no way we are consenting, and
12	we're putting it on the record this time, because we didn't
13	last time, and I ate my hat, because my client sat to me and
14	said to me, when we were settling the case the last time,
15	"Make sure you put in there, she doesn't have to speak
16	French."
17	And I was, like, Allan, stop, you're being
18	unreasonable.
19	And then what happens? She's got to speak French.
20	We need a nanny who has experience in child care,
21	and a good resumé, and keeps these kids safe, and does it
22	responsibly.
23	We do not need someone who speaks a specific
24	language.
25	THE COURT: That's fine.

1	So let's say we take out take that requirement
2	MR. DIMOPOULOS: It was never a requirement.
3	She made it a requirement.
4	THE COURT: Well, it's not a requirement.
5	MR. DIMOPOULOS: And she's going to make it again,
6	and try to make it again a requirement.
7	Why don't we do this, okay?
8	My client will go out it's not going to be a
9	process that happens in a week.
10	My client will go out and get some names. Okay?
11	He will submit them. Okay?
12	THE COURT: To?
13	MR. DIMOPOULOS: To Ms. Most.
14	Ms. Most will vet them.
15	I mean, I personally don't think that mom should
16	have a role in this, because of what she's done, and because
17	of how this has gone down.
18	THE COURT: Well, the problem that I have,
19	I should tell you, Mr. Nissman, is, if Mrs. Kassenoff is
20	the person who is supervised, I don't know that she should
21	have a
22	MR. NISSMAN: Well, Judge, it's first of all,
23	it's it's (a) we don't believe she should be
24	supervised. Put that aside for now; (b) The in my view,
25	the most important role is to have a qualified nanny.

1	I think that any nanny who receives the
2	information from the Court or Ms. Most will perform their
3	duties. They're all mandated reporters, they have to do it.
4	Because of an allegation made on the other side,
5	my client should not now be deprived of having any input
6	into the selection of a nanny.
7	What if, my client proposes nannies, we can talk
8	about how many. The other side proposes nannies. We can do
9	it blindly.
10	Ms. Most can do her own due diligence on the
11	people, and we could take it from there on her
12	recommendation.
13	I do think, though
14	THE COURT: Ms. Most, what do you think about that
15	i <mark>dea?</mark>
16	MS. MOST: I'm fine with that idea.
17	THE COURT: Fine.
18	MR. NISSMAN: and lastly, Judge, I mean,
19	I know
20	THE COURT: So the bottom line is, that sounds
21	really good.
22	Okay. Both sides will submit names to Ms. Most,
23	she'll do the vetting, and we'll take it from there.
24	MR. NISSMAN: And, Your Honor, lastly, you know
25	THE COURT: So the French is not a requirement.

1	MR. NISSMAN: I was just going to address that
2	real quickly.
3	Charlotte does go to French school.
4	It's she's in French school, which is the
5	reason we had asked that.
6	THE COURT: Well, and here's the thing
7	MR. NISSMAN: And always had a French nanny.
8	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Guys, Rome is burning, and we're
9	talking about French.
10	THE COURT: Hold on one second.
11	MR. NISSMAN: Again
12	THE COURT: Let's put it this
13	Hang on.
14	Let's put it this way:
15	If there is a suitable nanny who speaks French,
16	that's all well and good.
17	However, if the best person, and the person
18	everyone can agree on, does not speak French, then
19	I understand that it's desirable for someone who's trying to
20	learn a language to have that.
21	But
22	MR. DIMOPOULOS: And my client doesn't have a
23	problem.
24	THE COURT: there are more significant issues.

If Mrs. Kassenoff would like find nannies who

1	speak French, I'm sure Ms. Most will consider them, and
2	consider the fact in the decision, that she does if the
3	nanny, he or she, does speak French.
4	MR. NISSMAN: And I have one last application,
5	Judge, because, you know, my my we feel very strongly
6	that the need for the third-party presence is not
7	necessary.
8	My client, in addition to seeing Dr. Filova, who's
9	aware of everything, and doesn't see a mental-health issue;
10	and, Sarah Weiss, who also is aware, and has seen the tapes,
11	including the ones that has troubled the Court, doesn't
12	believe there's an issue, Your Honor had said, let's await
13	until the completion of the forensic.
14	The problem is, we haven't even started the
15	forensic yet, and it's dragging on.
16	So I'd like to be able to I don't know if we
17	need to have a hearing scheduled, but we should be able
18	to there should be something that allows my client to
19	make her case for a lifting of the supervision.
20	THE COURT: This is the problem with that, this is
21	what we addressed when the case first came in:
22	I can't hold a legitimate hearing and make a
23	decision, an informed decision, without having a forensic.

And I understand you're concerned about the timing of this, that it is taking a long time.

24

1	But I would feel very ill at ease to making a
2	decision in this matter like this without having a forensic.
3	MR. NISSMAN: Understood, Judge.
4	Can I ask, then, that the current order
5	provides that the mother can have can be at these public
6	events that the kids participate in.
7	The other side, and maybe Your Honor agrees, that
8	the provision for a third-party presence applies to that as
9	well.
10	Given how long this is taking, can we modify the
11	order, or clarify the order, because it's really not totally
12	clear, that if it's a public event; a soccer practice or a
13	soccer game or a recital, and other parents are there, that
14	the mother does not need to have an additional person,
15	quote/unquote, supervising?
16	THE COURT: The fact that it's in public doesn't
17	preclude the mother from having contact with the children.
18	So I think that might be *inappropriate.
19	Ms. Most, do you want to weigh in on this?
20	MS. MOST: I said from the beginning
21	THE COURT: You're already getting paid today.
22	MS. MOST: I said from the beginning I'll lose
23	some weight, getting up and down.
24	I said from the beginning, I'm not in a position
25	to say whether there should be supervision or there should

1 not be supervision. 2 But if there is supervision, then -- and you said, "not one minute alone with the children" --3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MS. MOST: -- it has to be all the time. 5 6 And until, you know, we -- and I won't be in a 7 position to give you my opinion until I've read the forensic 8 report, which I'm sure he will weigh in on that issue. 9 So --10 THE COURT: So what's the timeline on the 11 forensic? 12 MS. MOST: Well, as I said, I believe they're next 13 in line. And I can call and just inquire of Dr. Abrams, 14 where they are on the timeline, because, when I had called 15 16 him on another matter to check the timeline, I asked him where things were. 17 18 And there was one case that he was working on. 19 One case that he had started, I think, it was last week. And I believe he said this case is next. 20 21 So, I'm assuming that it's going to happen 22 shortly. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 I'm going --

MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor --

1	THE COURT: I'm go ahead.
2	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I just, in considering it,
3	this is coming up, because of this is coming up because,
4	on Saturday and Sunday, she attended their soccer
5	practices. Okay?
6	THE COURT: Hold on a second.
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'm sorry. Of course.
8	(Off-the-record conference with court staff.)
9	(Back on the record.)
10	THE COURT: All right. One thing I just want to
11	point out, that's been brought to my attention.
12	The respective parties should not be at any events
13	on days that it is the other parent's.
14	So if it's Mr. Kassenoff's day, Mrs. Kassenoff
15	shouldn't be going to soccer game the soccer game.
16	And if it's Mrs. Kassenoff's day, Mr. Kassenoff
17	shouldn't be going to the recitals.
18	This is like a recurring theme in these cases.
19	Unless there is some kind of a prior agreement
20	MR. NISSMAN: There is.
21	There's an order, Your Honor, that was so ordered,
22	that says that, "The parties shall be entitled to attend all
23	events for the children that are open to parents or the
24	public, in addition to the foregoing access."
25	It was specifically

1	MR. DIMOPOULOS: But it's problematic, Your Honor.
2	MR. NISSMAN: Have somebody there.
3	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor
4	MR. NISSMAN: That's what we need.
5	MR. DIMOPOULOS: all right, let me put facts to
6	theories.
7	THE COURT: Let me ask you a question: If there
8	is a if it's supervised, do you still have a problem
9	with it?
10	In other words, if I say that all these visits
11	have to be all these attendances, public or otherwise,
12	have to be supervised
13	MR. DIMOPOULOS: I'll tell you why I do.
14	I don't want sound unreasonable, nor does my
15	client, but I'll tell you why I do.
16	Based upon what just happened, okay, on Saturday.
17	It's his weekend, there's a soccer practice.
18	I mean, it's a practice.
19	I try my hardest to get out of going to my kids'
20	practices every weekend, okay, but I'm not in custody
21	litigation.
22	He's with the kids. They see mom. They run over
23	to mom. They stay with mom for a long period of time,
24	sitting on her lap, hanging out with her.
25	It's their mom. Okay?

1	My client calls me, he's angry, and says, she's
2	she's here. I don't understand.
3	And I said, Do me a favor, snap a picture. Okay?
4	So he does, he took the picture.
5	Kids come back over to him, Why are you taking
6	pictures of us, daddy?
7	That's the problem. Okay?
8	Supervision is not going to stop this, because the
9	supervisor's going to be talking on the phone, or all
10	she, Go ask your father why he's taking pictures of us?
11	That's six seconds, that's all it takes.
12	MR. NISSMAN: That's not what happened.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Most, want to weigh in?
14	MS. MOST: I think in this case, on each days, the
15	other should not be involved.
16	THE COURT: All right, then that's what we're
17	doing.
18	MR. NISSMAN: Judge, this is what the parties had
19	already done. There's been no change in circumstances.
20	THE COURT: These are moving, kinetic, flexible
21	things. And I'm going to modify the order
22	MR. NISSMAN: There are reasons, Judge. There's
23	the violin practices. She's been to one
24	THE COURT: We're going to talk about that
25	wo'll talk about that lot mo got to that

1	But on days that it's one parent's day, the other
2	parent should not come to any activities.
3	That's you'll put that in too.
4	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I'm going to draft an
5	updated order.
6	THE COURT: And make sure you give counsel
7	adequate notice of what you're putting before the Court.
8	All right, let's talk about the more
9	Hold on.
10	(Off-the-record conference with court staff.)
11	(Back on the record.)
12	THE COURT: Apparently, you were given a
13	conference date of November 8. Ms. Ratner's not available
14	that day.
15	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, my client just wants
16	to point out that he thinks it's the intention he
17	thought it was the intention of the parties doing the
18	order, that if there's like a school play, or something
19	big, that the mom should be there even if it falls on his
20	day.
21	I think I'll have to come up with some language.
22	He's not trying to exclude her from important
23	things.
24	THE COURT: Well, you show it to your colleague.
25	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Yeah, I'm going to show it

```
1
           Mr. Nissman.
2
                    THE COURT: That's usual --
                    If it's -- you know, let's put it this way:
3
                    If it's a one-of-a-kind event --
 4
5
                    MR. DIMOPOULOS: Right.
                    THE COURT: -- like a recital --
 6
                    MR. DIMOPOULOS: She should be there.
7
8
                    THE COURT: -- or a school play, then we can make
9
           that.
10
                    But you can use terminology along those lines: If
11
          it's a one-of-a-kind, one-off type event.
12
                    All right, but now we've got the issue of
13
          Halloween and the Jewish holidays.
                    So let's get another date. We can't do
14
          November 8th.
15
16
                    MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
                 (Off-the-record discussion.)
17
18
                (Back on the record.)
19
                    MR. NISSMAN: Your Honor, there was a
20
           pendente lite --
21
                    THE COURT: Let's get a date first.
22
                    Looking at the schedule, November 8th is no good.
23
                    Can you get us another date, please?
24
                     (Off-the-record conference with court staff.)
25
                     (Back on the record.)
```

1	THE COURT: All right. So the conference is going
2	to be on November 4th, at 9:30 a.m. That's a
3	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay, Your Honor
4	THE COURT: I think that's a Monday?
5	That's a Monday.
6	All right.
7	Let me just let's let's just we had some
8	issues as to the holidays.
9	Here's the bottom line:
10	My assistant my principal law clerk has
11	prepared a proposed holiday schedule which she will share
12	with you, and let's see if you can opt she'll speak with
13	you separately.
14	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: But in the short term, I think we'll
16	do Halloween with mom, and Rosh Hashanah with dad.
17	Can we do that, can everyone agree?
18	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, just for everyone to
19	be cognizant of the fact that mom's had Memorial Day,
20	Father's Day, July 4th, and Labor Day.
21	THE COURT: So he's getting the three Jewish
22	holidays. And she can
23	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Just wanted you to know.
24	THE COURT: she's going to get Halloween.
25	MR. NISSMAN: And then, of course, we have to deal

1	with Christmas.
2	THE COURT CLERK: She's getting Christmas.
3	THE COURT: She's getting Christmas.
4	THE COURT CLERK: Christmas Eve. The eve's go
5	with the dad.
6	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay. So we'll discuss that.
7	THE COURT: The eves go with the day, just so
8	that's clear.
9	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Okay.
10	Your Honor, as you might imagine, the Kassenoffs
11	are bleeding money at an alarming rate.
12	My fees have been very high. I'm I know,
13	because my client's paid from marital funds, the wife's
14	legal fees; and there was over \$35,000 to Mr. Lieberman's
15	office; \$15,000 to Mr. Nissman's office; twenty two or
16	twenty-seven thousand dollars to the Miller Law Group, who,
17	as far as we know, has made one court appearance.
18	I now understand that she's been rep they've
19	been representing her in connection with the CPS
20	investigation, which is interesting, because I too have been
21	representing my client in connection with the CPS
22	investigation, and I have billed him for exactly 4.5 hours
23	of my time. And I've attended meetings and all this stuff.
24	I don't know what they're doing.
25	The point is, we're bleeding money. Marital cash

1	is down to next to nothing.
2	There's \$445,000?
3	MR. KASSENOFF: Probably five, yeah.
4	MR. DIMOPOULOS: About \$500,000 in an account that
5	is E-Trade, brokerage account, that is locked. Okay?
6	We need Ms. Kassenoff to sign that and unlock it.
7	And we need a Court order that those funds are
8	going to be used for marital expenses, the expenses of the
9	children, counsel fees, and nothing else.
10	I think that's pretty reasonable.
11	MR. NISSMAN: How is it reasonable?
12	He Mr. Kassenoff is the moneyed spouse. He
13	earns over \$600,000.
14	My client earns 160-something-thousand dollars.
15	We're not supposed to be burning through marital
16	assets that are subject to equitable distribution when the
17	plaintiff is the moneyed spouse and has an obligation.
18	THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Can we if we
19	can agree on a fixed amount, subject to reallocation upon
20	the conclusion of this case, would you go along with that?
21	MR. NISSMAN: What I would like to do, Your Honor,
22	is to be able to file a pendente lite motion.
23	There are going to be additional expenses
24	THE COURT: Let's let's you know, let's
25	resolve this.

1 You know, those motions, they're overly 2 cumbersome, and they don't resolve --MR. NISSMAN: I can't resolve it. 3 I'm more than happy to discuss this with Gus --4 THE COURT: I think that's what --5 6 MR. NISSMAN: -- figure it out. 7 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, there's no more money 8 left. He can't pay the bills for next month. 9 THE COURT: So why don't we do this --10 MR. DIMOPOULOS: There's a piece of paper here 11 that she can sign to unlock it. 12 MR. NISSMAN: He has millions of dollars in 13 assets. 14 MR. DIMOPOULOS: Whoa, whoa, whoa, hold it. 15 MR. NISSMAN: He just said to --16 MR. DIMOPOULOS: You're complaining about my --17 THE COURT: Gentlemen, please. 18 MR. NISSMAN: There's well over a million dollars 19 of assets. Even --20 THE COURT: But --21 MR. NISSMAN: -- opposing counsel said --22 THE COURT: But does he have access to it? 23 MR. NISSMAN: He has access to it. It's in his 24 name. He has access to money. MR. DIMOPOULOS: Until -- Until and unless there 25

1	is a pendente lite motion or agreement, my client is not
2	going to use any of the marital money, as the case law
3	provides.
4	He's maintaining the status quo.
5	Now, on top of that
6	MR. NISSMAN: He's not maintaining the status quo
7	MR. DIMOPOULOS: she has as \$114,000 in her
8	account, that she claims is her separates property, that
9	she won't use for anything.
10	THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Can we agree,
11	it's marital assets. There's no there doesn't seem to
12	be any real issue about that.
13	I mean, to give a sum, for the time being, until
14	we can address this more fully, so the bills can get paid?
15	That doesn't put anyone in position.
16	MR. NISSMAN: Well, I think the bills can get paid
17	on a \$600,000 income.
18	That said, I will discuss it with Gus. I don't
19	want to do it right now.
20	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, I don't think I'm
21	THE COURT: I don't I can't
22	Talk about a fixed sum (Cross-talking)
23	MR. DIMOPOULOS: How about splitting it?
24	MR. NISSMAN: And my understanding is, there's a
25	Bank of America account with about fifty-two hundred

```
1
           thousand dollars (sic).
                    MR. DIMOPOULOS: That money is long gone.
2
                    MR. NISSMAN: Okay, mostly to probably Gus's
3
           (Cross-talking) --
 4
5
                    THE COURT: You don't want us (Cross-talking) --
                    MR. NISSMAN: -- $200,000.
 6
7
                    THE COURT: You don't --
8
                    MR. DIMOPOULOS: Really?
9
                    Who did you hear (Cross-talking) --
10
                    THE COURT CLERK: Guys --
11
                    THE COURT: Hold on.
12
                    THE COURT CLERK: -- gentlemen, gentlemen,
           gentlemen -- Judge.
13
14
                    THE COURT: Hold on.
15
                    Do you not want to split it right now?
16
                    MR. NISSMAN: No.
17
                    THE COURT: Okay.
18
                    MR. NISSMAN: Absolutely not.
19
                    THE COURT: Gus, speak to Mr. Nissman, and see you
20
           can arrive at a sum certain, that you will -- that will be
21
           taken out of the account, to pay the bills, that will be
22
           reallocated at the conclusion of the proceeding.
23
                    I think that's a reasonable way to do it for the
24
          time being (Cross-talking) --
25
                    I have no way -- unless we have a hearing on this,
```

1	I don't know exactly
2	The fact that he's making \$600,000
3	(Interruption by the court reporter.)
4	THE COURT: The fact that he's making \$600,000 a
5	year doesn't tell me
6	All right. Ms. Schloss is going to meet with you
7	about the holidays. See if you can reach an accommodation,
8	and then I'll see you back here.
9	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Your Honor, because, like I said,
10	he can't pay the bills.
11	I'm sorry. I don't mean to be a pain, but
12	THE COURT: You really don't want to split the
13	money?
14	MR. NISSMAN: I don't.
15	THE COURT: But it's going to be split at some
16	point, in any event.
17	Why don't you just do it now. (Cross-talking)
18	MR. NISSMAN: Because what it's doing is, it's
19	draining the marital account.
20	MR. DIMOPOULOS: No, it's not. We're splitting
21	it. She can have half.
22	Let her do with it what she wants. She's going to
23	get
24	THE COURT: We'll give her half right now.
25	MR. NISSMAN: She gets her half, then what does he

1	do with his half?
2	MR. DIMOPOULOS: Whatever he wants.
3	THE COURT: Hold it, hold it, hold it.
4	She's she will get half, he will get half
5	(Cross-talking)
6	MR. NISSMAN: I believe 114,000 in that is it
7	in that E-Trade account, or a separate account?
8	So the E-Trade is just the 400,000?
9	And we also have to see whether or not there's
10	going to be any capital gains. I mean
11	MR. KASSENOFF: Of course there is.
12	MR. NISSMAN: right, so I'm saying, it does
13	it may not make sense doing it now.
14	MR. DIMOPOULOS: We'll do it
15	THE COURT: All right. I've got to take this next
16	case.
17	See you
18	MR. NISSMAN: Your Honor, could we talk about
19	activities with
20	THE COURT: You're not coming Thursday.
21	MR. NISSMAN: Right.
22	THE COURT: Everything is resolved.
23	THE COURT OFFICER: Okay, step out, please.
24	(At 12:23 p.m., the record was closed.)
25	* * *

1				
2	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TRANSCRIPT	IS	А	TRUE
3	AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES.			
4				
5		_		
6	ERIC M. SANDERS Senior Court Reporter			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				